PINAL • COUNTY
wide open opportunity

Pinal County Air Quality Control District

2011 Ambient Monitoring Network Plan And 2010 Data Summary

June 30, 2011 – Final

Pinal County Air Quality
31 N. Pinal St., Bldg. F
P.O. Box 987
Florence AZ, 85132
(520) 866-6929
www.pinalcountyaz.gov

This page intentionally blank

Pinal County Air Quality Control District
Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Network



This page intentionally blank

Table of Contents

1.0 NATIONAL AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS (NAAQS) 13
2.0 MONITORING OBJECTIVES AND SPATIAL SCALES 19
3.0 NETWORK DESIGN AND MEASUREMENT QUALITY 23
3.1 NETWORK DESIGN 23
3.2 AQS REQUIREMENTS 24
3.3 MINIMUM NETWORK REQUIREMENTS 24
3.4 MINIMUM SAMPLE FREQUENCY 26
3.5 MEASUREMENT QUALITY CHECKS 27
3.6 OZONE SEASON DEFINITION 28
3.7 QUALITY SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS 29
3.8 LEAD MONITORING NETWORK DESCRIPTION 30
4.0 MONITORING SITE DESCRIPTIONS 33
4.1 APACHE JUNCTION FIRE STATION 33
4.2 APACHE JUNCTION MAINTENANCE YARD 33
4.3 CASA GRANDE AIRPORT 34
4.4 CASA GRANDE DOWNTOWN 35
4.5 COMBS SCHOOL 35
4.6 COOLIDGE MAINTENANCE YARD 36
4.7 COWTOWN 36
4.8 ELOY COUNTY COMPLEX 38
4.9 MAMMOTH COUNTY COMPLEX 38
4.10 MARICOPA COUNTY COMPLEX (CITY OF MARICOPA) 39
4.11 PINAL AIR PARK 39
4.12 PINAL COUNTY HOUSING COMPLEX 40
4.13 RIVERSIDE MAINTENANCE YARD 40
4.14 STANFIELD COUNTY COMPLEX 41
4.15 QUEEN VALLEY 41
5.0 PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE NETWORK 43
5.1 CASA GRANDE DOWNTOWN $PM_{2.5}$ 43
5.2 MEDIUM VOLUME PRECISION MEASUREMENT 43
5.3 MARICOPA SITE RELOCATION 43
5.4 CLOSURE OF RIVERSIDE PM10 43
5.5 CLOSURE OF MAMMOTH PM10 43
5.6 CLOSURE OF MARICOPA OZONE 43
5.7 CLOSURE OF COMBS OZONE 43
5.8 CHANGE OF MONITORING SCALE AT COWTOWN SITE 44
5.9 CLOSURE ANALYSIS 45
6.0 DATA TRENDS 47
6.1 CARBON MONOXIDE 48
6.2 OZONE 49
6.2.1 ONE-HOUR OZONE 50
6.2.2 EIGHT-HOUR OZONE 51
6.3 PARTICULATE MATTER SMALLER THAN 10 MICRONS (PM_{10}) 52
6.3.1 24-HOUR PM_{10} TRENDS 54
6.3.2 ANNUAL PM_{10} TRENDS 56
6.4 PARTICULATE MATTER SMALLER THAN 2.5 MICRONS ($PM_{2.5}$) 60
6.4.1 $PM_{2.5}$ 24-HOUR TRENDS 61
6.4.2 $PM_{2.5}$ ANNUAL TRENDS 62

This page intentionally blank

Appendices

APPENDIX A: ABBREVIATIONS 63

APPENDIX B: PCAQCD SITE DESCRIPTIONS 67

APPENDIX C: PCAQCD AMBIENT AIR MONITORING DATA 87

APPENDIX D: PUBLIC COMMENTS 103

This page intentionally blank

Introduction

This document provides two distinct products: 1) a description of the Pinal County Air Quality monitoring system in the form of an Annual Monitoring Network Plan, and 2) a summary of data obtained from the network.

40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 58.10 requires an annual monitoring network plan to summarize the air quality surveillance system consisting of State and Local Air Monitoring Stations (SLAMS) and Special Purpose Monitors (SPM) operated under state and local authority. According to the regulation, the Annual Monitoring Network Plan must be submitted to the Regional Administrator by July 1 each year.

The annual monitoring network plan must identify the purpose of each monitor and provide evidence that both the siting and the operation of each monitor meet the requirements in 40 CFR Part 58 appendices A, C, D, and E below:

- Appendix A – Quality Assurance Requirements for SLAMS, SPMs, and PSD (Prevention of Significant Deterioration) Air Monitoring
- Appendix C – Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Methodology
- Appendix D – Network Design Criteria for Ambient Air Quality Monitoring
- Appendix E – Probe and Monitoring Path Siting Criteria for Ambient Air Quality Monitoring

Pinal County Air Quality operates air quality monitors that record ambient concentrations of several criteria pollutants. Criteria pollutants are those that the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has defined as a potential risk to health, and correspondingly defined a National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS).[1] The standards are intended to protect public health and welfare by setting limits on the allowable level of each pollutant in the ambient air.

The criteria pollutants are particulate matter less than or equal to 10 microns (PM_{10}), particulate matter less than or equal to 2.5 microns ($PM_{2.5}$), ozone (O_3), carbon monoxide (CO), sulfur dioxide (SO_2), nitrogen dioxide (NO_2), and lead (Pb).

Areas in which monitored air quality shows that the NAAQS are violated are defined as nonattainment for the offending pollutant. A nonattainment designation requires an area-specific curative implementation plan, typically including stricter air quality permitting regulations on industrial facilities, mobile source emission controls and additional regulations on development. Generally, areas with monitored air quality that meet the standards are defined as attainment. Areas without sufficient monitoring data may also be defined as unclassifiable. Although the bulk of Pinal County is classified as attainment for all pollutants, Figure 1.1 illustrates the current pollutant-specific nonattainment areas in Pinal County.

This document is arranged with several sections. Each section will address specific requirements of 40 CFR Part 58 or provide summary air quality data. The sections are organized accordingly:

Section 1 describes the NAAQS standard for each pollutant monitored by Pinal County Air Quality. Section 2 describes 40 CFR Part 58 defined monitoring objectives and scales of representation. Section 3 provides Pinal County's network design, and measure of compliance

[1] See Clean Air Act ("CAA") §§ 108,109, and 40 CFR §50.1 *et seq.*

with minimum site requirements, and an overview of how the Pinal County network achieves precision measurements as required by the monitoring rules. Section 4 describes each site in the network and evaluates the sites for compliance with siting requirements set forth by EPA. Section 5 describes the proposed changes to the monitoring network. Section 6 analyzes data trends and compares the data collected to the standard.

The appendices of this document presents a list of all the abbreviations used in the document (Appendix A), a picture and summary table for each monitoring site operated by Pinal County Air Quality (Appendix B), a tabular summary of the monitoring data (Appendix C), and summarizes the public comment period and hearing conducted in relation to this document (Appendix D).

Pinal County Nonattainment Areas



Map created 3/2/11
By: SD

Figure 1.1

This page intentionally blank

1.0 National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)

This section provides a brief description of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone, particulate matter, lead, nitrogen dioxide, and carbon monoxide. As background, the Clean Air Act requires EPA to set National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for six criteria pollutants; ozone, particulate matter, lead, nitrogen dioxide, carbon monoxide, and sulfur dioxide. The Clean Air Act established two types of national air quality standards for these polluntants. Primary standards set limits to protect public health, including the health of "sensitive" populations such as asthmatics, children, and the elderly. Secondary standards set limits to protect public welfare, including protection against visibility impairment, damage to animals, crops, vegetation, and buildings.

Ozone

The one-hour standard was established in 1971 and set at a level of 0.08 parts per million (ppm). In 1979, the standard was revised to 0.12ppm and was an exceedance based standard, which required that the number of expected exceedance be less than or equal to 1.0. An exceedance of the one-hour ozone standard occurred if an observed one-hour average was greater than 0.120 ppm. Generally, the number of daily exceedances (only the daily maximum counts as an exceedance) equals the expected exceedance rate. Thus, the standard effectively allows only one exceedance to be recorded per calendar year.

EPA updated the ozone standard in 1997 and created an 8-hour standard. The 8-hour primary ozone standard was 0.08 ppm. The decision to revise the standards was challenged in court by a number of parties and ultimately reached the U.S. Supreme Court. In 2001, the Court unanimously upheld the constitutionality of the 1970 Clean Air Act provision that authorizes EPA to set NAAQS to protect public health and welfare. EPA proceeded with implementing the 8-hour standard by making nonattainment designations in April 2004 and revoking the 1-hour standard in August 2005.

The latest revision to the ozone NAAQS was made on March 12, 2008. The 8-hour standard was set to a level of 0.075 ppm. In addition to changing the level of the standard, EPA specified the level of the standard to the third decimal. An area will meet the revised standard if the three-year average of the annual fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour average at every ozone monitor is less than or equal to 0.075 ppm. In 2010 EPA agreed to review the 2008 ozone NAAQS. The potential range for the standard is 0.060 to 0.070 ppm.

The Clean Air Act requires EPA to designate areas as attainment (meeting the standards), nonattainment (not meeting the standards), or unclassifiable (insufficient data to classify) after the Agency sets a new standard, or revises an existing standard.

Table 1.1

Key Year	Action	Level	Averaging Period	Form
1979	1-hour standard revised	0.120ppm	1 hour	Expected exceedance
1997	8-hour standard proposed	0.08ppm	8 hour	3year average of the 4th highest value
2005	1-hour standard revoked (Applies only in limited areas)			
2008	8-hour standard revised	0.075ppm	8 hour	3year average of the 4th highest value

Table 1.2

Ozone Primary Standards		Secondary Standards	
Level	**Averaging Time**	**Level**	**Averaging Time**
0.075 ppm (2008 std)	8-hour [1]	Same as Primary	
0.08 ppm (1997 std)	8-hour [2]	Same as Primary	
0.12 ppm	1-hour [3] (Applies only in limited areas)	Same as Primary	

1 To attain this standard, the 3-year average of the fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour average ozone concentrations measured at each monitor within an area over each year must not exceed 0.075 ppm. (effective May 27, 2008)

2 (a) To attain this standard, the 3-year average of the fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour average ozone concentrations measured at each monitor within an area over each year must not exceed 0.08 ppm. (b) The 1997 standard—and the implementation rules for that standard—will remain in place for implementation purposes as EPA undertakes rulemaking to address the transition from the 1997 ozone standard to the 2008 ozone standard.

3 (a) The standard is attained when the expected number of days per calendar year with maximum hourly average concentrations above 0.12 ppm is ≤ 1. (b) As of June 15, 2005 EPA revoked the 1-hour ozone standard in all areas except the 8-hour ozone nonattainment Early Action Compact (EAC) areas.

Particulate Matter (PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$)

The nation's air quality standards for particulate matter were first established in 1971 and were not significantly revised until 1987, when EPA changed the indicator of the standards to regulate inhalable particles smaller than, or equal to, 10 micrometers in diameter (that's about 1/4 the size of a single grain of table salt).

Ten years later, after a lengthy review, EPA revised the PM standards, setting separate standards for fine particles ($PM_{2.5}$) based on their link to serious health problems ranging from increased symptoms, hospital admissions and emergency room visits for people with heart and lung disease, to premature death in people with heart or lung disease.

The 1997 standards also retained slightly revised standards for PM_{10} which were intended to regulate "inhalable coarse particles" that ranged from 2.5 to 10 micrometers in diameter. PM_{10} measurements, however, contain both fine and coarse particles.

EPA revised the air quality standards for particle pollution in 2006. The 2006 standards tighten the 24-hour fine particle standard from the current level of 65 micrograms per cubic meter ($\mu g/m^3$) to 35 $\mu g/m^3$, and retain the current annual fine particle standard at 15 $\mu g/m^3$. The Agency decided to retain the existing 24-hour PM_{10} standard of 150 $\mu g/m^3$. The Agency revoked the annual PM_{10} standard, because available evidence does not suggest a link between long-term exposure to PM_{10} and health problems.

The Clean Air Act requires EPA to review the latest scientific information and standards every five years. Before new standards are established, policy decisions undergo rigorous review by the scientific community, industry, public interest groups, the general public and the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC).

Table 1.3

National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particle Pollution			
Pollutant	**Primary Standard**	**Averaging Times**	**Secondary Standard**
Particulate Matter (PM_{10})	Revoked (1)	Annual (1) (Arith. Mean)	
	150 µg/m^3	24-hour (2)	Same as Primary
Particulate Matter ($PM_{2.5}$)	15.0 µg/m^3	Annual (3) (Arith. Mean)	Same as Primary
	35 µg/m^3	24-hour (4)	Same as Primary

Footnotes:
(1) - Due to a lack of evidence linking health problems to long-term exposure to coarse particle pollution, the agency revoked the annual PM_{10} standard in 2006 (effective December 17, 2006).

(2) - Not to be exceeded more than once per year on average over 3 years.

(3) - To attain this standard, the 3-year average of the weighted annual mean $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations from single or multiple community-oriented monitors must not exceed 15.0 µg/m^3.

(4) - To attain this standard, the 3-year average of the 98th percentile of 24-hour concentrations at each population-oriented monitor within an area must not exceed 35µg/m^3 (effective December 17, 2006).

PM10 Nonattainment Status

On October 1, 2010 EPA proposed to redesignate from unclassifiable to nonattainment an area generally covering the western half of Pinal County for the 1987 national ambient air quality standard for PM_{10}. EPA's proposal to establish this new PM_{10} nonattainment area, generally the western portion of the county, is based on numerous recorded violations of the PM_{10} standard at various monitoring sites within the county. EPA's proposed boundary had not been published at the time this document was prepared. Additional information will be provided in the 2012 Network Plan.

PM2.5 Nonattainment Designation

On February 3, 2011, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issued final air quality designations for the 2006 24-hour $PM_{2.5}$ National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for Pinal County, Plumas County, California and Shasta County, California. The designations became effective March 7, 2011.

EPA deferred final designations for these areas in November 2009 when the Agency designated all other areas of the country. EPA deferred action on Pinal County to evaluate the reasons for high fine particle concentrations measured by the violating monitor. The Pinal County designation included a portion of the county (Figure 1.2) based upon air quality monitoring data from 2006-2008. Designations for the Pinal portions of the Gila River Indian Community and the Ak-Chin Indian Community were deferred until completion of the formal consultation process.



Figure 1.2

Lead

On October 15, 2008, EPA substantially strengthened the national ambient air quality standard for lead. The revised standards are 10 times tighter than the previous standards, set in 1978. EPA revised the level of the primary (health-based) standard from 1.5 micrograms per cubic meter ($\mu g/m^3$), to 0.15 $\mu g/m^3$ measured as total suspended particles (TSP). The secondary (welfare-based) standard is identical in all respects to the primary standard.

The averaging time and form of the lead standard were also revised. The calculation method for the averaging time was changed to use to a 'rolling' three month period with a maximum (not-to-be-exceeded) form, evaluated over a three-year period. This replaces the previous approach of using calendar quarters. A rolling three month average considers each of the 12 three-month periods associated with a given year, not just the four calendar quarters within that year.

Table 1.3

National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Lead			
Pollutant	**Primary Standard**	**Averaging Times**	**Secondary Standard**
Lead (as measured by TSP)	1.5 $\mu g/m^3$ (old standard)	Avg. of Calendar Quarter	Same as Primary
Lead (as measured by TSP)	0.15 $\mu g/m^3$	Rolling 3-Month Avg.[1]	Same as Primary

Footnotes:
(1) – Form of the standard requires evaluation of data collected over a 3 year period

Nitrogen Dioxide

On January 22, 2010, EPA strengthened the health-based National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for nitrogen dioxide (NO_2). EPA set a new 1-hour NO_2 standard at the level of 100 parts per billion (ppb). In addition to establishing an averaging time and level, EPA also set a new "form" for the standard. The form for the 1-hour NO_2 standard is the 3-year average of the 98th percentile of the annual distribution of daily maximum 1-hour average concentrations. EPA retained, with no change, the current annual average NO_2 standard of 53 ppb.

To determine compliance with the new standard, EPA established a new ambient air monitoring and reporting requirements for NO_2. In urban areas, monitors are required near major roads as well as in other locations where maximum concentrations are expected. Additional monitors are required in large urban areas to measure the highest concentrations of NO_2 that occur more broadly across communities.
These changes will not affect the secondary NO_2 standard, set to protect public welfare. EPA is considering the need for changes to the secondary standard under a separate review.

Monitoring guidance provided by EPA targets new monitoring in large population centers and near-roadway measurements. The monitoring requirements are as follows: 1) population centers greater that 500,000 will require 1 monitoring site, 2) population center greater than 2,500,000 will require 2. Based upon current population Pinal County will not be required to implement NO_2 monitoring as these sites will be installed in Maricopa County.

Carbon Monoxide

On January 11, 2011 EPA proposed a revision to the carbon monoxide (CO) standard. In the proposed rule EPA is recommends retaining the current standards. EPA is also proposing changes to the ambient air monitoring requirements for CO including those related to network design. Under the proposed rule no CO monitoring would be required in Pinal County. Additional information will be provided in the 2012 network plan.

This page intentionally blank

2.0 Monitoring Objectives and Spatial Scales

The design of an Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Network should meet six basic monitoring objectives listed in Appendix D of 40 CFR Part 58. These six objectives are:

1. Determine the highest concentrations expected to occur in the areas covered by the network.
2. Determine representative concentrations in areas of high population density.
3. Determine the impact on ambient pollution levels of significant sources or source categories.
4. Determine general background concentration levels.
5. Determine the extent of regional pollutant transport among populated areas.
6. Determine the welfare related impacts in more rural and remote areas in support of secondary standards.

A State and Local Air Monitoring Station (SLAMS) network consists of monitoring stations that provide data to meet these monitoring objectives. Monitoring stations generally correspond to a spatial scale identified in 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D. Spatial scale of representativeness is described in terms of the physical dimension of the air parcel nearest to a monitoring station throughout which actual pollutant concentrations are reasonably similar. Table 2.1 lists these spatial scales.

Table 2.1: Spatial Scales

Spatial Scale	Dimension
Microscale	Several meters up to 100 meters
Middle scale	100 meters up to 0.5 kilometers
Neighborhood Scale	0.5 kilometers to 4.0 kilometers
Urban Scale	4 kilometers to 50 kilometers
Regional Scale	Tens to hundreds of kilometers

40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D also describes the relationship between the monitoring objectives and the spatial scales that are generally most appropriate for each objective. Table 2.2 summarizes this relationship.

Table 2.2: Monitoring Objectives

Monitoring Objective	Appropriate Siting Scales
Highest Concentration	Micro, Middle, Neighborhood (Sometimes urban)
Population	Neighborhood, Urban
Source Impact	Micro, Middle, Neighborhood
General / Background	Neighborhood, Urban, Regional
Regional Transport	Urban / Regional
Welfare-related impact	Urban / Regional

A Special Purpose Monitor (SPM) is a monitor that is included in an agency's monitoring network, but not part of the SLAMS network. SPMs are generally used to monitor specific sources, although any of the above siting scales may be appropriate. In December 2006 the EPA revised 40 CFR 58.20 indicating that where a SPM operates for more than 24 months all data collected may be eligible for comparison to the relevant NAAQS.

40 CFR Part 50 and 53 define Federal Reference Methods (FRM) and Federal Equivalent Methods (FEM), which provide precise methodology for quantifying ambient concentrations of air pollutants. FRMs are monitoring methods that are associated with the NAAQS for the pollutant described in the appendices to 40 CFR 50 and determined by EPA to be FRMs. FEMs are alternative monitoring methods that have been designated by EPA as obtaining "equivalent" results when compared to the FRM, as determined by 40 CFR 53. An additional option for air monitoring agencies is the Approved Regional Method (ARM). This designation requires the applying agency to conduct specific field testing and evaluation demonstrating that the method meets Class III precision and accuracy requirements listed in Subpart C of 40 CFR Part 53.

Pinal County Air Quality uses FRMs to collect filter based PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ samples and Automated Equivalent Methods (FEMs) for continuous PM_{10} and ozone.

Three types of PM_{10} monitors are used throughout the monitoring network: 1) the filter-based high-volume sampler, 2) filter based medium volume sampler, and 3) the Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance (TEOM) which measures PM_{10} on a continuous basis.

Two types of $PM_{2.5}$ monitors are used throughout the monitoring network: 1) the filter based medium volume sampler equipped with the appropriate size fractioning device, and 2) the FDMS TEOM (Filter Dynamic Measurement System) which measure $PM_{2.5}$ on a continuous basis.

The Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) operated a sulfur dioxide (SO_2) analyzer in San Manuel, Pinal County until December of 2007. The San Manuel site was discontinued as proposed in the State Implementation Plan (SIP) and Network Plan and subsequent attainment finding by EPA for the area. ADEQ retains authority to monitor copper smelters in Arizona.

ADEQ operates ozone and trace level nitrogen oxide (NO_x) analyzers at Queen Valley as a part of its PAMS network. There are currently no monitors in Pinal County that measure lead (Pb) although ADEQ operates samplers in other portions of the state that measure lead. Refer to the State of Arizona Monitoring Network Plan for information on these criteria pollutants.

A process for relocating violating $PM_{2.5}$ monitors is described at 40 CFR Part 58.10 (c). The rule requires that the annual monitoring network plan must document how States and local agencies provide for the review of changes to a $PM_{2.5}$ monitoring network that impact the location of a violating $PM_{2.5}$ monitor or the creation/change to a community monitoring zone, including a description of the proposed use of spatial averaging for purposes of making comparisons to the annual $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS as set forth in appendix N to 40 CFR Part 50. The affected State or local agency must document the process for obtaining public comment and include any comments received through the public notification process within their submitted plan.

Pinal County Air Quality does not intend to establish community monitoring zones as described in the rule or utilize spatial averaging for comparison to the $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS. For the time period covered by this plan, Pinal County Air Quality does not intend to relocate any violating $PM_{2.5}$ monitors. In the event that a violating $PM_{2.5}$ monitor is relocated the following process will be utilized to address the required public comment process:

1. Evaluation of the potential replacement site will include review and comparison of available pollutant data, meteorology, climatology, terrain, and siting characteristics. This information will be documented in a brief report.
2. Make notice of such a change in the annual monitoring plan.
3. If the change must be accomplished prior to annual monitoring plan submittal, Pinal County will make appropriate notice via the agency Web page and invite participation from the public prior to relocation of the affected site.
4. Relocation of affected monitor.

This page intentionally blank

3.0 Network Design and Measurement Quality

3.1 Network Design

This section provides a list of monitoring site designations. Table 3.1 and Table 3.2 identify Pinal County Air Quality's current SLAMS and SPM designations, respectively.

The SIP as it applies to Pinal County does not make any SLAMS designations. In 2000 Pinal County compiled its first annual network review which included SLAM/SPM site designations. The past annual network reviews have been submitted to both ADEQ and EPA for comment.

Table 3.1: SLAMS Summary

Site Name	AQS ID	Classification	Scale	Objective	Pollutant
Apache Junction Fire Station	040213002	SLAMS	Neighborhood	Population	$PM_{2.5}$
Apache Junction Fire Station	040213002	SLAMS	Neighborhood	Population	PM_{10}
Apache Junction Maint.Yard	040213001	SLAMS	Neighborhood	Population	O_3
Casa Grande Airport	040213003	SLAMS	Neighborhood	Population	O_3
Casa Grande Downtown	040210001	SLAMS	Neighborhood	Population	$PM_{2.5}$
Coolidge Maintenance Yard	040213004	SLAMS	Neighborhood	Population	PM_{10}
Eloy City Complex	040213014	SLAMS	Neighborhood	Population	PM_{10}
Pinal Air Park	040213007	SLAMS	Regional	Background	PM_{10}
Pinal County Housing Complex (HiVol)	040213011	SLAMS	Neighborhood	Population	PM_{10}
Stanfield County Complex TEOM	040213008	SLAMS	Neighborhood	Population	PM_{10}
Casa Grande Downtown TEOM	040210001	SLAMS	Neighborhood	Population	PM_{10}

Table 3.2: SPM Summary

Site Name	AQS ID	Classification	Scale	Objective	Pollutant
Combs School TEOM	040213009	SPM	Neighborhood	Population	PM_{10}
Cowtown Road TEOM	040213013	SPM	Middle Scale	SourceImpact	PM_{10}
Cowtown Road	040213013	SPM	Middle Scale	SourceImpact	PM_{10}
Cowtown Road	040213013	SPM	Middle Scale	SourceImpact	$PM_{2.5}$
County Complex Maricopa TEOM	040213010	SPM	Neighborhood	Population	PM_{10}
Pinal Air Park	040213007	SPM	Regional	Transport	O_3
Pinal County Housing Complex TEOM	040213011	SPM	Neighborhood	Population	PM_{10}

Pinal County Air Quality proposes to retain the SPM designation for the monitors listed in Table 3.2. The ozone analyzers at Combs School, County Complex Maricopa, and Pinal Air Park will operate from April 1 through October 31, the seasonal schedule. The PM_{10} TEOM analyzers at Maricopa, Combs School, and Pinal County Housing will also retain SPM designation. The Cowtown medium volume FRM (47mm) and TEOM samplers are operated to monitor specific sources near the site.

3.2 AQS Requirements

In 2002 Pinal County Air Quality began entering local monitoring data into the EPA's AQS database. 40 CFR 58.16 requires that all ambient air quality data and associated quality assurance checks for all criteria pollutants be submitted to EPA via AQS. Additionally, an annual data certification is required by 40 CFR 58.15. The certification must be sent to EPA Region IX by May 1 stating that the data has been submitted correctly. Pinal County Air Quality submitted an annual data certification for 2010 on April 29, 2011. Precision data for 2010 were submitted to AQS as of February 2011.

3.3 Minimum Network Requirements

40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D defines minimum monitoring requirements based on the population of the Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) and the design value for each NAAQS. Pinal County is part of the Phoenix-Mesa-Glendale MSA, which has a population of 4,192,887 (US Census Bureau, 2010 Census data, http://2010.census.gov/2010census/data/index.php). Within Appendix D the EPA recognizes that State or local agencies must consider MSA/CSA boundaries and their own political boundaries and geographical characteristics in designing their air monitoring networks. The Appendix states that there may be situations where the EPA Regional Administrator and the affected State or local agencies may need to augment or to divide the overall MSA/CSA monitoring responsibilities and requirements among these various agencies to achieve an effective network design. Full monitoring requirements apply separately to each affected State or local agency in the absence of an agreement between the affected agencies and the EPA Regional Administrator.

The design value is a calculated value based upon the highest recorded concentration at a site in the attainment or nonattainment area. The process for computing the value for each criteria pollutant is described in the appendices of 40 CFR Part 50. For the purpose of this document the design values listed are the highest calculated concentrations recorded in Pinal County. Tables 3.3 through 3.5 list the minimum monitor requirements for $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10}, and Ozone, respectively.

Table 3.3 $PM_{2.5}$ Monitoring Requirements (SLAMS)

Population (MSA)	Most recent 3 yr design value ≥ 85% NAAQS	Most recent 3 yr design value <85% NAAQS
>1M	3	2
500K-1M	2	1
50K-500K	1	0

Table 3.4 PM_{10} Monitoring Requirements (SLAMS)

Population (MSA)	High Concentration Exceeds NAAQS by 20% or more (>180µg/m^3)	Medium Concentration Exceeds 80% of NAAQS (>120µg/m^3)	Low Concentration Less than 80% NAAQS (<120 µg/m^3)
>1M	6-10	4-8	2-4
500K-1M	4-8	2-4	1-2
250K-500K	3-4	1-2	0-1
100K-250K	1-2	0-1	0

Table 3.5 Ozone Monitoring Requirements (SLAMS)

Population (MSA)	Most recent 3 yr design value ≥ 85% NAAQS	Most recent 3 yr design value <85% NAAQS
>10M	4	2
4-10M	3	1
350K-4M	2	1
50K-350K	1	0

Tables 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8 depict Pinal County's minimum monitoring requirements for PM_{10}, $PM_{2.5}$ and ozone. The tables below show the minimum monitoring requirements are being met.

These tables only include SLAMS monitors operated in Pinal County, and do not include monitors operated in other areas of the MSA. 40 CFR 58.20 states that SPM monitors may not be used to show compliance with the minimum monitoring requirements.

Table 3.6 lists the minimum monitoring requirements for ozone. The highest 8-hour ozone concentration site in Pinal County is the Apache Junction ozone site. The calculated ozone design value using the Apache Junction 3-year average of the 4th highest 8-hour average for the period of 2008-2010 is 0.074 ppm. This value is ≥ 85% of the NAAQS, which requires a minimum of three ozone monitors in the MSA.

Table 3.6: Minimum Monitoring Requirements for Ozone (O_3)

MSA	Population (US Census 2010)	8-hour Design Value (2008-2010)	Minimum Monitors Required	# of Active SLAMS Monitors	Monitors Needed
Phoenix-Mesa-Glendale	4,192,887	0.074	3	3	0

Table 3.7 lists the minimum monitoring requirements for PM_{10}. The highest PM_{10} concentration recorded at a SLAMS site over the last 3 years of operation (2008-2010) was 374 µg/m^3 at Stanfield. The value exceeds the NAAQS by 20% or more and is considered a high concentration area. The high concentration designation requires six to ten monitors in the MSA.

Table 3.7: Minimum Monitoring Requirements for PM_{10}

MSA	Population (US Census 2010)	Design Value (2008-2010)	Minimum Monitors Required	# of SLAMS Active Monitors	Monitors Needed
Phoenix-Mesa-Glendale	4,192,887	374	6 to 10	7	0

Table 3.8 illustrates the minimum monitoring requirements for $PM_{2.5}$. The highest $PM_{2.5}$ 3-year average recorded at a Pinal County SLAMS site was at Casa Grande Downtown. The calculated $PM_{2.5}$ design value for the Casa Grande is as follows: 1) 3-year average of the annual means is 9.5 $\mu g/m^3$, 2) 3-year average of the 98th percentiles is 20 $\mu g/m^3$. Both values are < 85% of the NAAQS, which requires two monitors in the MSA.

Table 3.8: Minimum Monitoring Requirements for $PM_{2.5}$

MSA	Population (US Census 2010)	Design Value (2008-2010)	Minimum Monitors Required	# of Active SLAMS Monitors	Monitors Needed
Phoenix-Mesa-Glendale	4,192,887	9.5 (annual) 20 (24-hour)	2	2	0

3.4 Minimum Sample Frequency

$PM_{2.5}$ - The monitoring rule at 40 CFR 58.12 (d)(1) states that required manual $PM_{2.5}$ samplers at SLAMS stations must operate on at least a 1-in-3 day schedule at sites without a collocated continuously operating $PM_{2.5}$ monitor. For SLAMS $PM_{2.5}$ sites with both manual and continuous $PM_{2.5}$ monitors operating, the monitoring agency may request approval from the EPA Regional Administrator for a reduction to 1-in-6 day $PM_{2.5}$ sampling at SLAMS stations or for seasonal sampling. The EPA Regional Administrator may grant sampling frequency reductions after consideration of factors including, but not limited to, the historical $PM_{2.5}$ data quality assessments, the location of current $PM_{2.5}$ design value sites, and their regulatory data needs. Sites that have design values that are within plus or minus 10 percent of the NAAQS (±10% of 35$\mu g/m^3$ is 31.5-38.5) and sites where the 24-hour values exceed the NAAQS for a period of 3 years are required to maintain at least a 1-in-3 day sampling frequency. Sites that have a design value within plus or minus 5 percent of the daily $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS (±5% of 35$\mu g/m^3$ is 33.25-36.75) must have an FRM or FEM operating on a daily schedule.

In 2008 Pinal County proposed and received approval from EPA Region IX to reduce the sample frequency at the Casa Grande site from 1 in 3 to 1 in 6 after the end of the 2008 sample year. This change was implemented on January 1, 2009. During 2007 samples were collected on the 1 in 3 schedule. Prior to 2007 the site operated at a 1 in 6 frequency for 8 years. During the time this site has operated the 3 year average of the 98th percentile value has not exceeded 21$\mu g/m^3$. With this change in sample frequency equipment was made available to begin collecting a precision $PM_{2.5}$ measurements at the site. Sample frequencies are summarized in Table 3.9.

The 1 in 3 sample frequency at Apache Junction will not change.

The Cowtown $PM_{2.5}$ sample frequency has been 1 in 6 since the sampler was installed in August 2006. According to 40CFR 58.12 (d)(1) 1 in 3 sampling is required based upon the current design value. In February of 2011 an FDMS TEOM was installed at the site. Pinal County will evaluate the operation of the instrument for a 10 month period to determine if performance is adequate to represent PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations. If instrument performance is acceptable it will replace current PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ filter based sample collection at the site. Additional detail will be provided in the 2012 plan.

Table 3.9 $PM_{2.5}$ Sampling Frequencies

Site Name	3-Year Average of 98th percentile 2008-2010	Current Sample Frequency	Required Frequency
Casa Grande	20.0	1 in 6[1]	1 in 3
Apache Junction	14.0	1 in 3	1 in 3

1. See description on this regarding precision samples and sample frequency

PM_{10} - The monitoring rule at 40 CFR 58.12 (e) states that for PM_{10} sites, the minimum monitoring schedule for the site in the area of expected maximum concentration shall be based on the relative level of that monitoring site concentration with respect to the 24-hour standard. Pinal County currently operates a continuous monitor at its maximum PM_{10} concentration site. Therefore, no change to the PM_{10} sample frequency is required.

3.5 Measurement Quality Checks

To provide a quality assurance demonstration, Appendix A of 40 CFR Part 58 requires a minimum number of collocated sampling sites based on the total number of manual (filter-based) particulate monitoring sites in the network. Generally, precision sampling involves operating two identical collocated samplers at the same location on the same sampling schedule.

Appendix A of 40 CFR Part 58 Section 3.3.1 requires fifteen percent of the filter based PM_{10} monitoring sites, by collection method, in a network to be collocated. Pinal County Air Quality currently operates a total of ten PM_{10} sites. Seven sites utilize high-volume samplers and 2 sites utilize medium-volume samplers (47mm). Table 3.10 summarizes the status of collocated sites in the PM_{10} network.

The Pinal County Housing site is utilized to collect the required PM_{10} collocated High-Volume samples. Pinal County Air Quality does not currently operate a precision site for comparing medium volume filter based PM_{10} monitors (47mm) and, therefore, does not meet the required minimum number of collocated sites. The addition of 1 collocated site is required. This topic is addressed in Section 5.0, Proposed Changes to the Network.

Table 3.10: Minimum Collocated Monitoring Requirements for PM_{10}

Sampling Method	Total Number of Sites	Required Collocated Sites	Number of Collocated Sites
High-Volume (Wedding or Anderson)	5	1	1 (Pinal County Housing)
Medium-Volume (Partisol or RAAS)	1	1	0

Appendix A of 40 CFR Part 58 does not require collocation of continuous PM_{10} monitors. Measurement quality of continuous TEOM instrument is achieved through flow verification checks conducted at least once per month.

Appendix A of 40 CFR Part 58 Section 3.2.5 requires $PM_{2.5}$ networks to include collocated sampling at fifteen percent of the monitoring sites in a network. Pinal County Air Quality operates three $PM_{2.5}$ sites with one collocated measurement made at Casa Grande Downtown. As described above, this was a change made in 2009 to correct a deficiency note in the 2007 plan.

3.6 Ozone Season Definition

In the 2007-2008 Annual Ambient Monitoring Network Plan Pinal County requested and was granted a modification to the area ozone season in accordance with 40 CFR Part 58, Appendix D, Paragraph 4.1(i). The modification to the ozone season defined the season as April 1 through October 31.

Pinal County operates a portion of the ozone network on a seasonal basis from April 1 through October 31 each year. This is based upon the updated data summary below from the Casa Grande and Apache Junction ozone sites for years 2002 through 2010. These results demonstrate that ozone concentrations have not routinely exceeded 75 ppb during the period from October through March. See Figure 3.1 below. A more robust review of the Pinal County ozone monitoring season will be conducted in the 2012 Network Plan including additional data from seasonally operated sites. Additionally, a 1998 EPA guidance document entitled, "Guideline for Selecting and Modifying the Ozone Monitoring Season Based on an 8-Hour Ozone Standard" supports a shorter ozone season for Arizona based upon data collected from 1990 through 1995.

The modified seasonal schedule is applied to the following sites: Combs School, Pinal Air Park, and Maricopa County Complex. The Casa Grande Airport and Apache Junction Maintenance Yard will continue to operate on a January to December schedule. With the past approval of this modification, Pinal County made appropriate corrections to the seasonal definition in the AQS database and annual monitoring plan.



Figure 3.1

3.7 Quality System Requirements

Pinal County Air Quality submitted a Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) to EPA Region IX in January 2007. The QAPP covered all aspects of the ambient monitoring network operations, filter weighing process, and data quality review. All instrument SOPs were completed and included in the QAPP. EPA provided feedback on the QAPP in July of 2008. Pinal County Air quality is currently revising appropriate sections of the QAPP to address the comments. All flow rate standards used by Pinal County are traceable to NIST Standards and are recertified annually. The ozone standard is verified by the California Resource Board on an annual basis and the ozone transfer standard is verified by Pinal Staff monthly.

Through ADEQ, Pinal County is a participant in the EPA National Performance audit program (NPAP) and the PM Performance Evaluation Program (PEP). Pinal County sites are included in the EPA sponsored audit programs. The most recent semi-annual flow audits and annual performance audits are show below. ADEQ conducts performance audits of Pinal County monitors according to frequencies described in 40 CFR Part 58. All flow rate standards used by ADEQ are traceable to NIST Standards and a recertified annually. The ozone standard used by ADEQ is checked twice per year.

Currently, EPA does not consider Pinal County Air Quality a primary quality assurance organization as defined by 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix A, paragraph 3.1.1. During 2009 Pinal County began discussion with EPA Region IX gain this designation.

Semi-Annual Flow Rate Audits

Site	Parameter	Audit Date 1	Audit Date 2
Apache Junction Fire Station	$PM_{2.5}$	2/16/11	7/21/10
Apache Junction Fire Station	PM_{10}	2/16/11	7/21/10
Casa Grande Downtown	$PM_{2.5}$	4/13/11	10/14/10
Casa Grande Downtown	PM_{10}	4/13/11	10/14/10
Casa Grande Downtown TEOM	PM_{10}	4/13/11	10/14/10
Combs School TEOM	PM_{10}	4/14/11	12/01/10
Coolidge Maintenance Yard	PM_{10}	1/11/11	7/21/10
County Complex Maricopa TEOM	PM_{10}	1/11/11	7/20/10
Cowtown Road	PM_{10}	1/12/11	11/17/10
Cowtown Road	$PM_{2.5}$	1/12/11	11/17/10
Cowtown Road TEOM	PM_{10}	1/12/11	11/17/10
Eloy City Complex	PM_{10}	1/11/11	7/20/10
Mammoth Courthouse	PM_{10}	5/12/11	11/3/10
Pinal Air Park	PM_{10}	1/21/11	7/20/10
Pinal County Housing Complex (POC 1 & 2)	PM_{10}	11/9/10	5/19/10
Pinal County Housing Complex TEOM	PM_{10}	11/9/10	5/19/10
Riverside	PM_{10}	5/11/11	11/3/10
Stanfield County Complex TEOM	PM_{10}	11/11/11	7/20/10

Annual Performance Audits

Site	Parameter	Audit Date
Apache Junction Maint.Yard	O_3	7/21/10
Casa Grande Airport	O_3	7/21/10
Combs School	O_3	4/14/11
County Complex Maricopa	O_3	7/20/11
Pinal Air Park	O_3	7/20/10

3.8 Lead Monitoring Network Description

The strengthening of the Lead NAAQS resulted in a revision to 40CFR Part 58.10. The revision requires state and local agencies to describe required lead monitoring networks in the annual monitoring network plan and submit the description to the Regional Administrator by July 1, 2009. Additionally on December 14, 2010 the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) revised the ambient monitoring requirements for measuring airborne lead. These rule amendments improved the lead monitoring network to better assess compliance with the revised National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) established in November 2008. EPA lowered the lead emissions monitoring threshold from 1.0 tons per year (tpy) to 0.50 tpy. Air quality monitoring agencies will use this threshold to determine if an air quality monitor is required to be placed near a facility emitting lead.

Appendix D to Part 58 entitled, "Network Design Criteria for Ambient Air Quality Monitoring", requires states and local agencies to establish ambient lead monitoring under two specific conditions:

> 1) Source-oriented SLAMS level monitoring located to measure the maximum lead concentration in ambient air resulting from each lead source which emits 0.5 tons or more tons per year based on either the most recent National Emission Inventory (NEI) (*http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/ eiinformation.html*) or other scientifically justifiable methods and data (such as improved emissions factors or site-specific data), and
>
> 2) Lead monitoring in each CBSA with a population equal to or greater than 500,000 people as determined by the latest available census figures. At a minimum, there must be one non-source-oriented SLAMS site located to measure neighborhood scale lead concentrations in urban areas impacted by re-entrained dust from roadways, closed industrial sources which previously were significant sources of lead, hazardous waste sites, construction and demolition projects, or other fugitive dust sources of lead.

To assess a potential point-source triggered requirement for ambient lead monitoring in Pinal County the 2005 NEI and internal emission inventory reports were reviewed by Pinal County Air Quality Staff. Table 3.11 summarizes lead emission calculated by NEI or reported through the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) in 2005. As reported to NEI in 2005 only one source in Pinal County exceeded the 0.5 ton per year threshold. A review of the ASARCO LLC Ray Operations Mine 2007 emission inventory report, which is required through an air quality operating permit, shows a revision to the annual lead emission rate from 1.27tpy to 0.014tpy. The revision was described in a May 12, 2008 letter accompanying the annual emission inventory submittal. Air Quality permit management reviewed and accepted the revised emission rate.

The second pathway for required ambient lead monitoring arises through CMSA/MSA population. Pinal County is included in the Phoenix-Mesa-Glendale, AZ MSA with a 2010 population of 4,192,887 million people. This is above the 500,000 person population threshold described above. Although Pinal County is included in the MSA the majority of the population resides in Maricopa County.

After review of the inventory and MSA population, Pinal County Air Quality has concluded that monitoring for ambient lead in the county will not be conducted. This conclusion is based upon the following; 1) no point source in the county emits lead above the 0.5 ton per year threshold and, 2) Pinal County assumes that the MSA required monitoring will be conducted in Maricopa County. Pinal County Air Quality will revisit the need and feasibility of lead monitoring as source emissions and economic conditions change.

Table 3.11: NEI 2005 Point Source Lead Emissions in Pinal County

Facility Name	2005 NEI v 2 Emissions (tpy)	TRI2005 TRI Emissions (tpy)
FABRICATED PRODUCTS INC. DBA S EAFAB METALS CO.	0.00555	0.00555
ASARCO LLC RAY OPERATIONS MINE	0.00025	1.27275
OWENS CORNING ELOY PLANT	0.0411	0.0542
WESTILE INC.	0.00005	0.00005

This page intentionally blank

4.0 Monitoring Site Descriptions

This section describes the purpose and classification of each monitoring site operated by Pinal County Air Quality. Appendix B contains images and summary tables for each site. The changes that have occurred or are planned at each site are detailed within each subsection. Each site has been evaluated for compliance with the siting criteria listed in 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D (Network Design) and Appendix E (Probe and Path Siting).

4.1 Apache Junction Fire Station

This site is located behind Apache Junction Fire Station #2 on Bureau of Land Management (BLM) property. Apache Junction lies at the fringe of the Phoenix metropolitan area, where urban development meets the Tonto National Forest and Superstition Wilderness. The site sits on the eastern boundary of the City of Apache Junction with residential homes to the east. Undisturbed desert immediately surrounds the site to the north, south and west with residential homes beyond that. The Superstition Mountain Range is located approximately one mile east of the site. The purpose of the site is to quantify $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} concentrations affecting the surrounding population on a neighborhood scale. This site is included in the statewide $PM_{2.5}$ network.

The site consists of a High-Volume PM_{10} sampler and a FRM sequential $PM_{2.5}$ sampler. The PM_{10} sampler operates on a one in six day schedule and the $PM_{2.5}$ sampler operates on a one in three day schedule.

The site was established in 1999 and consisted of two Andersen FRM $PM_{2.5}$ monitors, one of which operated every third day. The samplers did not take precision samples; instead their operation alternated. One sampler was operated on each run day so that the number of site visits was reduced. In June 2004 a sequential R&P FRM $PM_{2.5}$ sampler was installed in replace of the Andersen $PM_{2.5}$ monitors.

One High-Volume PM_{10} sampler from the Apache Junction Maintenance Yard (described in section 4.2) was moved to this site on July 1, 2003. Samples were collected at both sites until January 1, 2004 to develop a correlation between the two sites. The correlation was discussed further in the July 2004 version of the Ambient Monitoring Network Review and Data Summary document in section 5.3.1. As of January 1, 2004 the Apache Junction Fire Station site is the only PM_{10} site in Apache Junction.

The site meets 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D and E criteria.

4.2 Apache Junction Maintenance Yard

This site is located within the Pinal County Public Works Yard and is in the center of Apache Junction. Three major roads surround the site: State Highway 88, Idaho Road, and Superstition Boulevard. The maintenance yard area is graveled, well maintained, and historically activity in the yard has not adversely affected the samplers. The historical purpose of this site was to quantify PM_{10} concentrations affecting the surrounding population on a neighborhood scale, quantify carbon monoxide concentrations near a major intersection on a middle scale, and quantify ozone concentrations on the eastern

boundary of the Phoenix metropolitan area. The ozone concentration at this site reflects both regional transport and neighborhood scale population exposure.

Historically, the site consisted of two Wedding High-Volume PM_{10} monitors that collected precision samples on a one in six-day schedule, an ozone monitor, a carbon monoxide monitor, a wind system, a barometric pressure sensor and a temperature and relative humidity sensor. The inlet funnel on the ozone monitor was changed from stainless steel to Pyrex glass in 2001. The site has met 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D and E criteria since then.

In an effort to better utilize the resources available to Pinal County Air Quality, the District removed the carbon monoxide monitor located at this site on May 28th, 2002. The reasoning behind this is discussed in detail in section 6.1 of this document.

One of the PM_{10} High-Volume monitors located at this site was moved to the Apache Junction Fire Station site on July 1, 2003. PM_{10} monitoring took place at both sites until January 1, 2004, so that a correlation between the two sites could be developed. On January 1, 2004 the remaining PM_{10} High Volume monitor was moved to the Pinal County Housing site in order to create a co-located PM_{10} site. Refer to section 4.12 of this document for details on the Pinal County Housing site.

The existing tower at the Apache Junction Maintenance Yard site, on which the wind system is mounted, historically was not stable enough to produce accurate wind direction. The mounting of the meteorological equipment was reconfigured in May 2007 so that accurate measurements could be taken.

4.3 Casa Grande Airport

This site is located within the Casa Grande Municipal Airport. Casa Grande lies about twenty miles south of the Phoenix urban area, in a broad desert plain largely dominated by open field agriculture. A small industrial park is located within the airport complex and there are residential subdivisions to the north, south, and east of the airport. The airport is on the north edge of Casa Grande, although the entire surrounding area is growing rapidly. To the east of the airport approximately a quarter of a mile is a major thoroughfare, Pinal Avenue.

The objective of this site is to quantify ozone concentrations south of the Phoenix metropolitan area. The ozone concentration at this site reflects both regional transport and neighborhood scale population exposure.

In the past carbon monoxide was also monitored at this site. In an effort to better utilize the resources available to Pinal County Air Quality, the District removed the carbon monoxide monitor located at this site on October 11, 2002.

The site currently consists of an ozone analyzer, a wind system, a barometric pressure sensor and a temperature and relative humidity sensor. In August 2006 a new site shelter was installed. The site meets 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D and E criteria.

4.4 Casa Grande Downtown

This site is located on the roof of an Arizona Department of Economic Security building in the downtown area of Casa Grande. A core business district surrounds the site followed by residential areas in all directions. The purpose of the site is to quantify $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} concentrations affecting the surrounding population on a neighborhood scale.

Historically, the site consisted of a High-Volume PM_{10} sampler and a $PM_{2.5}$ FRM sampler. The samplers were moved further away from a nearby furnace flue in September of 2001. The High-Volume PM_{10} sampler operates on a one in six-day schedule. The $PM_{2.5}$ monitor at this site was upgraded from an Andersen $PM_{2.5}$ FRM sampler to a single channel R&P $PM_{2.5}$ FRM sampler in March 2004. The $PM_{2.5}$ FRM sampler had operated on a one in six day schedule since 1999. On January 1, 2007 the sample frequency was changed to one in three days to meet new monitoring requirements. In March of 2007 a second $PM_{2.5}$ FRM sampler was installed so that operation could alternate between the two and reduce trips to the site. A continuous PM_{10} TEOM was installed in March of 2007.

For the first sample of 2009, the sample frequency of the two $PM_{2.5}$ samplers was change from a frequency of 1 in 3 to 1 in 6 to allow for precision measurement. This change was proposed in the 2007 network plan.

On December 31, 2008 the High Volume PM_{10} sampler was moved from Casa Grande Downtown to Stanfield and replaced with a PM_{10} Thermo Partisol.

On December 31, 2010 the PM_{10} Partisol sampler at Casa Grande Downtown was discontinued. The PM_{10} TEOM was designated as a SLAMS monitor as of January 1, 2011 (see section 5.0 for additional detail).

The site meets 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D and E criteria.

4.5 Combs School

This site is located within the J.O. Combs Elementary School District campus and is approximately ten miles south of Apache Junction in an area that is rapidly being developed for residential use. The area has historically been dominated by open field agriculture, although residential developments have been built or are being planned to the north, south, east and west of the site. This site is used to quantify ozone and PM_{10} concentrations southeast of the Phoenix metropolitan area. The ozone concentration at this site reflects regional transport and neighborhood population exposure. The PM_{10} concentration at this site reflects neighborhood scale population exposure.

This site was installed in June of 2002 and ozone data recording began in July 2002, thus data for a portion of the 2002 ozone season are missing. In March of 2007 a continuous PM_{10} TEOM was added at the site. The site meets 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D and E criteria.

The ozone analyzer was discontinued May 18, 2011. See section 5.0 for additional detail.

4.6 Coolidge Maintenance Yard

This site is located within the Pinal County Public Works Yard on the east side of Coolidge. Coolidge lies about thirty miles southeast of the Phoenix urban area in a desert basin largely dominated by open field agriculture. Residential homes surround the site to the north, south, and east. West of the site is a railroad track with a business district on the other side. The purpose of this site is to quantify PM_{10} concentrations affecting the surrounding population on a neighborhood scale.

The site consists of a High-Volume PM_{10} sampler, which collects samples on a one in six-day schedule. Due to a scheduled demolition, the monitor was moved from the roof of a cargo trailer to a ground level stand in June of 2002. The monitor was moved approximately fifteen meters to the south and the inlet height was reduced from 5.6 meters to 3.4 meters.

The site meets 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D and E criteria.

4.7 Cowtown Road

This site is located approximately four miles southeast of the City of Maricopa. The site also lies within an irrigated agricultural plain, with active and retired agricultural operations to the north, northwest and east. Feedlots, a grain processing complex, and a commercial composting facility operate to the south, southwest and southeast. This site is used to look at several PM_{10} sources in the area, including agriculture, the grain-processing complex, the feedlots and county dirt roads.

The site was installed and data recording began in November of 2001. Since neither a quarterly nor annual average can be obtained from the two months of 2001 data this document will contain 2002 and subsequent data from this site. The site first consisted of a TEOM that collected continuous PM_{10} data, a wind system, and a temperature and relative humidity sensor. The area immediately surrounding the site was fenced off (at least 100 feet in all directions) in September of 2003 in order to stabilize the surrounding soil. The surrounding soil has subsequently been stabilized relatively well with the exception of the area to the south of the samplers. This area is a right of way that runs along the Casa Grande – Maricopa Highway and it was regularly disturbed by farm machinery (for instance a tractor and disc) in order to control weeds. In December of 2006 the right of way area adjacent to the site was fenced off to prevent this activity. Since December 2006 this site meets 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D and E criteria.

A new shelter was installed at this site in August 2003 to accommodate additional equipment. A time-lapse video system was installed at this time and was operated until July 2006. The TEOM at this site was upgraded to a new unit in June 2004. The new unit still sampled PM_{10} and had the filter dynamics measurement system (FDMS) installed as an option. This option is designed to better account for particles that are in a gaseous form. The FDMS unit had difficultly operating in the harsh environment at this site from the beginning. Due to the maintenance problems with the FDMS unit, a TEOM unit without the FDMS option was set up as the primary monitor in January 2005.

The FDMS TEOM was operated periodically during 2005 in an effort to find ways to maintain the sampler in this harsh environment. During May and June of 2005 the FDMS TEOM was operated along with the collocated regular TEOM unit, both collecting PM_{10}. During this time it was found that the regular TEOM unit was reading approximately 30% higher than the FDMS TEOM unit. District staff worked with representatives from the manufacturer of the units, R&P, to determine the cause of this discrepancy.

Two issues were identified with the regular TEOM unit. First, it was found that the five-minute averages of this unit often recorded a large negative number directly after an extremely large positive number (1000 $\mu g/m^3$ or more). The manufacturer of the unit concluded that the flow controllers were over correcting for the extremely large particulate matter concentrations and correspondingly creating the negative numbers. The second issue identified was that the unit often recorded elevated noise vibrations when extremely large concentrations were recorded. This was a result of a large amount of particulate matter being deposited on the oscillating microbalance in a short amount of time. In order to correct both of these discrepancies the main inlet flow on the regular TEOM unit was reduced to 1 liter per minute (from 3 liters per minute) in August 2005. The manufacturer has used this alternate setting in other areas with extremely high particulate matter concentrations. The main flow setting of 1 liter per minute still retains the FEM status of the unit.

A filter based Andersen FRM PM_{10} unit and a filter based Andersen FRM $PM_{2.5}$ unit were installed at this site in August of 2005. The units are both operated on a one in six day schedule. This allows PM_{10}, $PM_{2.5}$ and surrogate coarse data to be collected. Coarse material refers to particulate matter that is between 2.5 microns and 10 microns in size. The surrogate coarse data is extrapolated by subtracting the PM_{10} concentration from the $PM_{2.5}$ concentration.

Comparing the regular PM_{10} TEOM data (with the main flow set at 1 liter per minute) to the FRM filter based PM_{10} data yields an excellent correlation. The average percent error between the two is 7.0% for calendar year 2009. This demonstrates that setting the main flow to 1 liter per minute on a regular PM_{10} TEOM is an appropriate operating method in this harsh environment. During 2009 the average concentration at the site has decreased compared to prior years. If this trend continues the 1 liter flow adjustment may no longer be necessary.

Subsequent attempts during 2005 to build a suitable correlation between the regular PM_{10} TEOM and the FDMS PM_{10} TEOM unit were not successful. The FDMS PM_{10} TEOM continued to read approximately 15% below the regular PM_{10} TEOM. This does not appear to be a logical relationship since the FDMS unit is designed to better account for particles that are in a gaseous form and thus should be slightly higher than the regular PM_{10} TEOM. Further conversations with the manufacturer of the unit suggest the dryer that is built into the FDMS unit is scavenging coarse material. At this time Pinal County Air Quality has concluded that the FDMS TEOM unit is not suitable for measuring PM_{10} in this harsh environment that has a large coarse fraction. (The manufacturer may have a second-generation drier available in the future that would prevent the scavenging of coarse material in situations like this.) Due to the difficulty and expense of operating the FDMS TEOM in this environment, the instrument was shut down in May of 2007.

The time-lapse video system was relocated to the Stanfield site in July of 2006. The Cowtown site was several hundred yards from the feedlots being observed and did not allow the camera to record an overall view of the dust events.

On January 15, 2009 the PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ Anderson samplers were replaced with Thermo PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ Partisol samplers which also hold an EPA Federal Reference Method designation. Both the PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ instruments operate on a 1 in 6 day schedule.

On August 12, 2010 the main flow of TEOM was changed from 1 liter per minute to 3 liters per minute. The instrument was set to 1 liter per minute in 2005 to address instrument response to very high concentrations. A review of the instrument and data indicated that typical concentrations had decrease to a level where the 3 liters per minutes was appropriate. A comparison to PM_{10} values collected with the on-site filter based instrument compares well to the TEOM. No adverse conditions or reading have been observed after the change was made.

In September of 2010 a fixed location digital camera system was installed at the site and collects an image every 15 minutes.

In February of 2011 a Thermo-Fischer 1405DF FDMS TEOM was installed at the site. Pinal County will evaluate the operation of the instrument for a 10 month period to determine if performance is adequate to represent PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations. If instrument performance is acceptable it will replace current PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ filter based sample collection at the site. Additional detail will be provided in the 2012 plan.

This review proposes to revise the scale classification of the Cowtown site from micro scale to middle scale. An evaluation of the site characteristics, specifically removal of cattle and related facilities adjacent to the site, necessitates this proposed change. See section 5.0 for additional discussion.

The site meets 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D and E criteria.

4.8 Eloy County Complex

This site is located on the roof of the Pinal County Justice Court building. Eloy also lies in the agricultural basin of the County. A small business district to the north and south and residential homes to the east and west surround the site. The purpose of this site is to quantify PM_{10} concentrations affecting the surrounding population on a neighborhood scale.

This site replaced the Eloy City Complex site, which was approximately 300 yards to the south, in March 2007. The site consists of a High-Volume PM_{10} sampler, which collects samples on a one in six-day schedule.

The site meets 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D and E criteria.

4.9 Mammoth County Complex

This site is located on the roof of the Mammoth County Complex. Mammoth lies near the southeast corner of the County, approximately thirty miles northeast of Tucson and

eighty-five miles southeast of Phoenix. Residential homes surround the site on all sides. The purpose of this site is to quantify PM_{10} concentrations affecting the surrounding population on a neighborhood scale. This site also serves as a background site for the eastern portion of the county, which historically has been economically dominated by copper mining. The surrounding elevations range from 2000 to 5500 feet. Aside from the mining operations, the landscape is dominated by native vegetation.

The site consists of a High-Volume PM_{10} sampler, which collects samples on a one in six-day schedule. The site meets 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D and E criteria.

The PM_{10} sampler was discontinued effective May 16, 2011. See section 5.0 for additional detail.

4.10 Maricopa County Complex (City of Maricopa)

This site is adjacent to the County Complex in city of Maricopa. Maricopa lies about fifteen miles south of the Phoenix urban area. Historically the area was a small residential area surrounded by pecan orchards, cattle feedlots, and open-field agriculture. Recently, development has added a substantial number of houses near the monitoring site and additional subdivisions are planned in every direction. This site is used to quantify ozone concentrations and PM_{10} concentrations in the area. The ozone concentration at this site reflects both regional transport and neighborhood scale population exposure. The PM_{10} concentration at this site reflects neighborhood scale population exposure.

This site was installed in June of 2002 and an ozone sampling began in July 2002, thus data for a portion of the 2002 ozone season are missing. The ozone monitor is operated seasonally. In December 2004 a PM_{10} TEOM unit was installed. Therefore, only data beginning January 2005 are included in the document.

In June of 2010 the shelter housing the ozone and TEOM equipment was moved approximately 50 yards from a location on the east side of the complex to a location on the south side of the complex. The move did not result in substantial changes in site exposure or pollutant concentrations, a change of address or a change in AQS site ID (see section 5.0 for additional detail). The site meets 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D and E criteria.

The ozone analyzer was discontinued May 18, 2011. See section 5.0 for additional detail.

4.11 Pinal Air Park

This site is located at water well number two within the Pinal Air Park complex. Pinal Air Park lies about twenty miles northwest of Tucson, at the Pinal/Pima County line. The site is immediately surrounded by undisturbed desert on all sides with an industrial park and airport lying to the west. The purpose of this site is to quantify background PM_{10} concentrations and transport ozone concentrations on a regional scale. This site serves as a background particulate matter site for the central and western portion of the county, which is dominated by agriculture and low elevations (generally around 1500 feet).

The site includes a High-Volume PM_{10} sampler, which collects samples on a one in six-day schedule and an ozone monitor that is operated seasonally. An ozone analyzer was

installed in June of 2002 to assess regional transport from the Tucson metropolitan area. Data collection from this ozone monitor did not begin until July of 2002, thus the data set for 2002 only includes a portion of the ozone season. The ozone concentration at this site reflects both regional transport and neighborhood scale population exposure.

The site meets 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D and E criteria.

4.12 Pinal County Housing Complex

This site is located within the Pinal County Housing Complex and is approximately eleven miles east of Casa Grande in the heart of the agricultural basin of the County. The site was installed in July of 2002 to replace the Eleven Mile Corner site, which was approximately 1 mile to the south. The Pinal County Housing site better represents the particulate matter impact on the surrounding population since the site is adjacent to a subdivision. The site was originally located within a fenced area that houses the sewer lift station for the subdivision. The enclosure is immediately surrounded by native desert growth with active and retired agricultural areas beyond that in all directions. The County Housing subdivision lies just to the southeast of the enclosure. A small dairy, two cotton gins, and the Pinal County Fairgrounds are approximately one mile to the south of the Pinal County Housing Complex site. This site is used to evaluate several PM_{10} sources in the area, including cotton gins, fairground activity, and agricultural activity.

The site originally consisted of a High-Volume PM_{10} sampler running on a one in six day schedule, a continuous PM_{10} TEOM, a wind system, and a relative humidity and temperature sensor. On January 1, 2004 a second High-Volume PM_{10} sampler was installed to collect precision samples. This replaced the Apache Junction Maintenance Yard as the precision site in the network.

During 2005 it was discovered that one of the High-Volume PM_{10} samplers, PCH West, was not operating properly; the second High-Volume sampler, PCH East, has operated within specifications throughout this time period. The malfunctioning Anderson High-Volume PM_{10} sampler was removed from service in July 2006. This particular unit had a quick connect device to secure the inlet that none of the other Andersen High-Volume units operated by the District have. It appears this quick connect device has deteriorated over time and was causing the unit to operate outside of the required specifications. Since one of the Anderson High-Volume units was retired, two Wedding High-Volume units were installed at this site in July 2006 to collect precision samples.

In 2009 the site was moved approximately 20 yards to the south. A new fenced area and shelter were installed. The move did not result in substantial changes in site exposure or pollutant concentrations, a change of address or a change in AQS site ID.

The site meets 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D and E criteria.

4.13 Riverside Maintenance Yard

This site is located at the Pinal County Public Works Yard in Riverside. The site is approximately thirteen miles southeast of Superior and four miles northwest of Kearny. The Ray Mine, an active open pit copper mine, is approximately two miles east of the monitoring site. The monitor is located in the Mineral Creek valley, down slope from the

mine operations. The purpose of this site is to analyze off-site source impacts of the mine's tailing impoundments (neighborhood scale).

This site was installed in March of 2003 and consists of an Andersen High-Volume PM_{10} sampler collecting samples on a one in six-day schedule. In early 2006, Pinal County Public Works Department moved a mobile office building onto the site. The addition of this building did not affect the siting of the sampler. The site meets 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D and E criteria.

The PM_{10} sampler was discontinued effective May 16, 2011. See section 5.0 for additional detail.

4.14 Stanfield County Complex

This site is located behind the Stanfield County Complex. Stanfield lies about fifteen miles west of Casa Grande, and about thirty miles south of the Phoenix urban area. Residential homes surround the site on all sides, but the surrounding landscape is dominated by open-field agriculture. Sizeable feedlot and dairy operations lie about three miles to the north, east and west. The purpose of this site is to quantify PM_{10} concentrations affecting the surrounding population on a neighborhood scale. Over the last several years this site may have been impacted by increased activity at existing cattle feedlots and new dairies located east, west and north of the site.

Historically, the site consisted of a High-Volume PM_{10} sampler, which collected samples on a one in six-day schedule. In February 2006 a PM_{10} TEOM was installed at this site to collect continuous data. In April 2006 the Wedding High-Volume sampler was replaced with a medium volume Anderson FRM sampler. The Anderson sampler was replaced with an FRM Partisol medium volume sampler in November 2007.

A time-lapse video system which was previously installed at the Cowtown site (described in section 3.9) was added to this site in July 2006. The new location will allow the camera to record an overall view of the dust events observed at the feedlots that are approximately three miles west of the site.

On December 31, 2008 the PM_{10} Partisol sampler at Stanfield was replaced with the High-Volume sampler from Casa Grande Downtown. On December 31, 2009 the PM_{10} High-Volume sampler at Stanfield was discontinued and the PM_{10} TEOM was designated as a SLAMS monitor as of January 1, 2010

The site meets 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D and E criteria.

4.15 Queen Valley

This site is located at the Queen Valley water tank. Queen Valley is approximately sixteen miles southeast of Apache Junction and just south of the Superstition Wilderness Class I area. The site is on the south edge of Queen Valley and is surrounded by rugged terrain and native vegetation. The equipment at the site is owned and operated by ADEQ. This is an ADEQ SLAMS site which is part of the Photochemical Assessment Monitoring Station (PAMS) network that provides data regarding ozone transport from

the Phoenix urban area. ADEQ operates instruments at this site to measure ozone, reactive nitrogen oxides (NOy), and PAMS volatile organic compounds. ADEQ also operates a nephelometer, temperature and relative humidity sensors at the site. The ozone data from this site is included in Appendix B of this document because the site demonstrates ozone transport into Pinal County. Please refer to the State of Arizona Monitoring Network Plan for additional information.

Pinal County Air Quality will return primary responsibility for operations of the Queen Valley Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) sampler on June 1, 2011.

5.0 Proposed Changes to the Network

This section describes any new sites that Pinal County Air Quality plans to install and summarizes recent changes to the network.

5.1 Casa Grande Downtown PM_{10}

On December 31, 2010 the PM_{10} Partisol sampler at Casa Grande Downtown was discontinued. The PM_{10} TEOM was designated as a SLAMS monitor as of January 1, 2011. Agreement between the filter and continuous methods were found to be good and the duplicative monitoring was no longer needed.

5.2 Medium Volume Precision Measurement

As discussed in Section 3.5, the PM_{10} network currently lacks a precision measurement for medium volume samplers. This precision measurement first became necessary with the addition of a Thermo PM_{10} Partisol 2000H to the network in November of 2007.

5.3 Relocation of Maricopa Site

Due to planned demolition of the nearby court building, the Maricopa site was relocated. The new location is approximately 50 yards to the south was selected and equipment was moved June 29, 2010. The move did not result in a substantial change in the site exposures or pollutant concentrations, change of address or, change in AQS site ID.

5.4 Closure of Riverside PM_{10}

Due to budget shortages and subsequent personnel reductions the Riverside PM_{10} sampler was discontinued effective May 16, 2011. The site shows a long period of compliance with the PM10 NAAQS. A closure analysis is provided in Section 5.9.

5.5 Closure of Mammoth PM_{10}

Due to budget shortages and subsequent personnel reductions the Mammoth PM_{10} sampler was discontinued effective May 16, 2011. The site shows a long period of compliance with the PM10 NAAQS. A closure analysis is provided in Section 5.9.

5.6 Closure of Maricopa Ozone

Due to budget shortages and subsequent personnel reductions the Maricopa ozone analyzer was discontinued effective May 18, 2011. The site shows compliance with the 8-hour ozone NAAQS throughout its' operation.

5.7 Closure of Combs Ozone

Due to budget shortages and subsequent personnel reductions the Combs ozone analyzer was discontinued effective May 18, 2011. The site shows compliance with the 8-hour ozone NAAQS throughout its' operation.

5.8 Change in monitoring Scale of the Cowtown site

This review proposes to revise the scale classification of the Cowtown site from micro scale to middle scale. An evaluation of the site characteristics, specifically removal of cattle and related facilities adjacent to the site, necessitates this proposed change. In late 2009 and early 2010 cattle pens located closest to the monitoring site were closed and animals and infrastructure removed. This change moved the source out of the 100 meter range of the micro scale designation as defined by 40CFR part 58. This change will not affect existing $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS non-attainment status or the proposed PM_{10} non-attainment designation for the area.

5.8 Closure Analysis

40CFR Part 58.14(c) states that a monitor can be removed (after Regional Administrator approval) if it is currently in attainment with the applicable NAAQS standard and if the following four tests can be met:

1. The PM2.5, ozone, carbon monoxide (CO), PM10, sulfate dioxide (SO2), lead, or nitrogen dioxide (NO2) monitor showed attainment during the previous five years.
2. The probability is less than 10% that the monitor will exceed 80% of the applicable NAAQS during the next three years based on the concentrations, trends, and variability observed in the past.
3. The monitor is not specifically required by an attainment plan or maintenance plan.
4. The monitor is not the last monitor in a nonattainment area or maintenance area that contains a contingency measure triggered by an air quality concentration in the latest attainment or maintenance plan adopted by the state and approved by EPA.

Mammoth

Analysis of Test #1: The Mammoth site demonstrates attainment with the PM10 NAAQS over the past 5 years. Table C-17 of Appendix C of this document illustrates the expected exceedances rate has been zero for the period of record.

Analysis of Test #2: the table below calculates the probability of the Mammoth monitor exceeding 80% of the PM10 NAAQS in the future. The test requires comparison of the 90% confidence interval (CI) to 120µg/m^3 (80% of the PM10 NAAQS of 150µg/m^3). In the case of Mammoth the CI value is 44µg/m^3 and is less than 120µg/m^3, therefore the monitor passes Test 2.

Site	2006 DV	2007 DV	2008 DV	2009 DV	2010 DV
	(Max 24-Hr)	(Max 24-Hr)	(Max 24-Hr)	(Max 24-Hr)	(Max 24-Hr)
Mammoth	31	40	35	42	46
Average 2006 -2010	**Standard Deviation (s)**	**Student's T (t)**	**Number of Samples (n)**	**90% Upper CI (Confidence Interval)**	**Is CI < 80% of NAAQS?**
38.8	5.89	2.13	5	44.4	Yes

Analysis of Test #3: The Mammoth monitor is not located in an existing or proposed non-attainment area and not specifically required by any attainment or maintenance plan.

Analysis of Test #4: The Mammoth monitor is not located in an existing or proposed non-attainment area and, therefore, this test does not apply.

Conclusion: The Mammoth monitor is eligible for closure according to the test prescribed in 40CFR 58.14(c).

Riverside

Analysis of Test #1: The Riverside site demonstrates attainment with the PM10 NAAQS over the past 5 years. Table C-17 of Appendix C of this document illustrates the expected exceedances rate has been zero for the period of record.

Analysis of Test #2: the table below calculates the probability of the Riverside monitor exceeding 80% of the PM10 NAAQS in the future. The test requires comparison of the 90% confidence interval (CI) to 120µg/m^3 (80% of the PM10 NAAQS of 150µg/m^3). In the case of Riverside the CI value is 73.6µg/m^3 and is less than 120µg/m^3, therefore the monitor passes Test 2.

Site	2006 DV	2007 DV	2008 DV	2009 DV	2010 DV
	(Max 24-Hr)	(Max 24-Hr)	(Max 24-Hr)	(Max 24-Hr)	(Max 24-Hr)
Riverside	83	65	52	51	47
Average 2006 -2010	**Standard Deviation (s)**	**Student's T (t)**	**Number of Samples (n)**	**90% Upper CI (Confidence Interval)**	**Is CI < 80% of NAAQS?**
59.6	14.72	2.13	5	73.6	Yes

Analysis of Test #3: The Riverside monitor is located within the boundary of the Hayden PM10 non-attainment area. The monitor is not specifically required or referenced in the attainment or maintenance plan for the Hayden area.

Analysis of Test #4: The Riverside monitor is not the monitor of record used for determination of NAAQS compliance in the Hayden non-attainment area. The Arizona Department of Environmental Quality operates monitors at the Hayden Jail Site for this purpose.

Conclusion: The Riverside monitor is eligible for closure according to the test prescribed in 40CFR 58.14(c).

Maricopa and Combs Ozone

EPA rules do not require specific analysis for closure of site categorized at SPM. In consideration of closing the Maricopa and Combs ozone monitors the following items were evaluated: 1) comparison to NAAQS (3 year average of 4th highest concentration). 2) concentration trend, and 3) period of record.

A review of the table below illustrated that neither the Maricopa or Combs ozone sites have not exceeded the current ozone NAAQS during their time of operation. Additionally, the trend plot illustrate that the two sites measure the lowest ozone concentrations in the network. This evaluation demonstrates that the sites can be discontinued without jeopardizing Pinal County's ability to adequately assess ozone NAAQS compliance.



	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Apache Junction	0.073	0.069	0.074	0.076	0.080	0.075	0.074
Casa Grande	0.073	0.071	0.071	0.071	0.072	0.069	0.069
Pinal Air Park	0.070	0.072	0.071	0.071	0.068	0.067	0.067
Combs	0.066	0.066	0.066	0.065	0.066	0.063	0.063
Maricopa	0.069	0.067	0.065	0.063	0.065	0.063	0.065

Long Term Trend – 4th Highest 8-hour ozone Concentration



6.0 Data Trends

This section provides an overall description of the pollutants currently collected and provides trends for O_3, PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ in Pinal County. Appendix C of the document includes a complete data set for each pollutant.

In order to discuss this information, it is necessary to clarify the dual meanings for the word "exceedance". The common understanding, an exceedance occurs whenever a value exceeds a reference value. However, for purposes of defining what constitutes a violation of several of the ambient air quality standards, relevant EPA regulations define "exceedances" as discrete events, and the various standards define a violation as respectively occurring when either the actual or the expected number of exceedances is greater than one per year.

In contrast, all other ambient air quality standards rely on numerical averaging to define what complies with or violates the standard. For those standards, a monitored value above the defined standard may contribute toward an average that violates the standard, but that monitored value does not constitute an "exceedance" in a regulatory sense.

In the case of the eight-hour ozone standard, the first three observed eight-hour concentrations above the standard reference value are not even considered for purposes of determining compliance, and only the fourth high value counts towards the calculated average that may violate the standard. This document will use the term excursion to denote values that are greater than a numerical averaging standard.

6.1 Carbon Monoxide

Carbon monoxide is a tasteless, odorless, colorless gas that competes with oxygen in the bloodstream. Carbon monoxide binds to hemoglobin in the bloodstream and thereby impairs oxygen transport. This decrease in oxygen transport can result in a reduction of exercise tolerance, an increase in the visual threshold for light awareness, headaches, and dizziness.

The largest source of carbon monoxide is vehicles, which produce the pollutant through the incomplete combustion of fuels. Elevated levels generally occur near major intersections where large numbers of vehicles pass through at a slow rate. Peak concentrations are generally recorded between November and February. This is caused by vehicles producing more carbon monoxide in cold weather and the inversion conditions at this time of year trapping a stable and stagnate layer of air near the earth's surface.

The carbon monoxide NAAQS has two forms, a one-hour standard of 35 ppm, and an eight-hour standard of 9 ppm.

Carbon Monoxide monitoring was discontinued at the Apache Junction and Casa Grande sites as of May of 2002 and October of 2002, respectively.

Between 1996 and 2002, the highest one-hour average recorded at either monitoring site was approximately 10% of the standard and the highest eight-hour average recorded was approximately 15% of the standard. Considering the relatively low levels and to better utilize resources, the carbon monoxide monitors were discontinued. If the NAAQS or conditions change and carbon monoxide monitoring is potentially required, Pinal County will evaluate the possibility of resuming data collection.

Carbon Monoxide data summaries are presented in Appendix C.

6.2 Ozone

Ozone can be found both as a natural component of the atmosphere and as a pollutant. The ozone layer located in the stratosphere, approximately eight to thirty miles above the earth's surface, absorbs harmful ultraviolet radiation before it can reach the earth's surface. The ozone found at the earth's surface is a pollutant produced through chemical reactions that involve volatile organic compounds, nitrogen oxides, and sunlight. Sources of volatile organic compounds include vehicles and other gasoline powered motors, industrial processes, and biogenic emissions from plants. Sources of nitrogen oxides include vehicles, construction equipment, trains, electric power plants, industrial sources, and biogenic emissions from soil. Exposure to elevated ozone concentrations leads to impaired lung function in humans and plant damage by impairing plant respiration.

The official ozone season in Arizona, as defined by EPA, is January through December. Many ozone monitors in Arizona operate year round, however when reviewing historic ozone data it can be seen that the highest concentrations generally occur during the months of April through October when temperatures are highest. In Section 3.6, Ozone Season Definition, a request and justification are presented to designate the Pinal County ozone season to be April through October.

Refer to Section 1.0 for a detailed description of the ozone standards and Appendix C for 1-hour and 8-hour data summaries. The following subsections discuss the ozone trends in Pinal County. Generally, Pinal County easily meets the former one-hour standard, but some areas approach or violate the revised eight-hour standard.

6.2.1 One-Hour Ozone

Pinal County met the former one-hour ozone standard. Even though this standard has been revoked, one-hour ozone data will continue to be reported in this document to assess trends. A single exceedance of the one-hour standard was recorded in Apache Junction in 1995 but was not a violation because the standard allowed one exceedance per calendar year. No exceedances of the 1-hour standard were recorded at Casa Grande, Queen Valley, Combs, Maricopa, or Pinal Air Park.

Daily maximum one-hour averages at Apache junction and Queen Valley in 2010 were among the highest downwind of the Phoenix metropolitan area, The sites recorded concentrations of 90 and 92 PPB, respectively. In most locations the 1 hour average ozone concentrations have generally decreased over time. The trend for 2010 was slightly upward at all sites except Pinal Air Park and Apache Junction which showed a modest decrease. In general, the long term trend is downward as evident with Casa Grande and Apache Junction, the two long term sites.

Figure 6-1 shows the one-hour maximum readings recorded at Apache Junction, Casa Grande, Queen Valley, Combs, Maricopa, and Pinal Air Park.

Figure 6-1: One-Hour Ozone Trends – Maximum Concentration



6.2.2 Eight-Hour Ozone

Daily maximum 8-hour averages remain elevated at Apache Junction and Queen Valley. In general, the 8-hour average ozone concentrations have decreased over time at the two long term sites, Apache Junction and Casa Grande. With the exception of Combs, which was steady, all sites showed a slight increase from 2009 to 2010. Overall, 2009 was a low ozone year across all networks in Arizona.

Figure 6-2 shows the fourth highest eight-hour average recorded at Apache Junction, Casa Grande, Queen Valley, Combs, Maricopa, and Pinal Air Park.

Figure 6-2: Eight-Hour Ozone Trends – 4th Highest Concentration



6.3 Particulate Matter smaller than 10 microns (PM_{10})

PM_{10} is airborne particles less than or equal to ten microns (1 micron = 10^{-4} centimeters) in diameter. PM_{10} can result from many sources, such as re-entrained dust from vehicles traveling on paved roads, vehicles traveling on unpaved roads, earth moving activities, bulk material handling, wind blown dust, and combustion processes, notably power plants and diesel engines.

When particulate matter is inhaled into the respiratory tract the health effects depend on the size of the particle. Particles larger than ten microns are generally removed from the respiratory system by sneezing. Particles between five microns and ten microns in size can either be removed from the respiratory system by sneezing or moved up the respiratory tract by cilia (hair like projections) in the lung. Once the particulates have been moved back up the respiratory tract they may be swallowed and absorbed in the gastrointestinal tract, where they may be excreted. Particles ranging from two microns to five microns are generally deposited deeper into the respiratory tract. Still, cilia action has the potential to move the particles back up the respiratory tract, where they may be swallowed and absorbed in the gastrointestinal track. Particulates smaller than two microns may reach an area of the lung called the alveolar region. This is the region of the lung where absorption into the bloodstream is most likely.

Refer to section 1.0 for a detailed description of the PM_{10} standards and Appendix C for a summary of PM_{10} data collected throughout Pinal County.

The following subsections discuss the values, trends and contributing sources for each PM_{10} monitoring site. The data presented here reflects the application of Pinal County's Natural Events Action Plan (NEAP). Generally, the Pinal County NEAP allowed for exclusion of data where the elevated particulate concentrations resulted from certain defined high wind conditions.

An initial Pinal County NEAP was adopted in 1997. Underlying EPA guidance calls for a five-year review of such a plan. In 2002 Pinal County Air Quality proposed to renew the existing NEAP. Although EPA did not comment on the 1997 Pinal County NEAP, the EPA expressed a number of concerns as part of the review process in 2002. As a result of the review, the EPA informed Pinal County Air Quality that it would not approve a NEAP for the area at this time. The data included in this document invokes the 1997 NEAP in reporting data through the five-year anniversary date of December 5, 2002. However, none of the data collected after this date has been subjected to the 1997 NEAP.

In 2006 ADEQ initiated a NEAP program to identify regional wind blown events, or natural events that resulted in elevated PM_{10} concentrations. Pinal County continuous PM_{10} TEOM data was reviewed as part of this process. When a regional wind blown event was identified as a contributor to elevated PM_{10} concentrations or exceedances, the continuous TEOM data was submitted to the EPA Region IX office for concurrence. The EPA did not concur that the 2006 Pinal County data should be flagged as a natural event since Best Available Control Measures (BACM) were not implemented in Pinal County during this time period. The data presented in this document includes all PM_{10} concentrations recorded in 2006; the natural events have not been removed.

In March of 2007 EPA replaced the Natural Events Action Plan with the Treatment of Data Influenced by Exceptional Events Rule. This new rule allows monitoring agencies to submit documentation to EPA that shows an exceedance would not have occurred "but for" the exceptional event. Pinal County Air Quality submitted Exceptional Events packages to EPA asking that thirty two events be excluded from the 2007 PM_{10} data set, sixteen events from the 2008 PM_{10} data set, twelve events from the 2009 PM_{10} data set and ten events from the 2010 PM_{10} data set. The 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 Exceptional Events packages assert that the exceedances listed would not have occurred "but for" the exceptional events (namely elevated wind speeds). If EPA concurs with Pinal County Air Quality's conclusion that these are exceptional events the exceedances listed in the packages will be excluded from the data set used for determining compliance with the NAAQS. This document does not exclude any of the 2007 - 2010 PM_{10} exceedances since EPA has yet to concur with our assertions.

6.3.1 24-Hour PM_{10} Trends

Figure 6-3, 6-4a, and 6-4b illustrate maximum 24-hour average PM_{10} values collected throughout Pinal County. To better illustrate the range in concentrations the figures are separated into two categories, highest and lowest concentration sites. Maximum PM_{10} concentrations typically vary from year to year because they result from local sources or high wind events.

Figure 6-3 shows trends at the highest concentration sites. It is evident from the illustration that the each of the sites has recorded 24-hour average concentrations in excess of the PM_{10} standard of 150µg/m^3. In this figure Stanfield has the longest record and a general trend towards higher concentrations over time, particularly in recent years.

Note that for 2007-2010 days flagged as exceptional event by Pinal County were not removed from the data set. The events are pending concurrence from EPA Region IX. The Casa Grande continuous PM_{10} TEOM sampler is included in this group since inclusion of the natural event data results in the monitoring exceeding the standard.

Figure 6-3: Maximum 24-Hour PM_{10} Concentration at Highest Sites



Figures 6-4a and 6-4b show 24-hour trends for sites with concentrations less than the standard. Apache Junction and the Casa Grande filter based data, shown in figure 6-4a, are below the standard for the period of record. Eloy and Mammoth filter based data, shown in figure 6-4b, show rather large reductions in 2004.

Figure 6-4a: Maximum 24-Hour PM_{10} Concentration - Lowest Sites Group A



Figure 6-4b: Maximum 24-Hour PM_{10} Concentration - Lowest Sites Group B



6.3.2 Annual PM_{10} Trends

Figure 6-5, 6-6a, and 6-6b illustrate annual average PM_{10} values collected throughout Pinal County. To better illustrate the range in concentrations the figures are separated into regional categories, Western sites, Central and Southern sites, and Eastern sites. Annual averages are no longer comparable to a Federal standard, but offer a valuable measure for trend analysis. Before being revoked the annual standard was 50µg/m^3.

Figure 6-5 shows trends at the highest western sites; Stanfield and City of Maricopa. Of these sites Stanfield has the longest data record. Although the collection method changed in 2006, the increasing trend at Stanfield is evident. Annual averages in the mid-1990s were between 30 and 40µg/m^3 compared to values ranging from 80 to 90µg/m^3 in 2006 and 2007. Overall, the trend during 2009 showed little change from 2008. Annual averages at Maricopa are comparable to Stanfield, but lack a longer term record.

Figure 6-5: Annual PM_{10} Average at Western Sites



The Central and Southern sites, shown in Figure 6-6, include Casa Grande, Coolidge, Eloy, Pinal County Housing, and Pinal Air Park. Among these sites Pinal County Housing records the highest concentrations, above the revoked annual standard. All sites in this group show a generally increasing trend from a rather low year in 2004 through 2007. In 2010 a slight decrease in annual average is observed across all monitors.

Figure 6-6: Annual PM_{10} Average at Central and Southern Sites



The Eastern sites, shown in Figure 6-7, include Apache Junction, Combs, Mammoth and Riverside. PM_{10} measurement at Combs was added during the spring of 2007 so only a partial year of data is available. Values at Combs have decreased each of the last three years and are now below 50µg/m^3. Apache Junction, Mammoth and Riverside are all well below the revoked annual standard. A longer term trend is apparent with Apache Junction and Mammoth both showing decreasing values since 2000.

Figure 6-7: Annual PM_{10} Average at Northern and Eastern Sites



Because past values at Cowtown were a factor of 2 to 3 higher than other sites, the site is shown alone in Figure 6-8. Annual concentrations through 2008 were 4 to 5 times higher than the revoked standard. The long term trend at Cowtown shows a slight decrease in 2004 followed by a gradual increase in 2005 and 2006. The 2007 – 2010 averages show a steady decrease in concentration.

Figure 6-8: Annual PM_{10} Average at Cowtown



6.4 Particulate Matter smaller than 2.5 microns ($PM_{2.5}$)

$PM_{2.5}$ is airborne particles less than or equal to 2.5 microns (1 micron = 10^{-4} centimeters) in diameter. $PM_{2.5}$ can result from the combustion of fuels in motor vehicles, power generation, industrial processes, and from burning wood in residential fireplaces. As explained in Section 6.3, the health effects of inhaling particulate matter depends on the size of the particle. $PM_{2.5}$ particles are small enough to reach an area of the lung called the alveolar region. This is the region of the lung where absorption into the bloodstream is most likely. Elevated $PM_{2.5}$ levels may aggravate respiratory and cardiopulmonary problems.

Refer to section 1.0 for a detailed description of the $PM_{2.5}$ standards and Appendix C for a summary of $PM_{2.5}$ data collected throughout Pinal County.

Special considerations for comparing $PM_{2.5}$ data to the standard are described at 40 CFR Subpart D (58.30). The subpart states that $PM_{2.5}$ sites with unique-middle scale or micro-scale representation and hot-spot sites are only eligible for comparison to the 24-hour $PM_{2.5}$ standard. The Cowtown site is characterized as a "local hot spot" site according to this definition and can only be used for comparison to the 24-hour $PM_{2.5}$ standard.

6.4.1 $PM_{2.5}$ 24-Hour Trends

Figure 6-9 illustrates 98th percentile $PM_{2.5}$ values collected at Apache Junction, Casa Grande, and Cowtown. It is evident from the illustration that the Apache Junction and Casa Grande sites are below the standard over the period of record. Both sites show a concentration general range between 15 and 20µg/m^3 with the exception of 2003 when values were slightly higher. A slight increase is also apparent in 2007. The 2009 values are slightly lower than 2008. The 24-Hour values at Casa Grande are typically higher than Apache Junction by approximately 25%.

The Cowtown site shows values above 35µg/m^3 for the first 3 years it has been in operation but in 2009 the 24-hour maximum value fell below 35µg/m^3. The three year average of the 98th percentile value dropped from 60µg/m^3 in 2007, to 40 in µg/m^3 in 2009, and in 2010 the values is 31µg/m^3 which is below the standard. The site now meets the $PM_{2.5}$ 24-hour NAAQS.

Figure 6-9: Network-Wide 24-Hour Average $PM_{2.5}$ Trends



6.4.2 $PM_{2.5}$ Annual Trends

Figure 6-10 illustrates annual average $PM_{2.5}$ values collected at Apache Junction and Casa Grande. Both sites show concentrations with a range between 5 and 10µg/m^3 with the exception of 2003 when values were slightly higher. A slight decrease is apparent in 2009. As with seen in the 24-Hour averages, the values at Casa Grande are typically higher than Apache Junction by approximately 25%.

As described in the introduction to this section, Cowtown is not compared to the annual standard.

Figure 6-10: Network-Wide Annual Average $PM_{2.5}$ Trends



Appendix A

Acronyms & Abbreviations

This page intentionally blank

Acronyms & Abbreviations used in this document

ADT	Average Daily Traffic
AQS	Air Quality System
ADEQ	Arizona Department of Environmental Quality
ARM	Approved Regional Method
BACM	Best Available Control Measures
CAA	Clean Air Act
CASAC	Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CO	Carbon Monoxide
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FDMS	Filter Dynamics Measurement System
FEM	Federal Equivalent Methods
FRM	Federal Reference Methods
HiVol	High Volume PM_{10} sampler
IMPROVE	Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments
MET	Meteorological
MSA	Metropolitan Statistical Area
N/A	Not Applicable
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NEAP	Natural Events Action Plan
NO_2	Nitrogen Dioxide
O_3	Ozone
PAMS	Photochemical Assessment Monitoring Station
PCAQCD	Pinal County Air Quality Control District
Pb	Lead
PM_{10}	Particulate Matter less than or equal to 10 microns
$PM_{2.5}$	Particulate Matter less than or equal to 2.5 microns
ppm	Parts Per Million
ppb	Parts Per Billion
PSD	Prevention of Significant Deterioration
QAPP	Quality Assurance Project Plan
R&P	Rupprecht and Patashnick
SLAMS	State and Local Air Monitoring Stations
SO_2	Sulfur Dioxide
SPM	Special Purpose Monitor
TEOM	Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance
$\mu g/m^3$	Micrograms per Cubic Meter

This page intentionally blank

Appendix B

PCAQCD Monitoring Site Descriptions

This page intentionally blank

Apache Junction Fire Station – AJFS **AQS Site ID: 04-021-3002**
3955 E. Superstition Blvd. TE, Apache Junction, Arizona
Latitude: 33.421194° **Longitude:** -111.503222° **Map Datum:** WGS 84



Table B-1 Apache Junction Fire Station (AJFS)

Parameter	Instrument #1	Instrument #2
Pollutant Type (Method Code)	PM_{10} (062)	$PM_{2.5}$ (145)
Date Parameter Established	2003	1999
County ID	AJ	AJE
Sampler Make & Model	Wedding HiVol	R&P 2025
Classification	SLAMS	SLAMS
Scale	Neighborhood	Neighborhood
Objective	Population	Population
Inlet Height	3.5 meters	3.7 meters
Distance from Tree Dripline	21.9 meters	25.7 meters
Obstacle Description	None	None
Height of Obstacle	N/A	N/A
Distance and Direction	N/A	N/A
Airflow Arch	360°	360°
Furnace or Incinerator Flue	None	None
Distance and Direction	N/A	N/A
Distance Between Samplers	6.7 meters	6.7 meters
Nearest Road	Arroya	Arroya
Distance and Direction to Road	43.6 meters - NE	39.9 meters - NE
Traffic Count (ADT)	17 cars per day	17 cars per day
Schedule	1 in 6 days	1 in 3 days
Surrounding Area	Residential / Desert	Residential / Desert
Site Groundcover	Gravel / Vegetative	Gravel / Vegetative
Frequency of flow rate verifications	Quarterly	Monthly
Last two flow rate audits	current	current
Does Site Meet App D&E Criteria	Yes	Yes

Notes: The photo was taken while facing east.

Apache Junction Maintenance Yard -AJ AQS Site ID: 04-021-3001
305 E. Superstition Blvd., Apache Junction, Arizona

Latitude: 33.4214° **Longitude:** -111.5436°' **Map Datum:** WGS 84



Table B-2 Apache Junction Maintenance Yard (AJ)

Parameter	Instrument #1	Instrument #2	Instrument #3
Pollutant Type (Method Code)	O_3 (112)	Wind	Temp & RH / Pressure
Date Parameter Established	1992	1993	1994
County ID	AJ O_3	AJ MET	AJ MET
Sampler Make & Model	API 400	RM Young 05305 AQ	Vaisala HMP 45C/CS105
Classification	SLAMS	N/A	N/A
Scale	Neighborhood	N/A	N/A
Objective	Population	N/A	N/A
Inlet Height	3.5 meters	10 meters	3.8 meters
Distance from Tree Dripline	12 meters	20 meters	12 meters
Obstacle Description	Building	None	Building
Height of Obstacle	4 meters	N/A	4 meters
Distance and Direction	5meters –SW	N/A	5 meters-SW
Airflow Arch	360°	360 °	360 °
Furnace or Incinerator Flue	None	None	None
Distance and Direction	N/A	N/A	N/A
Distance between Collocated Samplers	N/A	N/A	N/A
Nearest Road	Hwy 88	Hwy 88	Hwy 88
Distance and Direction to Road	35 meters - E	27meters - E	35 meters - E
Traffic Count (ADT)	17466 (2008)	17466 (2008)	17466 (2008)
Schedule	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous
Probe Material	Glass	N/A	N/A
Residence Time	5.6 sec	N/A	N/A
Surrounding Area	Gravel lot	Gravel lot	Gravel lot
Site Groundcover	Gravel	Gravel	Gravel
Frequency of one point QC check	Bi-weekly	N/A	N/A
Last annual performance evaluation	current	N/A	N/A
Does Site Meet App D&E Criteria	Yes	N/A	N/A

Notes: The photo was taken while facing west.

Casa Grande Airport - CGA AQS Site ID: 04-021-3003

660 W. Aero Dr., Casa Grande, Arizona

Latitude: 32.954361° **Longitude:** -111.76225° **Map Datum:** WGS 84

Table B-3 Casa Grande Airport (CGA)

Parameter	Instrument #1	Instrument #2	Instrument #3
Pollutant Type (Method Code)	O_3 (112)	Wind	Temp & RH/Pressure
Date Parameter Established	1991	1991	1994
County ID	CG O_3	CG MET	CG MET
Sampler Make & Model	API 400E	RM Young 05305AQ	Vaisala HMP 45C/CS105
Classification	SLAMS	N/A	N/A
Scale	Neighborhood	N/A	N/A
Objective	Population/Transport	N/A	N/A
Inlet Height	4.1 meters	11.8 meters	3.2 meters
Distance from Tree Dripline	8.1 meters	None	None
Obstacle Description[2]	Tower	Tower	Tower
Height of Obstacle	14 meters	14 meters	14 meters
Distance and Direction	6 meters - N	Adjacent	6 meters - N
Airflow Arch	360°	360°	360°
Furnace or Incinerator Flue	None	None	None
Distance and Direction	N/A	N/A	N/A
Distance between Collocated Samplers	N/A	N/A	N/A
Nearest Road	SR387	SR387	SR387
Distance and Direction to Road	494 meters - E	494 meters - E	494 meters - E
Traffic Count (ADT)	19965 (2008)	19965 (2008)	19965 (2008)
Schedule	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous
Probe Material	Glass	N/A	N/A
Residence Time	5.6 sec	N/A	N/A
Surrounding Area	Parking Lot / Tarmac	Parking Lot / Tarmac	Parking Lot / Tarmac
Site Groundcover	Pavement	Pavement	Pavement
Frequency of one point QC check	Bi-weekly	N/A	N/A
Last annual performance evaluation	current	N/A	N/A
Does Site Meet App D&E Criteria	Yes	N/A	N/A

Notes:

1)The photo was taken while facing northeast.

2) The tree indicated is a bank of bushes to the north of the shelter; the height of the bushes is below the inlet and therefore, does not constitute a obstruction.

Casa Grande Downtown – CGD AQS Site ID: 04-021-0001

401 Marshall St., Casa Grande, Arizona

Latitude: 32.877583° **Longitude:** -111.752222° **Map Datum:** WGS 84



Table B-4 Casa Grande Downtown (CGD)

Parameter	Instrument #1	Instrument#2	Instrument #3	Instrument #4
Pollutant Type (Method Code)	PM_{10} - Discontinued	$PM_{2.5}$ (143)	$PM_{2.5}$ (143)	PM_{10} (126)
Date Parameter Established	1989	1999	2007	2007
County ID	CG PM_{10}	CG (N) $PM_{2.5}$	CG (S) $PM_{2.5}$	CG Downtown PM_{10}
Sampler Make & Model	R&P 2000-H	R&P 2000-H	R&P 2000-H	R&P 1400a (A/B)
Classification	SLAMS	SLAMS	SLAMS	SPM
Scale	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood
Objective	Population	Population	Population	Population
Inlet Height	6.1 meters	6.1 meters	6.1 meters	6.1 meters
Distance from Tree Dripline	19.6 meters	16.4 meters	19.0 meters	24.8 meters
Obstacle Description	None	None	None	None
Height of Obstacle	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Distance and Direction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Airflow Arch	360 º	360º	360º	360º
Furnace or Incinerator Flue	Furnace Flue	Furnace Flue	Furnace Flue	Furnace Flue
Distance and Direction	6.1 meters - S	9.1 meters - S	5.1 meters - S	11.0 meters - S
Distance between Collocated Samplers	3.5 meters to CGS	2.8 meters	2.8 meters	3.4 meters to CGN
Nearest Road	Marshall St.	Marshall St.	Marshall St.	Marshall St.
Distance and Direction to Road	20.6 meters – E	18.4 meters – E	19.5 meters - E	20.1 meters - E
Traffic Count (ADT)	4022 (2009)	4022 (2009)	4022 (2009)	4022 92009)
Schedule	1 in 6 days	1 in 6 days	1 in 6 days	Continuous
Surrounding Area	Business District	Business District	Business District	Business District
Site Groundcover	Pavement	Pavement	Pavement	Pavement
Frequency of flow rate verifications	Quarterly	Monthly	Monthly	Bi-weekly
Last two flow rate audits	current	current	current	current
Does Site Meet App D&E Criteria	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: The photo was taken while facing south.

Combs School – CB AQS Site ID: 04-021-3009

301 E. Combs Rd., Queen Creek, Arizona

Latitude: 33.219111° **Longitude:** -111.561111° **Map Datum:** WGS 84



Table B-5 Combs School (CB)

Parameter	Instrument #1	Instrument #2
Pollutant Type (Method Code)	O_3 (112)	PM_{10} (079)
Date Parameter Established	2002	2007
County ID	CB	COMBS PM_{10}
Sampler Make & Model	API 400E	R&P 1400a (A/B)
Classification	SPM	SPM
Scale	Neighborhood	Neighborhood
Objective	Population / Transport	Population / Transport
Inlet Height	4.6 meters	4.1 meters
Distance from Tree Dripline	22.9 meters	22.9 meters
Obstacle Description	Playground Canopy	School Building
Height of Obstacle	4.5 meters	4.0 meters
Distance and Direction	4.3 meters - S	7.2 meters - N
Airflow Arch	360°	360°
Furnace or Incinerator Flue	None	None
Distance and Direction	N/A	N/A
Distance between Collocated Samplers	N/A	N/A
Nearest Road	Combs Rd.	Combs Rd.
Distance and Direction to Road	89.5 meters - N	89.5 meters - N
Traffic Count (ADT)	11069 cars per day	11069 cars per day
Schedule	Continuous / Seasonal	Continuous
Probe Material	Glass	N/A
Residence Time	5.6 sec	N/A
Surrounding Area	School Grounds / Residential	School Grounds / Residential
Site Groundcover	Concrete / Dirt Road	Concrete / Dirt Road
Frequency of flow rate verifications	N/A	Bi-weekly
Last two flow rate audits	N/A	Recently Installed
Frequency of one point QC check	Bi-weekly	N/A
Last annual performance evaluation	current	current
Does Site Meet App D&E Criteria	Yes	Yes

Notes: The picture was taken facing southwest.

Coolidge Maintenance Yard – CLDG AQS Site ID: 04-021-3004

212 E. Broadway, Coolidge, Arizona

Latitude: 32.978556° **Longitude:** -111.514833° **Map Datum:** WGS 84



Table B-6 Coolidge Maintenance Yard (CLDG)

Parameter	Instrument #1
Pollutant Type (Method Code)	PM_{10} (062)
Date Parameter Established	1992
County ID	CLDG
Sampler Make & Model	Wedding HiVol
Classification	SLAMS
Scale	Neighborhood
Objective	Population
Inlet Height	3.5 meters
Distance from Tree Dripline	None
Obstacle Description	Building
Height of Obstacle	3.2 meters
Distance and Direction	6.7 meters - NE
Airflow Arch	360°
Furnace or Incinerator Flue	None
Distance and Direction	N/A
Distance between Collocated Samplers	N/A
Nearest Road	Pacific St.
Distance and Direction to Road	8.8 meters – W
Traffic Count (ADT)	164 cars per day
Schedule	1 in 6 days
Surrounding Area	Residential
Site Groundcover	Gravel / Vegetative
Frequency of flow rate verifications	Quarterly
Last two flow rate audits	current
Does Site Meet App D&E Criteria	Yes

Notes: The photo was taken facing north.

Cowtown Road – CWTN AQS ID: 04-021-3013

1.1 37580 W. Maricopa-Casa Grande Highway, Maricopa, Arizona

Latitude: 33.01053 **Longitude:** -111.97205° **Map Datum:** WGS 84



Table B-7 Cowtown Road (CWTN)

Parameter	Instrument #1	Instrument #2	Instrument #3
Pollutant Type (Method Code)	PM_{10} (079)	PM_{10} (126)	$PM_{2.5}$ (143)
Date Parameter Established	2001	2005	2005
County ID	CWTN TEOM	CWTN PM10	CWTN PM2.5
Sampler Make & Model	R&P 1400a (A/B)	R&P 2000-H	R&P 2000-H
Classification	SPM	SPM	SPM
Scale	Middle Scale	Middle Scale	Middle Scale
Objective	Population/Source Impact	Population/Source Impact	Population/Source Impact
Inlet Height	3.2 meters	3.4 meters	3.4 meters
Distance from Tree Dripline	None	None	None
Obstacle Description	None	None	None
Height of Obstacle	N/A	N/A	N/A
Distance and Direction	N/A	N/A	N/A
Airflow Arch	360°	360º	360º
Furnace or Incinerator Flue	None	None	None
Distance and Direction	N/A	N/A	N/A
Distance between Collocated Samplers	0.7 meters	1.6 meters	1.6 meters
Nearest Road	Casa Grande-Maricopa Hwy	Casa Grande-Maricopa Hwy	Casa Grande-Maricopa Hwy
Distance and Direction to Road	53.6 meters - S	65.5 meters - S	65.5 meters - S
Traffic Count (ADT)	5394 (2009)	5394 (2009)	5394 (2009)
Schedule	Continuous	1 in 6 days	1 in 6 days
Surrounding Area	Agricultural / Feedlots	Agricultural / Feedlots	Agricultural / Feedlots
Site Groundcover	Vegetative	Vegetative	Vegetative
Frequency of flow rate verifications	Bi-weekly	Monthly	Monthly
Last two flow rate audits	current	current	current
Does Site Meet App D&E Criteria	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: The picture was taken facing south.

Table B-7 continued Cowtown Road (CWTN)

Parameter	Instrument #4	Instrument #5
Pollutant Type	Wind	Temp&RH/Pressure
Date Established	2002	2002
County ID	CWTN MET	CWTN MET
Sampler Make & Model	RM Young 05305 AQ	Viasala HMP35C/PTA427
Classification	N/A	N/A
Scale	N/A	N/A
Objective	N/A	N/A
Inlet Height	3.5 meters	2.9 meters
Distance from Tree Dripline	None	None
Obstacle Description	None	None
Height of Obstacle	N/A	N/A
Distance and Direction	N/A	N/A
Airflow Arch	360°	360°
Furnace or Incinerator Flue	None	None
Distance and Direction	N/A	N/A
Distance between Collocated Samplers	N/A	N/A
Nearest Road	Casa Grande-Maricopa Hwy	Casa Grande-Maricopa Hwy
Distance and Direction to Road	53.6 meters - S	53.6 meters - S
Traffic Count (ADT)	5394 (2009)	5394 (2009)
Schedule	Continuous	Continuous
Surrounding Area	Agricultural / Feedlots	Agricultural / Feedlots
Site Groundcover	Vegetative	Vegetative
Frequency of flow rate verifications	N/A	N/A
Last two flow rate audits	N/A	N/A
Does Site Meet App D&E Criteria	N/A	N/A

Eloy County Complex - ELY AQS Site ID: 04-021-3014

801 N. Main St, Eloy, Arizona

Latitude: 32.757639° **Longitude:** -111.554861° **Map Datum:** WGS 84



Table B-8 Eloy County Complex (ELY)

Parameter	Instrument #1
Pollutant Type Method Code	PM_{10} (063)
Date Parameter Established	2007
County ID	ELY
Sampler Make & Model	Andersen HiVol
Classification	SLAMS
Scale	Neighborhood
Objective	Population
Inlet Height	5.2 meters
Distance from Tree Dripline	N/A
Obstacle Description	None
Height of Obstacle	N/A
Distance and Direction	N/A
Airflow Arch	360°
Furnace or Incinerator Flue	None
Distance and Direction	N/A
Distance between Collocated Samplers	N/A
Nearest Road	Main St.
Distance and Direction to Road	31.0 meters – W
Traffic Count (ADT)	Not available
Schedule	1 in 6 days
Surrounding Area	Residential / Business
Site Groundcover	Pavement
Frequency of flow rate verifications	Quarterly
Last two flow rate audits	current
Does Site Meet App D&E Criteria	Yes

Notes: The picture was taking facing east.

Mammoth County Complex -MAM AQS Site ID: 04-021-3006

118 S. Catalina, Mammoth, Arizona

Latitude: 32.719639° **Longitude:** -110.643° **Map Datum:** WGS 84



Table B-9 Mammoth County Complex (MAM)

Parameter	Instrument #1
Pollutant Type (Method Code)	PM_{10} (063)
Date Parameter Established	1995
County ID	MAM
Sampler Make & Model	Andersen HiVol
Classification	SLAMS
Scale	Neighborhood
Objective	Population / Background
Inlet Height	5.0 meters
Distance from Tree Dripline	10.8 meters
Obstacle Description	None
Height of Obstacle	N/A
Distance and Direction	N/A
Airflow Arch	360°
Furnace or Incinerator Flue	Furnace
Distance and Direction	5 meters – W
Distance between Collocated Samplers	N/A
Nearest Road	Catalina St.
Distance and Direction to Road	18.9 meters – SE
Traffic Count (ADT)	Not available
Schedule	1 in 6 days
Surrounding Area	Residential
Site Groundcover	Pavement
Frequency of flow rate verifications	Quarterly
Last two flow rate audits	current
Does Site Meet App D&E Criteria	Yes

Notes: The picture was taken facing north.

(City of) Maricopa County Complex - MCPA AQS Site ID: 04-021-3010
44625 W. Garvey Rd., Maricopa, Arizona

Latitude: 33.058972° **Longitude:** -112.046917° **Map Datum:** WGS 84



Table B-10 (City of) Maricopa County Complex (MCPA)

Parameter	Instrument #1	Instrument #2
Pollutant Type (Method Code)	O_3 (112)	PM_{10} (079)
Date Parameter Established	2002	2005
County ID	MCPA O_3	MCPA PM_{10}
Sampler Make & Model	API 400	R&P 1400a (A/B)
Classification	SPM	SPM
Scale	Neighborhood / Regional	Neighborhood
Objective	Population / Transport	Population
Inlet Height	3.9 meters	3.2 meters
Distance from Tree Dripline	None	None
Obstacle Description	Building	Building
Height of Obstacle	5.2 meters	5.2 meters
Distance and Direction	4.8 meters - W	4.8 meters – W
Airflow Arch	360°	360º
Furnace or Incinerator Flue	None	None
Distance and Direction	NA	NA
Distance between Collocated Samplers	1.4 meters	1.4 meters
Nearest Road	Maricopa Road (SR 347)	Maricopa Road (SR 347)
Distance and Direction to Road	63 meters - W	63 meters - W
Traffic Count (ADT)	33547 (2009)	33547 (2009)
Schedule	Continuous / Seasonal	Continuous
Probe Material	Glass	N/A
Residence Time	5.6 sec	N/A
Surrounding Area	Vacant Lot / Business	Vacant Lot / Business
Site Groundcover	Gravel / Vegetative	Gravel / Vegetative
Frequency of flow rate verifications	N/A	Bi-weekly
Last two flow rate audits	N/A	current
Frequency of one point QC check	Bi-weekly	N/A
Last annual performance evaluation	current	current
Does Site Meet App D&E Criteria	Yes	Yes

Notes: The picture was taken facing north.

Pinal Air Park – PP AQS Site ID: 04-021-3007
Water Well #2, Pinal Air Park Rd., Marana, Arizona

Latitude: 32.508306° **Longitude:** -111.308056° **Map Datum:** WGS 84



Table B-11 Pinal Air Park (PP)

Parameter	Instrument #1	Instrument #2
Pollutant Type (Method Code)	PM_{10} (063)	O_3 (112)
Date Parameter Established	1993	2002
County ID	PP PM_{10}	PP O_3
Sampler Make & Model	Andersen HiVol	API 400
Classification	SLAMS	SPM
Scale	Regional	Regional
Objective	Background	Transport
Inlet Height	4 meters	4.1 meters
Distance from Tree Dripline	None	None
Obstacle Description	None	None
Height of Obstacle	N/A	N/A
Distance and Direction	N/A	N/A
Airflow Arch	360°	360°
Furnace or Incinerator Flue	None	None
Distance and Direction	N/A	N/A
Distance between Collocated Samplers	16.1 meters	16.1 meters
Nearest Road	Service blacktop	Service blacktop
Distance and Direction to Road	65 meters – E	54.2 meters – E
Traffic Count (ADT)	Not available	Not available
Schedule	1 in 6 days	Continuous / Seasonal
Probe Material	N/A	Glass
Residence Time	N/A	5.6 sec
Surrounding Area	Desert	Desert
Site Groundcover	Vegetative	Vegetative
Frequency of flow rate verifications	Quarterly	N/A
Last two flow rate audits	current	current
Frequency of one point QC check	N/A	Bi-weekly
Last annual performance evaluation	N/A	7/20/06
Does Site Meet App D&E Criteria	Yes	Yes

Notes: The picture was taken facing southwest.

Pinal County Housing Complex - PCH **AQS Site ID: 04-021-3011**

970 N. Eleven Mile Corner Rd., Casa Grande, Arizona

Latitude: 32.891056° **Longitude:** -111.5705° **Map Datum:** WGS 84



Table B-12 **Pinal County Housing Complex (PCH)**

Parameter	Instrument #1	Instrument #2	Instrument #3
Pollutant Type (method Code)	PM_{10} (079)	PM_{10} (062)	PM_{10} (062)
Date Parameter Established	2002	2002	2004
County ID	PCH TEOM	PCHE PM_{10}	PCHW PM_{10}
Sampler Make and Model	R&P 1400a (A/B)	Wedding HiVol	Wedding HiVol
Classification	SPM	SLAMS	SLAMS
Scale	Neighborhood	Neighborhood	Neighborhood
Objective	Population	Population	Population
Inlet Height	2.9 meters	3.4 meters	3.4 meters
Distance from Tree Dripline	7.3 meters (below inlet)	3.7 meters (below inlet)	5.7 meters (below inlet)
Obstacle Description	Shelter	Shelter	Shelter
Height of Obstacle	2.6 meters	2.6 meters	3.9 meters
Distance & Direction	5.5 meters – W	5.7 meters – SW	2.3 meters – SW
Airflow Arch	360°	360°	360°
Furnace or Incinerator Flue	None	None	None
Distance & Direction	N/A	N/A	N/A
Distance between Collocated Samplers	3.7 meters to HiVol	2.3 meters	2.3 meters
Nearest Road	Eleven Mile Corner Rd.	Eleven Mile Corner Rd.	Eleven Mile Corner Rd.
Distance & Direction to Road	400 meters – E	400 meters – E	400 meters – E
Traffic Count (ADT)	4141 (2009)	4141 (2009)	4141 (2009)
Schedule	Continuous	1 in 6 days	1 in 6 days
Surrounding Area	Agricultural / Residential	Agricultural / Residential	Agricultural / Residential
Site Groundcover	Gravel / Vegetative	Gravel / Vegetative	Gravel / Vegetative
Frequency of flow rate verifications	Bi-weekly	Quarterly	Quarterly
Last two flow rate audits	current	current	current
Does Site Meet App D&E Criteria	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: The picture was taken facing south west.

Table B-12 Pinal County Housing Complex (PCH) cont.

Parameter	Instrument#4	Instrument #5
Pollutant Type	Wind	Temp & RH/Pressure
Date Parameter Established	2002	2002
County ID	PCH MET	PCH MET
Sampler Make and Model	RM Young 05305 AQ	Viasala HMP35C/PTA427
Classification	N/A	N/A
Scale	N/A	N/A
Objective	N/A	N/A
Measurement Height	3.3 meters	2.9 meters
Distance from Tree Dripline	7.3 meters	7.3 meters
Obstacle Description	Shelter	Shelter
Height of Obstacle	2.6 meters	2.6 meters
Distance & Direction	6.1 meters – W	5.7 meters – W
Airflow Arch	360°	360°
Furnace or Incinerator Flue	None	None
Distance & Direction	N/A	N/A
Distance between Collocated Samplers	N/A	N/A
Nearest Road	Eleven Mile Corner Rd.	Eleven Mile Corner Rd.
Distance & Direction to Road	400 meters – E	400 meters – E
Traffic Count (ADT)	4141 (2009)	4141 (2009)
Schedule	Continuous	Continuous
Surrounding Area	Agricultural / Residential	Agricultural / Residential
Site Groundcover	Gravel / Vegetative	Gravel / Vegetative
Frequency of flow rate verifications	N/A	N/A
Last two flow rate audits	current	current
Does Site Meet App D&E Criteria	N/A	N/A

Queen Valley - QV AQS Site ID: 04-021-8001
10 S. Queen Anne Dr., Queen Valley, Arizona

Latitude: 33.293465° **Longitude:** -111.285594° **Map Datum:** WGS 84



Table B-13 QueenValley (QV)

Parameter	Instrument #1	Instrument #2
Pollutant Type (Method Code*)	O_3	$PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10}
Date Parameter Established	2001	2001
County ID	QV	QVA
Sampler Make & Model	TEI 49C	Version II IMPROVE
Classification	SLAMS	SPM
Scale	Urban	Urban
Objective	Highest Concentration	Visibility
Inlet Height	4.7 meters	5.4 meters
Distance from Tree Dripline	2.3 meters	6.0 meters
Obstacle Description	None	None
Height of Obstacle	N/A	N/A
Distance and Direction	N/A	N/A
Airflow Arch	360°	360°
Furnace or Incinerator Flue	None	None
Distance and Direction	N/A	N/A
Distance between Collocated Samplers	2.1 meters	2.1 meters
Nearest Road	Queen Anne Dr.	Queen Anne Dr.
Distance and Direction to Road	93 meters – E	93 meters – E
Traffic Count (ADT)	785 cars per day	785 cars per day
Schedule	Continuous / Seasonal	1 in 3 days
Surrounding Area	Desert / Residential	Desert / Residential
Site Groundcover	Vegetative	Vegetative
Frequency of one point QC check	Bi-weekly	N/A
Last annual performance evaluation	Unknown*	N/A
Does Site Meet App D&E Criteria	Yes	N/A

Notes: The picture was taken facing east. *For additional detail refer to ADEQ's State of Arizona Monitoring Network Plan for 2009.

Riverside Maintenance Yard - RVSD AQS Site ID: 04-021-3012

54964 E. Florence-Kelvin Hwy., Kearny, Arizona

Latitude: 33.105806° **Longitude:** -110.974194° **Map Datum:** WGS 84



Table B-14 Riverside Maintenance Yard (RS)

Parameter	Instrument #1
Pollutant Type (Method Code)	PM_{10} (063)
Date Parameter Established	2003
County ID	RVSD
Sampler Make & Model	Anderson HiVol
Classification	SLAMS
Scale	Neighborhood
Objective	Source Impact
Inlet Height	3.0 meters
Distance from Tree Dripline	10.2 meters
Obstacle Description	Building
Height of Obstacle	3.8 meters
Distance and Direction	8.5 meters - NE
Airflow Arch	360°
Furnace or Incinerator Flue	None
Distance and Direction	N/A
Distance between Collocated Samplers	N/A
Nearest Road	Florence-Kelvin Hwy.
Distance and Direction to Road	24.7 meters - E
Traffic Count (ADT)	75 cars per day
Schedule	1 in 6 days
Surrounding Area	Residential / Desert
Site Groundcover	Gravel
Frequency of flow rate verifications	Quarterly
Last two flow rate audits	current
Does Site Meet App D&E Criteria	Yes

Notes: The picture was taken facing north.

Stanfield County Complex - STNF AQS Site ID: 04-021-3008
36697 W. Papago Dr., Stanfield, Arizona

Latitude: 32.881194° **Longitude:** -111.962° **Map Datum:** WGS 84



Table B-15 Stanfield County Complex (STNF)

Parameter	Instrument#1	Instrument#2	Instrument#3	Instrument#4
Pollutant Type (Method Code)	PM_{10} (079)	Video	Wind	Temp & RH/Pressure
Date Parameter Established	1988	2003	2007	2007
County ID	STNF	STNF Video	STNF MET	STNF MET
Sampler Make & Model	R&P 1400a (A/B)	Panasonic	RM Young 05305 AQ	Viasala HMP45C
Classification	SLAMS	AG-6740	N/A	N/A
Scale	Neighborhood	N/A	N/A	N/A
Objective	Population	N/A	N/A	N/A
Inlet Height	4.8 meters	8.2 meters	9.2 meters	3.0 meters
Distance from Tree Dripline	None	None	None	None
Obstacle Description	FD Engine Carport	None	FD Engine Carport	FD Engine Carport
Height of Obstacle	3.7 meters	N/A	3.7 meters	3.7 meters
Distance and Direction	3.9 meters – S	N/A	7 meters – W	2.0 meters – W
Airflow Arch	360°	360°	360°	360°
Furnace or Incinerator Flue	None	None	None	None
Distance and Direction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Distance between Collocated Samplers	2.3 meters	N/A	N/A	N/A
Nearest Road	Navajo Way	Navajo Way	Navajo Way	Navajo Way
Distance and Direction to Road	21.2 meters – W	21.2 meters – W	400 meters – E	400 meters – E
Traffic Count (ADT)	91 cars per day	91 cars per day	91 cars per day	91 cars per day
Schedule	1 in 6 days	1 in 6 days	Continuous	Continuous
Surrounding Area	Residential	Residential	Residential	Residential
Site Groundcover	Gravel/Vegetative	Gravel/Vegetative	Gravel/Vegetative	Gravel/Vegetative
Frequency of flow rate verifications	Monthly	N/A	Annual	Annual
Last two flow rate audits	current	N/A	N/A	N/A
Does Site Meet App D&E Criteria	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: The picture was taken facing North West.

This page intentionally blank

Appendix C

PCAQCD Ambient Air Monitoring Data

Please refer to Section 1.0 for a detailed description of the NAAQS for ozone, PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$.

This page intentionally blank

APACHE JUNCTION CARBON MONOXIDE DATA (in ppm)
1 HOUR AVERAGES

STANDARD: In order to meet the standard the second highest reading must be less than or equal to 35 ppm.

Table C-1

Year	Maximum Reading	2nd Highest Reading	Number of Daily Exceedances	Number of Sample Hours
1996	2.60	2.60	0	4884
1997	2.20	2.00	0	8675
1998	2.00	2.00	0	8609
1999	1.90	1.70	0	8057
2000	1.48	1.39	0	8543
2001	3.74	3.54	0	6610
2002[a]	1.28	1.21	0	3533

APACHE JUNCTION CARBON MONOXIDE DATA (in ppm)
8 HOUR AVERAGES

STANDARD: In order to meet the standard the second highest reading must be less than or equal to 9 ppm.

Table C-2

Year	Maximum Reading	2nd Highest Reading	Number of Daily Exceedances	Number of Sample Hours
1996	1.08	1.00	0	4873
1997	1.16	1.01	0	8680
1998	1.28	1.08	0	8613
1999	0.91	0.86	0	8017
2000	0.69	0.69	0	8549
2001	1.06	0.90	0	6633
2002 [a]	0.79	0.75	0	3552

Footnotes:
a - Carbon Monoxide monitoring was discontinued at the Apache Junction site on 5/28/2002. The 2002 readings only represent part of the 2002 carbon monoxide season.

CASA GRANDE CARBON MONOXIDE DATA (in ppm)
1 HOUR AVERAGES

STANDARD: In order to meet the standard the second highest reading must be less than or equal to 35 ppm.

Table C-3

Year	Maximum Reading	2nd Highest Reading	Number of Daily Exceedances	Number of Sample Hours
1996	1.60	1.50	0	8728
1997	1.50	1.50	0	8595
1998	3.90	3.60	0	8513
1999	2.10	1.50	0	7625
2000	2.45	2.25	0	8416
2001	1.53	1.10	0	8326
2002[a]	1.23	1.22	0	6715

CASA GRANDE CARBON MONOXIDE DATA (in ppm)
8 HOUR AVERAGES

STANDARD: In order to meet the standard the second highest reading must be less than or equal to 9 ppm.

Table C-4

Year	Maximum Reading	2nd Highest Reading	Number of Daily Exceedances	Number of Sample Hours
1996	1.19	1.16	0	8734
1997	1.29	1.11	0	8634
1998	1.46	1.46	0	8525
1999	0.86	0.80	0	7621
2000	0.95	0.84	0	8420
2001	0.77	0.76	0	8355
2002[a]	0.81	0.76	0	6745

Footnotes:
a - Carbon Monoxide monitoring was discontinued at the Casa Grande site on 10/11/2002. The 2002 readings only represent part of the 2002 carbon monoxide season.

APACHE JUNCTION OZONE DATA (in ppm)
1 HOUR AVERAGES

Table C-5

Year	Maximum Reading	2nd Highest Reading	3rd Highest Reading	4th Highest Reading	Number of Daily Exceedances	Expected Exceedance Rate
1993	0.121	0.110	0.106	0.103	0	0
1994	0.114	0.110	0.108	0.108	0	0
1995	0.136	0.122	0.121	0.114	1	1
1996	0.121	0.115	0.114	0.114	0	0
1997	0.106	0.097	0.096	0.096	0	0
1998	0.112	0.112	0.111	0.106	0	0
1999	0.112	0.111	0.109	0.105	0	0
2000	0.101	0.101	0.098	0.096	0	0
2001	0.101	0.096	0.095	0.092	0	0
2002	0.109	0.097	0.095	0.095	0	0
2003	0.105	0.096	0.094	0.091	0	0
2004	0.084	0.079	0.079	0.079	0	0
2005	0.097	0.089	0.085	0.083	0	0
2006[a]	0.106	0.105	0.104	0.095	N/A	N/A
2007[a]	0.098	0.096	0.095	0.094	N/A	N/A
2008[a]	0.098	0.094	0.092	0.091	N/A	N/A
2009	0.091	0.089	0.085	0.080	N/A	N/A
2010	0.090	0.087	0.086	0.084	N/A	N/A

Footnotes:
a - The 1-hour ozone standard was revoked in August 2005. One-hour averages are listed here for trend analysis.

APACHE JUNCTION OZONE DATA (in ppm)
8 HOUR AVERAGES

Table C-6

Year	Maximum Reading	2nd Highest Reading	3rd Highest Reading	4th Highest Reading	3 Year Avg of the 4th Highest	Number of Daily Excursions
1993	0.086	0.082	0.080	0.080	N/A	1
1994	0.089	0.087	0.085	0.085	N/A	5
1995	0.095	0.093	0.093	0.091	0.085	8
1996	0.092	0.086	0.085	0.085	0.087	6
1997	0.084	0.083	0.082	0.082	0.086	0
1998	0.091	0.089	0.082	0.082	0.083	2
1999	0.091	0.089	0.081	0.080	0.081	2
2000	0.087	0.084	0.082	0.082	0.081	1
2001	0.081	0.081	0.078	0.077	0.079	0
2002	0.081	0.081	0.080	0.079	0.079	0
2003	0.090	0.074	0.072	0.072	0.076	1
2004	0.070	0.070	0.070	0.069	0.073	0
2005	0.076	0.074	0.071	0.068	0.069	0
2006	0.094	0.090	0.087	0.084	0.074	4
2007	0.083	0.080	0.079	0.077	0.076	0
2008[b]	0.082	0.081	0.081	0.079	0.080	7
2009	0.076	0.071	0.070	0.070	0.075	1
2010	0.078	0.077	0.075	0.073	0.074	2

Footnotes:
b - The 8-hour ozone standard was revised effective May 27, 2008. The previous eight-hour average of 0.080 ppm was lowered to 0.075 ppm.

CASA GRANDE OZONE DATA (in ppm)
1 HOUR AVERAGES

Table C-7

Year	Maximum Reading	2nd Highest Reading	3rd Highest Reading	4th Highest Reading	Number of Daily Exceedances	Expected Exceedance Rate
1993	0.092	0.090	0.084	0.082	0	0
1994	0.091	0.091	0.090	0.088	0	0
1995	0.083	0.083	0.081	0.080	0	0
1996	0.104	0.091	0.085	0.084	0	0
1997	0.082	0.082	0.080	0.079	0	0
1998	0.093	0.079	0.076	0.075	0	0
1999	0.090	0.087	0.084	0.084	0	0
2000	0.105	0.094	0.090	0.089	0	0
2001	0.084	0.084	0.083	0.083	0	0
2002	0.088	0.088	0.083	0.083	0	0
2003	0.090	0.089	0.086	0.083	0	0
2004	0.077	0.077	0.076	0.074	0	0
2005	0.089	0.088	0.081	0.080	0	0
2006[a]	0.083	0.083	0.082	0.080	N/A	N/A
2007[a]	0.079	0.078	0.075	0.074	N/A	N/A
2008[a]	0.082	0.080	0.080	0.079	N/A	N/A
2009	0.072	0.071	0.071	0.070	N/A	N/A
2010	0.076	0.073	0.073	0.072	N/A	N/A

Footnotes:
a - The 1-hour ozone standard was revoked in August 2005. One-hour averages are listed here for trend analysis.

CASA GRANDE OZONE DATA (in ppm)
8 HOUR AVERAGES

Table C-8

Year	Maximum Reading	2nd Highest Reading	3rd Highest Reading	4th Highest Reading	3 Year Avg of the 4th Highest	Number of Daily Excursions
1993	0.081	0.074	0.073	0.072	N/A	0
1994	0.079	0.077	0.076	0.076	N/A	0
1995	0.077	0.074	0.073	0.071	0.073	0
1996	0.086	0.081	0.080	0.079	0.075	1
1997	0.075	0.074	0.073	0.072	0.074	0
1998	0.070	0.069	0.069	0.068	0.073	0
1999	0.083	0.083	0.079	0.078	0.072	0
2000	0.087	0.086	0.086	0.085	0.077	5
2001	0.078	0.078	0.074	0.074	0.079	0
2002	0.080	0.079	0.079	0.077	0.078	0
2003	0.077	0.074	0.073	0.073	0.074	0
2004	0.072	0.070	0.070	0.070	0.073	0
2005	0.081	0.075	0.073	0.072	0.071	0
2006	0.076	0.077	0.074	0.073	0.071	0
2007	0.071	0.071	0.071	0.070	0.071	0
2008[b]	0.077	0.077	0.074	0.073	0.072	2
2009	0.068	0.067	0.066	0.066	0.069	0
2010	0.071	0.070	0.068	0.068	0.069	0

Footnotes:
b - The 8-hour ozone standard was revised effective May 27, 2008. The previous eight-hour average of 0.080 ppm was lowered to 0.075 ppm.

COMBS OZONE DATA (in ppm)
1 HOUR AVERAGES

Table C-9

Year	Maximum Reading	2nd Highest Reading	3rd Highest Reading	4th Highest Reading	Number of Daily Exceedances	Expected Exceedance Rate
2002	0.085	0.080	0.080	0.078	0	0
2003	0.101	0.096	0.090	0.086	0	0
2004	0.080	0.069	0.068	0.067	0	0
2005	0.093	0.091	0.088	0.087	0	0
2006[a]	0.095	0.091	0.089	0.086	N/A	N/A
2007[a]	0.075	0.072	0.071	0.070	N/A	N/A
2008[a]	0.093	0.092	0.086	0.085	N/A	N/A
2009	0.074	0.070	0.070	0.069	N/A	N/A
2010	0.076	0.073	0.070	0.069	N/A	N/A

Footnotes:
a - The 1-hour ozone standard was revoked in August 2005. One-hour averages are listed here for trend analysis.

COMBS OZONE DATA (in ppm)
8 HOUR AVERAGES

Table C-10

Year	Maximum Reading	2nd Highest Reading	3rd Highest Reading	4th Highest Reading	3 Year Avg of the 4th Highest	Number of Daily Excursions
2002	0.075	0.074	0.071	0.068	N/A	0
2003	0.081	0.073	0.073	0.072	N/A	0
2004	0.064	0.062	0.060	0.059	0.066	0
2005	0.080	0.077	0.069	0.067	0.066	0
2006	0.079	0.073	0.072	0.071	0.066	0
2007	0.063	0.062	0.061	0.057	0.065	0
2008[b]	0.074	0.072	0.071	0.071	0.066	0
2009	0.063	0.063	0.063	0.062	0.063	0
2010	0.067	0.064	0.063	0.062	0.063	0

Footnotes:
b - The 8-hour ozone standard was revised effective May 27, 2008. The previous eight-hour average of 0.080 ppm was lowered to 0.075 ppm.

CITY OF MARICOPA OZONE DATA (in ppm)
1 HOUR AVERAGES

Table C-11

Year	Maximum Reading	2nd Highest Reading	3rd Highest Reading	4th Highest Reading	Number of Daily Exceedances	Expected Exceedance Rate
2002	0.089	0.086	0.077	0.075	0	0
2003	0.093	0.092	0.085	0.085	0	0
2004	0.078	0.072	0.072	0.071	0	0
2005	0.079	0.078	0.075	0.069	0	0
2006[a]	0.087	0.080	0.0074	0.074	N/A	N/A
2007[a]	0.067	0.065	0.064	0.063	N/A	N/A
2008[a]	0.085	0.078	0.076	0.075	N/A	N/A
2009	0.070	0.069	0.068	0.066	N/A	N/A
2010	0.076	0.073	0.072	0.071	N/A	N/A

Footnotes:
a - The 1-hour ozone standard was revoked in August 2005. One-hour averages are listed here for trend analysis.

CITY OF MARICOPA OZONE DATA (in ppm)
8 HOUR AVERAGES

Table C-12

Year	Maximum Reading	2nd Highest Reading	3rd Highest Reading	4th Highest Reading	3 Year Avg of the 4th Highest	Number of Daily Excursions
2002	0.083	0.080	0.073	0.068	N/A	0
2003	0.082	0.077	0.075	0.075	N/A	0
2004	0.072	0.067	0.065	0.064	0.069	0
2005	0.070	0.069	0.067	0.062	0.067	0
2006	0.082	0.077	0.068	0.068	0.065	0
2007	0.061	0.060	0.059	0.059	0.063	0
2008[b]	0.073	0.070	0.070	0.069	0.065	0
2009	0.066	0.062	0.062	0.061	0.063	0
2010	0.068	0.068	0.066	0.066	0.065	0

Footnotes:
b - The 8-hour ozone standard was revised effective May 27, 2008. The previous eight-hour average of 0.080 ppm was lowered to 0.075 ppm.

PINAL AIR PARK OZONE DATA (in ppm)
1 HOUR AVERAGES

Table C-13

Year	Maximum Reading	2nd Highest Reading	3rd Highest Reading	4th Highest Reading	Number of Daily Exceedances	Expected Exceedance Rate
2002	0.087	0.085	0.079	0.078	0	0
2003	0.083	0.080	0.080	0.079	0	0
2004	0.074	0.073	0.072	0.072	0	0
2005	0.088	0.085	0.084	0.084	0	0
2006[a]	0.083	0.079	0.077	0.076	N/A	N/A
2007[a]	0.078	0.073	0.070	0.070	N/A	N/A
2008[a]	0.077	0.077	0.075	0.074	N/A	N/A
2009	0.081	0.074	0.072	0.071	N/A	N/A
2010	0.077	0.075	0.073	0.072	N/A	N/A

Footnotes:
a - The 1-hour ozone standard was revoked in August 2005. One-hour averages are listed here for trend analysis.

PINAL AIR PARK OZONE DATA (in ppm)
8 HOUR AVERAGES

Table C-14

Year	Maximum Reading	2nd Highest Reading	3rd Highest Reading	4th Highest Reading	3 Year Avg of the 4th Highest	Number of Daily Excursions
2002	0.080	0.074	0.072	0.070	N/A	0
2003	0.076	0.075	0.075	0.074	N/A	0
2004	0.069	0.069	0.068	0.067	0.070	0
2005	0.079	0.079	0.078	0.077	0.072	0
2006	0.075	0.072	0.071	0.070	0.071	0
2007	0.072	0.071	0.068	0.066	0.071	0
2008[b]	0.071	0.071	0.071	0.070	0.068	0
2009	0.073	0.066	0.065	0.065	0.067	0
2010	0.070	0.069	0.067	0.066	0.067	0

b - The 8-hour ozone standard was revised effective May 27, 2008. The previous eight-hour average of 0.080 ppm was lowered to 0.075 ppm.

QUEEN VALLEY OZONE DATA (in ppm)
1 HOUR AVERAGES

Table C-15

Year	Maximum Reading	2nd Highest Reading	3rd Highest Reading	4th Highest Reading	Number of Daily Exceedances	Expected Exceedance Rate
2001	0.103	0.103	0.098	0.093	0	0
2002	0.112	0.110	0.106	0.099	0	0
2003	0.110	0.110	0.107	0.107	0	0
2004	0.093	0.092	0.092	0.087	0	0
2005	0.117	0.113	0.110	0.105	0	0
2006[a]	0.110	0.109	0.103	0.102	N/A	N/A
2007[a]	0.089	0.088	0.088	0.087	N/A	N/A
2008[a]	0.099	0.095	0.093	0.091	N/A	N/A
2009	0.089	0.089	0.087	0.080	N/A	N/A
2010	0.092	0.089	0.085	0.082	N/A	N/A

Footnotes:

a - The 1-hour ozone standard was revoked in August 2005. One-hour averages are listed here for trend analysis.

QUEEN VALLEY OZONE DATA (IN ppm)
8 HOUR AVERAGES

Table C-16

Year	Maximum Reading	2nd Highest Reading	3rd Highest Reading	4th Highest Reading	3 Year Avg of the 4th Highest	Number of Daily Excursions
2001	0.084	0.084	0.080	0.079	N/A	0
2002	0.085	0.083	0.083	0.083	N/A	1
2003	0.094	0.091	0.090	0.087	0.083	4
2004	0.077	0.076	0.074	0.073	0.081	0
2005	0.097	0.096	0.086	0.084	0.081	3
2006	0.091	0.087	0.080	0.080	0.079	2
2007	0.077	0.077	0.076	0.076	0.080	0
2008[b]	0.085	0.082	0.082	0.080	0.078	9
2009	0.076	0.075	0.071	0.070	0.075	2
2010	0.075	0.074	0.073	0.072	0.074	1

b - The 8-hour ozone standard was revised effective May 27, 2008. The previous eight-hour average of 0.080 ppm was lowered to 0.075 ppm.

24 HOUR PM_{10} AVERAGES (IN $\mu g/m^3$)

Table C-17

Year	Reading Maximum	Number of Daily Exceedances	Expected Exceedance Rate	3 Year Average of the Expected Exceedance Rate
Apache Junction North (HiVol)				
1995	67.72	0	0	N/A
1996	34.05	0	0	N/A
1997	81.0	0	0	0
1998	61.45	0	0	0
1999	64.0	0	0	0
2000	111.4	0	0	0
2001	49.1	0	0	0
2002 [b]	61.5	0	0	0
2003 [j]	94.5	0	0	0
Apache Junction South (HiVol)				
1995	67.91	0	0	N/A
1996	36.93	0	0	N/A
1997	81.33	0	0	0
1998	62.73	0	0	0
1999	63.5	0	0	0
2000	107.3	0	0	0
2001	93.5	0	0	0
2002 [b]	62.4	0	0	0
2003 [b,g]	91.3	0	0	0
Apache Junction Fire Station (HiVol)				
2003 [b,h]	103.3	0	0	N/A
2004	35.7	0	0	N/A
2005	47.0	0	0	0
2006	73.0	0	0	0
2007	48.0	0	0	0
2008	57.0	0	0	0
2009	54.0	0	0	0
2010	66.0	0	0	0
Casa Grande (HiVol)				
1999	64.3	0	0	0
2000	82.5	0	0	0
2001	104.2	0	0	0
2002 [b]	68.5	0	0	0
2003	98.7	0	0	0
2004	52.8	0	0	0
2005	79.2	0	0	0
2006	81.2	0	0	0
2007	112.4	0	0	0
2008	74.0	0	0	0
Casa Grande (47mm)				
2009	109	0	0	0
2010	136	0	0	0
Casa Grande (TEOM)				
2007	983.4	7	7	N/A
2008	203.0	3	3	N/A
2009	848.3	4	4	4.7
2010	568.8	1	1	2.7
Combs (TEOM)				
2007	969.5	31	44.6	N/A

Year	Reading Maximum	Number of Daily Exceedances	Expected Exceedance Rate	3 Year Average of the Expected Exceedance Rate
2008	270.0	4	4	N/A
2009	220.4	4	4	17.5
2010	365.9	1	1	3
Coolidge (HiVol)				
1999	83.6	0	0	0
2000	76.5	0	0	0
2001	73.4	0	0	0
2002 [b]	106.4	0	0	0
2003	105.7	0	0	0
2004	57.5	0	0	0
2005	81.4	0	0	0
2006	105.5	0	0	0
2007	82.0	0	0	0
2008	91.0	0	0	0
2009	189.0	1	6	2
2010	87.0	0	0	2
Cowtown (47mm)				
2005 [b,k]	787.9	8	N/A	Avg. > 1.0
2006	606.0	39	278	Avg. > 1.0
2007	759.0	24	167	Avg. > 1.0
2008	465.0	24	146	197
2009	230.0	5	31	115
2010	275	3	18	65
Cowtown (TEOM)				
2002 [a,b]	1390.6	209	209	Avg. > 1.0
2003	718.5	150	150	Avg. > 1.0
2004 [b]	600.1	105	105	155
2005 [b]	769.6	163	163	139
2006	1078.9	228	238	169
2007	1014.0	189	190	197
2008	609.0	173	175	201
2009	631.1	53	53	139
2010	496.8	28	28	85
Eloy (HiVol)				
1999	141.6	0	0	0
2000	102.1	0	0	0
2001	142.2	0	0	0
2002 [b]	146.3	0	0	0
2003	153.9	0	0	0
2004	46.8	0	0	0
2005	72.9	0	0	0
2006	98.7	0	0	0
2007 [o]	135.5	0	0	0
2008	109.0	0	0	0
2009	153	0	0	0
2010	87	0	0	0
Mammoth (HiVol)				
1999 [b]	50.0	0	0	0
2000	63.5	0	0	0
2001	99.2	0	0	0
2002 [b]	52.5	0	0	0
2003	89.4	0	0	0
2004	30.8	0	0	0
2005	32.5	0	0	0
2006	30.7	0	0	0

Year	Reading Maximum	Number of Daily Exceedances	Expected Exceedance Rate	3 Year Average of the Expected Exceedance Rate
2007	39.8	0	0	0
2008	35.0	0	0	0
2009	42.0	0	0	0
2010	46.0	0	0	0
City of Maricopa (TEOM)				
2005 [l]	239.1	18	18	Avg. > 1.0
2006	429.0	21	21	Avg. > 1.0
2007	724.0	20	20	21
2008	520.0	6	6	16
2009	607.0	11	11	12
2010	172.1	2	2	6.3
Pinal Air Park (HiVol)				
1999	60.4	0	0	0
2000	74.2	0	0	0
2001 [b]	103.3	0	0	0
2002 [b]	62.0	0	0	0
2003	107.8	0	0	0
2004	38.8	0	0	0
2005	122.4	0	0	0
2006	76.8	0	0	0
2007	113.0	0	0	0
2008	55.0	0	0	0
2009	51.0	0	0	0
2010	70.0	0	0	0
Pinal County Housing West (HiVol)				
2002 [b,f]	166.1	1	N/A	Avg. > 1.0
2003	288.6	2	11.5	Avg. > 1.0
2004	155.1	1	5.8	Avg. > 1.0
2005 [b]	157.7	1	6.1	7.8
2006	152.5	0	0	4.0
2007	224.0	1	6.5	4.2
2008	141.0	0	0	3.3
2009	179.0	2	13.1	6.5
2010	128.0	0	0	4.4
Pinal County Housing East (HiVol)				
2004	113.6	0	0	0
2005	179.4	2	11.9	Avg. > 1.0
2006	209.7	3	20.2	10.7
2007	341.0	1	6.1	12.7
2008	245.0	1	5.7	10.7
2009	187.0	1	6	5.9
2010	130.0	0	0	3.9
Pinal County Housing (TEOM)				
2002 [b,f]	394.5	9	9	Avg. > 1.0
2003	357.8	11	11	Avg. > 1.0
2004	490.7	7	7	9
2005	326.0	17	17	11.7
2006	913.0	33	33.6	19.2
2007	2253	19	20	23.5
2008	285.0	10	10	21.2
2009	1444.7	17	17	15.7
2010	1761.0	6	6	11
Riverside (HiVol)				
2003 [b,i]	100.7	0	0	N/A
2004	34.4	0	0	N/A

Year	Reading Maximum	Number of Daily Exceedances	Expected Exceedance Rate	3 Year Average of the Expected Exceedance Rate
2005	35.2	0	0	0
2006	82.7	0	0	0
2007	65.0	0	0	0
2008	52.0	0	0	0
2009	51.0	0	0	0
2010	47.0	0	0	0
Stanfield (HiVol)				
1999	106.6	0	0	0
2000	148.7	0	0	0
2001	134.2	0	0	0
2002 [b]	351.5	2	13.0	4.0
2003 [b]	170.5	1	6.1	6.1
2004	80.9	0	0	6.1
2005	172.5	1	5.8	4.0
Stanfield (47mm)				
2006 [m]	182.0	2	13.1	Avg. > 1.0
2007	374.0	6	39.6	Avg. > 1.0
2008	201.0	2	11.8	21.5
2009	121	0	0	17.1
Stanfield (TEOM)				
2006 [b,n]	727.4	25	26.5	Avg. > 1.0
2007	1062.0	25	25.2	Avg. > 1.0
2008	375.0	14	14	21.9
2009	815.0	14	14	17.7
2010	204.6	1	1	9.7

Footnotes:

a - At least one data point during this year was flagged due to an exceptional event and excluded from calculation.

b - At least one quarter during this year had less than 75% data recovery

f - Monitoring began at the Pinal County Housing site on 8/1/2002. The Pinal County Housing site replaced the Eleven Mile Corner site.

g - The Apache Junction South monitor was discontinued on 7/1/2003, and relocated to Apache Junction Fire Station.

h - Monitoring began at the Apache Junction Fire Station site on 7/2/2003.

i - Monitoring began at the Riverside site on 3/10/2003.

j - The Apache Junction North monitor was discontinued on 1/1/2004, and relocated to Pinal County Housing.

k - 47mm filter based monitoring began at the Cowtown site on 8/14/05, and data reporting began as of 10/1/05.

l - Monitoring began at the (City of) Maricopa site on 12/4/04, and data reporting began as of 1/1/05.

m - The Wedding HiVol sampler was replaced on 4/12/06 with an Andersen RAAS10-100 sampler at the Stanfield site.

n - Beginning in February of 2006 a R&P TEOM 1400a sampler was installed at the Stanfield site.

o – On 3/2/07 the Andersen HiVol was relocated from a City of Eloy building to a Pinal County building approximately 650 feet to the north-northeast.

ANNUAL PM_{10} AVERAGES (IN $\mu g/m^3$)

Table C-18

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Apache Junction North (HiVol)	25.8	27.4	22.7	21.3 [b]	20.3 [h]							
Apache Junction South (HiVol)	27.5	28.4	23.4	21.5 [b]	20.4 [b,e]							
Apache Junction Fire Station (HiVol)					26.7 [b,f]	18.4	19.9	23.6	18.1	19.6	19.2	18.4
Casa Grande (HiVol/47mm)	35.3	34.7	29.2	30.4 [b]	31.5	24.4	30.8	35.9	35.3	29.9	45.0	37.1
Casa Grande (TEOM)									55.2 [b]	45.0	46.6	37.5
Combs School (TEOM)									89.9 [b]	56.4	52.3	41.0
Coolidge (HiVol)	39.6	37.4	32.0	32.8 [b]	35.3	24.5	36.0	44.0	35.5	33.5	36.2	31.9
Cowtown (47mm)							294.4 [b,i]	220.1	167.5	145.3	93.7	78.4
Cowtown (TEOM)				261.7 [a,b]	170.1	132.2 [b]	200.4 [b]	230.4	181.3	160.5	103.9	81.2
Eloy (HiVol)	45.9	41.7	35.1	46.5 [b]	41.5	27.8	33.5	38.3	42.3 [m]	36.3	39.5	34.0
Mammoth (HiVol)	22.5 [b]	22.0	22.6	18.8 [b]	16.4	11.8	13.5	14.8	12.7	14.6	14.6	14.8
City of Maricopa (TEOM)							70.1 [j]	78.6	73.7	58.6	59.6	44.9
Pinal Air Park(HiVol)	30.3	30.9	26.7 [b]	30.2 [b]	28.6	20.3	22.4	29.5	29.5	25.8	27.1	26.3
Pinal County Housing West (HiVol)				56.6 [b,d]	61.0	47.1	56.7 [b]	64.3	56.0	43.1	49.3	42.6
Pinal County Housing East (HiVol)						45.1	58.5	62.8	62.3	47.5	45.4	44.4
Pinal County Housing (TEOM)				75.9 [b,d]	66.6	55.9	68.7	87.1	83.7	63.3	75.2	57.7
Riverside (HiVol)					23.9 [b,g]	15.2	18.1	23.3	23.6	20.9	22.7	21.0
Stanfield (HiVol/47mm)	56.6	45.7	41.9	59.7 [b]	46.1 [b]	33.9	52.1	81.4 [k]	90.9	61.0	45.1	
Stanfield (TEOM)								82.6 [b,l]	84.3	67.8	71.2	55.3

Footnotes:

a - At least one data point during this year was flagged due to an exceptional event and excluded from calculation.
b - At least one quarter during this year had less than 75% data recovery.
d - Monitoring began at the Pinal County Housing site on 8/1/2002. The Pinal County Housing site replaced the Eleven Mile Corner site.
e - The Apache Junction South monitor was discontinued on 7/1/2003, and relocated to Apache Junction Fire Station.
f - Monitoring began at the Apache Junction Fire Station site on 7/2/2003.
g - Monitoring began at the Riverside site on 3/10/2003.
h - The Apache Junction North monitor was discontinued on 1/1/2004, and relocated to Pinal County Housing.
i - Filter-based sampling began at the Cowtown site on 8/14/05, and data reporting began 10/1/05.
j - Monitoring began at the (City of) Maricopa site on 12/4/04, and data reporting began 1/1/05.
k - The Wedding HiVol sampler was replaced on 4/12/06 with an Andersen RAAS10-100 sampler at the Stanfield site.
l - In February of 2006 a R&P TEOM 1400a sampler was installed at Stanfield.
m - On 3/2/07 the Andersen HiVol was relocated from a City of Eloy building to a Pinal County building approximately 650 feet to the north-northeast. The annual average is from the three quarters at the new location.

24 HOUR $PM_{2.5}$ AVERAGES (in $\mu g/m^3$)

Table C-19

Year	Maximum Reading	98th Percentile	3 year average of the 98th percentile
Apache Junction			
1999	18.7	15.5	N/A
2000	44.5	18.0	N/A
2001	14.0	13.1	16
2002	23.5	13.1	15
2003 [a]	38.0	21.1	16
2004	17.0	10.3	15
2005	12.7	10.6	14
2006	10.7	9.3	10
2007	15.9	14.6	12
2008	23.3	15.4	13
2009	14.6	13.1	14
2010	13.1	11.9	14
Casa Grande (South)			
1999	19.5	18.1	N/A
2000	22.2	18.9	N/A
2001	18.1	16.7	18
2002	23.5	20.8	19
2003	32.2	26.7	21
2004	16.6	13.7	20
2005	19.3	16.9	19
2006	16.1	15.4	15
2007	26.6	22.4	18
2008	23.5	22.0	20
2009	29.0	17.3	21
2010	25.4	21.4	20
Casa Grande (North) [c]			
2009	28.9	19	N/A
2010	22.1	21.3	N/A
Cowtown			
2005 [a,b]	144.8	78.9	N/A
2006	69.4	48.9	N/A
2007	59.7	53.9	61
2008	41.7	40.7	48
2009	29.4	24	40
2010	39.5	27.1	31

Footnotes:

a – At least one quarter during this year had less than 75% data recovery

b - 47mm filter based monitoring began at the Cowtown site on August 14, 2005 and data reporting began October 10, 2005.

c – Beginning January 1, 2009 a the second $PM_{2.5}$ sampler, Casa Grande Downtown North, began sampling on the same day as Casa Grande Downtown South to collect precision data on a 1 in 6 schedule.

ANNUAL $PM_{2.5}$ AVERAGES (in $\mu g/m^3$)

Table C-20

Year	Annual Average	3 year average of the annual means
Apache Junction		
1999	7.4	N/A
2000	7.3	N/A
2001	6.3	7.0
2002	6.4	6.6
2003 [a]	6.3	6.3
2004 [a]	5.5	6.1
2005	5.5	5.8
2006	5.3	5.4
2007	7.0	5.9
2008	7.5	6.6
2009	6.4	7.0
2010	5.9	6.6
Casa Grande (South)		
1999	9.5	N/A
2000	8.5	N/A
2001	7.7	8.5
2002	8.5	8.2
2003	8.4	8.2
2004	7.1	8.0
2005	7.3	7.6
2006	7.6	7.3
2007	10.3	8.4
2008	10.6	9.5
2009	9.7	10.2
2010	8.4	9.5
Casa Grande (North) [c]		
2009	9.6	N/A
2010	8.2	N/A
Cowtown		
2005 [a,b]	33.1	N/A
2006	22.7	N/A
2007	22.5	26
2008	19.6	21.6
2009	14.2	18.8
2010	12.4	15.4

Footnotes:

[a] - At least one quarter during this year had less than 75% data recovery

[b] - 47mm filter based monitoring began at the Cowtown site on August 14, 2005 and data reporting began October 10, 2005.

c – Beginning January 1, 2009 a the second $PM_{2.5}$ sampler, Casa Grande Downtown North, began sampling on the same day as Casa Grande South to collect precision data on a 1 in 6 schedule.

Appendix D

Public Comments

This page intentionally blank

Appendix D

This appendix summarizes the public comment period and hearing conducted in relation to this document.

D.1 Public Comment Period

Pinal County Air Quality will post the draft 2011 Ambient Monitoring Network Review and Data Summary on the department's website for the period starting May 20, 2011 through June170, 2011.

D.2 Public Hearing

Pinal County Air Quality will conduct a public hearing concerning the draft 2011 Ambient Monitoring Network Review and Data Summary on June 22, 2011 at 9:00a.m. The sign in sheet from this meeting will be included in this appendix.

D.2 Public Comment

None received.

Sign-in Sheet June 24, 2011

PINAL • COUNTY

Wide open opportunity

PINAL COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
AIR QUALITY CONTROL DISTRICT
POST OFFICE BOX 987, FLORENCE, ARIZONA 85232

2011 ANNUAL MONITORING NETWORK PLAN PUBLIC MEETING SIGN-IN SHEET
JUNE 24, 2011

Name	Organization	Address	Phone Number	Email
Kate Edwards	PCAQCD	PO Box 987 Florence AZ		
Mike Sundblom	PCAQCD	"		
Greg Lopez	PCAQCD	"	6974	
Kazi Haque	City of Maricopa	(By Phone)		
Mark ??	Contractor for City of Maricopa (By Phone)			