

The Pinal County Board of Supervisors convened at 9:38 A.M. this date in the Board of Supervisors Hearing Room, Administration Building A, Florence, Arizona. The meeting was called to order by Chairman Snider and followed by the Pledge of Allegiance and Invocation.

Present: Chairman David Snider; Supervisor Pete Rios; Supervisor Bryan Martyn; County Manager, Terry Doolittle; Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, Chris Roll; Deputy Clerk of the Board, Heidi Cole and Clerk of the Board, Sheri Cluff.

Public Hearing and discussion/approval/disapproval of the fiscal year 2009-2010 property tax levies and rates for all governmental entities of Pinal County.

Janette Weedon, Budget and Research Director, presented this item and stated, due to the State impasse, going back to the 2006 budget surplus the school equalization property tax was suspended for 2006-2008. The State's General Fund picked up the revenue loss for those years, and this year the schools equalization tax is set to be reinstated and the legislature is pushing for a permanent repeal of that tax.

Chairman Snider opened the public hearing and called for comments from the public. There were none. The public hearing was closed.

Supervisor Martyn thanked staff and applauded actions with what has been presented as we have not taken the measure to increase taxes to try to meet our fiscal needs.

Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Supervisor Martyn to approve the fiscal year 2009-2010 property tax levies and rates for all governmental entities of Pinal County. **Roll Call Vote:** Supervisor Rios: "Aye"; Supervisor Martyn: "Aye"; Chairman Snider: "Aye". Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Purchasing Report - Awarding of Bids - County Purchases.

Sharon Seekins, Purchasing Manager presented the purchasing report to the Board.

PUBLIC WORKS CONTRACT AWARDS:

- 08/09/007 – Colonia Del Sol Fire Hydrant Project – Recommend award to Central Arizona Pipeline Contractors Inc. in the amount of \$109,600.06 as the lowest responsible bid.

CONTRACT RENEWALS:

- 07-32-13 – Aviation Gas – 100 Low Lead – Recommend approval of modification #M01 to IFB 07-32-13 to exercise the 1st option renewal to Air BP Aviation Services.
- 0506-050 – Commercial Refuse Collection – Recommend approval of modification #M01 to IFB 0506-050 to exercise the 3rd option renewal to Waste Management.

Contracts signed by County Manager or Chief Financial Officer:

The Board is notified of the following procurements under \$250,000 that were signed as authorized by Resolution 021506-CM. This resolution authorizes the Chief Financial Officer and/or the County Manager to execute and sign contracts on behalf of the County without prior Board approval:

REQ. #	AMOUNT	VENDOR	ITEM	DEPT.
1. N/A	N/A	Apache Lows (Karen Zarske)	* RV Rally	Fairgrounds

* The above activities are revenue generating rentals of the Fairgrounds facilities for private party events.

Motion was made by Supervisor Martyn and seconded by Supervisor Rios to approve the purchasing report as presented.

Comment on motion: Chairman Snider noted that the Colonia Del Sol Fire Hydrant Project is a project that has been long in the making and wanted to thank Ernie Feliz, County Grants Writer for helping this along.

Motion carried by unanimous vote.

CONSENT ITEMS:

Chairman Snider advised that all items indicated by an asterisk (*) would be handled by a single vote as part of the consent agenda, unless a Board Member, County Manager, or member of the public objected at the time the agenda item was called.

Chairman Snider asked if there were any requests from a Board member, staff or the audience to remove a consent agenda item for discussion. There were no requests for removal, but there was a notation by County Manager Doolittle to correct item "E" (appointment to the following Boards/Commissions: Pinal County Board of Adjustments and Appeals: Ed Dison, 5420 E. Painted Rock Road, Apache Junction, AZ 85219. This is to fill the unexpired term of Ruthie West who has resigned. Term: August 12, 2009 to March 18, 2011, not august 12, 2011 as stated on the agenda).

Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Supervisor Martyn to approve consent items "A" through "AA": * A. July 29, 2009. * B. Current Billings before the Board: **Housing Expense of 07/23/09:** Ck #11108-11113-\$4,415.19; Ck #1219-1221-\$1,042.43; Ck # 15054-15062-\$3,823.66; **Housing Expense of 07/30/09:** Ck #15063-15068-\$15,030.11; Ck #1222-1226-\$1,916.07; Ck #11114-11121-\$28,290.87; **Expense of 07/29/09:** General Fund: Ck #93143303-\$1964134.60; Sheriff/Drug Task Force: Ck #93143304-\$2185.43; Sheriff/Drug Smuggling: Ck #93143305-\$100.00; Sheriff/Inmate Services: Ck #93143306-\$2382.17; Attorney/ Drug Prosecution: Ck #93143307-\$6336.81; Attorney/lv-D Child Support: Ck #93143308-\$47971.48; Courts/Automated Data System: Ck #93143309-\$1547.58; Courts/Expedited Child Support: Ck #93143310-\$988.98; Recorder/Storage: Ck #93143311-\$4287.48; Adult Prob/ Intensive Prob Serv: Ck #93143312-\$5824.77; Adult Prob/State Enhancement: Ck #93143313-\$38806.62; Adult Prob/Community Punishmnt: Ck #93143314-\$3068.80; Adult Prob/Support: Ck # 93143315-\$7062.12; Juvenile Prob/Intensive: Ck #93143316-\$11750.82; Juvenile Prob/Casa: Ck #93143317-\$1558.51; Juvenile Prob/Standard Prob: Ck #93143318-\$11266.86; Juvenile Prob/ Supervision Fees: Ck #93143319-\$984.89; Juv Prob/Prob Officer In Schl: Ck #93143320-\$5564.44; Public Works: Ck #93143321-\$168278.05; Library/District: Ck #93143322-\$9533.63; Animal Control: Ck #93143323-\$20425.39; Health/Grants: Ck #93143324-\$27524.88; Home Health: Ck #93143325-\$89789.07; Long Term Care/Altcs: Ck #93143326-\$106029.88; Long Term Care/ Aaa Case Mgm: Ck #93143327-\$8823.25; Air Quality/Permits: Ck #93143328-\$19026.64; Air Quality/Grants: Ck #93143329-\$3356.59; Landfill/Adeq Waste Tire Grant: Ck #93143330-\$4381.94; Fairgrounds: Ck #93143331-\$5448.49; Housing/Conventional: Ck #93143332-\$23821.25; Sheriff/Gitem Grant: Ck #93143333-\$3881.45; Sheriff/Traffic Safety: Ck #93143334-\$8995.57; Juvenile Prob/Victims' Rights: Ck #93143335-\$1229.64; Public Wrks/Dev Roadwy Contri: Ck #93143336-\$31214.46; Crts/Domstic Relatns Ed & Med: Ck #93143337-\$1535.32; Atty/Juv Victims Rights Implem: Ck #93143338-\$1024.81; Courts/Childrns Issues Ed Fund: Ck # 93143339-\$439.49; Clerk Of Court/lv-D Child Supp: Ck #93143340-\$7146.34; Juvenile Prob/ Diversion-Intake: Ck #93143341-\$7549.45; Juv Prob/Diversion-Consequence: Ck #93143342-\$1096.45; Juvenile Prob/Treatment: Ck #93143343-\$5473.16; Juv Prob/Court Improvmnt Proj: Ck # 93143344-\$1237.87; Atty-Prb/Stop Violnce Agst Wmn: Ck #93143345-\$2399.86; Public Defendr-Atty/State Aid: Ck #93143346-\$2651.65; Attorney/State Aid: Ck #93143347-\$1055.44; Local Transport Assist Grant: Ck #93143348-\$1712.47; Public-Educ-Gov Access Supprt: Ck # 93143349-\$1337.33; Clerk/Case Flow Management: Ck #93143350-\$1871.23; Courts/Local Crt Assist Ftg 5&: Ck #93143351-\$8470.01; Airport Economic Development: Ck #93143352-\$2560.67; Atty/Cjef-Prosec Pass-Through: Ck #93143353-\$524.64; Atty/Bad Check Program Oper: Ck #93143354-\$917.76; Pw/Emergency

Management: Ck #93143355-\$350.00; Cty Atty/Aata Grants: Ck #93143356-\$2342.71; Sheriff's Grants: Ck #93143357-\$21684.76; Public Health District: Ck #93143358-\$71708.77; Maricopa Jp/City Of Maricopa: Ck #93143359-\$2395.26; Shrf/Impound: Ck #93143360-\$1229.64; **Payroll Expense of 07/29/09:** General Fund: Ck #91670083-91670088-\$4040.86; General Fund: Ck #91670089-\$458.87; General Fund: Ck #91670090-91670096-\$8038.65; General Fund: Ck #91670097-91670098-\$2400.86; General Fund: Ck #91670099-91670101-\$2886.32; General Fund: Ck #91670102-\$966.00; General Fund: Ck #91670103-\$759.83; General Fund: Ck #91670104-91670105-\$1517.97; General Fund: Ck #91670106-\$1141.76; General Fund: Ck #91670107-91670125-\$24648.26; General Fund: Ck #91670126-91670169-\$56588.30; General Fund: Ck #91670170-\$391.56; General Fund: Ck #91670171-91670175-\$8697.86; General Fund: Ck #91670176-91670177-\$1793.64; General Fund: Ck #91670178-91670179-\$1537.70; General Fund: Ck #91670180-91670184-\$8520.30; General Fund: Ck #91670185-91670187-\$3135.85; General Fund: Ck #91670188-\$138.53; General Fund: Ck #91670189-\$221.30; General Fund: Ck #91670190-\$726.55; General Fund: Ck #91670191-91670199-\$10028.39; General Fund: Ck #91670200-91670212-\$8879.03; General Fund: Ck #91670213-91670214-\$1380.69; General Fund: Ck #91670215-91670220-\$5585.07; General Fund: Ck #91670221-\$1821.59; General Fund: Ck #91670222-91670239-\$21750.52; General Fund: Ck #91670240-91670241-\$2759.08; General Fund: Ck #91670242-\$49.33; General Fund: Ck #91670243-91670245-\$4846.59; General Fund: Ck #91670246-\$1092.88; General Fund: Ck #91670247-\$1301.21; General Fund: Ck #91670248-91670249-\$816.22; General Fund: Ck #91670250-91670251-\$2193.37; General Fund: Ck #91670252-\$1479.15; General Fund: Ck #91670253-\$1150.74; General Fund: Ck #91670254-\$646.59; General Fund: Ck #91670255-\$235.98; Sheriff/Drug Smuggling: Ck #91670256-\$789.84; Sheriff/Inmate Services: Ck #91670257-\$1076.20; Courts/Drug Enforcement: Ck #91670258-\$2799.22; Adult Prob/State Enhancement: Ck #91670259-\$1900.15; Adult Prob/Community Punishmnt: Ck #91670260-\$1517.23; Juvenile Prob/Intensive: Ck #91670261-91670262-\$1384.75; Juvenile Prob/Casa: Ck #91670263-\$677.02; Public Works: Ck #91670264-91670310-\$50951.83; Library/District: Ck #91670311-91670312-\$1481.82; Animal Control: Ck #91670313-91670315-\$2215.07; Health/ Grants: Ck #91670316-91670318-\$2501.58; Health/Grants: Ck #91670319-\$1105.95; Home Health: Ck #91670320-91670322-\$1259.87; Home Health: Ck #91670323-91670361-\$18144.12; Long Term Care/Altcs: Ck #91670362-91670364-\$3974.53; Air Quality/Permits: Ck #91670365-\$2832.86; Fairgrounds: Ck #91670366-\$343.26; Housing/Conventional: Ck #91670367-\$474.38; Sheriff/Gitem Grant: Ck #91670368-\$1642.02; Sheriff/Traffic Safety: Ck #91670369-\$1305.71; Public Wrks/Dev Roadwy Contrib: Ck #91670370-\$1632.56; Clerk Of Court/lv-D Child Supp: Ck #91670371-\$714.55; Juv Probation/Misc Sources: Ck #91670372-\$1626.42; Local Transport Assist Grant: Ck #91670373-\$559.20; Atty/Cjef-Prosec Pass-Through: Ck #91670374-\$436.63; Pw/ Emergency Management: Ck #91670375-\$1114.25; Public Health District: Ck #91670376-91670380-\$5857.95; **Expense of 07/30/09:** General Fund: Ck #93143827-93143891-\$101234.74; Sheriff/Drug Smuggling: Ck #93143892-\$1600.00; Sheriff/Jail Enhancement: Ck #93143893-\$46.24; Sheriff/Inmate Services: Ck #93143894-93143895-\$497.89; Attorney/lv-D Child Support: Ck #93143896-93143898-\$61.05; Adult Prob/Support: Ck #93143899-\$275.00; Public Works/Highway: Ck #93143900-93143925-\$18471.69; Library/District: Ck #93143926-93143935-\$33151.28; Animal Control: Ck #93143936-93143937-\$2904.09; Health/Grants: Ck #93143938-93143943-\$7465.96; Home Health: Ck #93143944-93143946-\$785.22; Long Term Care/Altcs: Ck #93143947-93144108-\$271076.03; Long Term Care/Aaa Case Mgm: Ck #93144109-\$105.44; Air Qualtiy/Permits: Ck #93144110-93144114-\$411.78; Fairgrounds: Ck #93144115-93144117-\$204.80; Public Wrks/Dev Roadwy Contri: Ck #93144118-\$83.82; Clerk Of Court/lv-D Child Supp: Ck #93144119-\$450.53; Jp/Cost Recovery: Ck #93144120-93144124-\$750.48; Local Transport Assist Grant: Ck #93144149-\$67.60; Assessor/Prop Info Strge-Retr: Ck #93144150-\$17918.24; Airport Economic Development: Ck #93144151-\$203.95; Atty/Bad Check Program Oper: Ck #93144152-\$48.87; Atty/Anti Racketeering-State: Ck #93144153-93144158-\$7068.08; Courts Enhancement Fund: Ck #93144175-93144178-\$3398.14; Public Health District: Ck #93144179-93144180-\$798.00; Env Hlth/Smoke Free Az Program: Ck #93144181-\$200.70; Public Fiduciary Client Accts: Ck #93144125-93144148-\$2580.59; Sheriff/Bond Account: Ck #93144159-93144174-\$38667.00; General Fund: Ck #93143745-93143785-\$89531.14; Sheriff/Drug Task Force: Ck #93143786-\$1086.40; Attorney/lv-D Incentives: Ck #93143787-\$57.88; Public Works/Highway: Ck #93143788-93143793-\$16054.08; Public Wrks/Road Tax Dist 1: Ck #93143794-93143795-\$16514.34; Public Wrks/Road Tax Dist 2: Ck #93143796-\$11174.49; Library/District: Ck #93143797-93143800-\$3795.74; Animal Control: Ck #93143801-\$2935.17; Animal Control/Animal Care: Ck #93143802-\$960.00; Health/ Grants: Ck #

93143803-93143804-\$795.97;Home Health: Ck #93143805-\$2870.00;Long Term Care/Altcs: Ck #93143806-93143813-\$2963.48;Capital Projects/Miscellaneous: Ck #93143814-\$197710.90; Jp/Cost Recovery: Ck #93143815-93143817-\$420.92; Local Transport Assist Grant: Ck # 93143818-\$194.08; Airport Economic Development: Ck #93143819-\$801.39;Atty/Bad Check Program Oper: Ck #93143820-\$17.47;Pw/Emergency Management: Ck #93143821-\$291.19; Pw/Gantzel Road - Gada: Ck #93143822-\$121.06;Public Health District: Ck #93143823-93143826-\$1104.24; **Expense of 08/04/09:** General Fund: Ck #93144240-93144333-\$240732.33 Sheriff/Drug Smuggling: Ck #93144334-93144335-\$1032.00; Sheriff/Jail Enhancement: Ck # 93144336-\$28.00;Sheriff/Inmate Services: Ck #93144337-\$937.15; Attorney/lv-D Child Support: Ck #93144338-\$137.05; Recorder/Storage: Ck #93144339-93144340-\$10130.36; Adult Prob/ Community Punishmnt: Ck #93144341-6.92;Juvenile Prob/Intensive: Ck #93144342-93144344-\$3054.89;Juvenile Prob/Casa: Ck #93144345-93144346-\$403.70;Public Works/Highway: Ck # 93144347-93144370-\$34570.36;Library/District: Ck #93144371-93144379-\$9881.92; Animal Control: Ck #93144380-93144391-\$8014.29; Animal Control/Animal Care: Ck #93144392-93144393-\$2317.00; Health/Grants: Ck #93144394-93144397-\$1028.65;Home Health: Ck # 93144398-\$193.95; Long Term Care/Altcs: Ck #93144399-93144525-\$772161.78;Long Term Care/Aaa Case Mgm: Ck #93144526-\$100.00; Air Quality/Permits: Ck #93144527-93144528-\$296.00; Fairgrounds: Ck #93144529-\$37.52; Debt Service: Ck #93144530-\$11905.67; Special Dist/Cottnwd Grdn Lite: Ck #93144531-\$96.25;Special Dist/Desert Vista Lite: Ck #93144532-\$529.60; Special Dist/Villa Grande Lite: Ck #93144533-\$720.29; Juvenile Prob/Victims' Rights: Ck #93144534-\$1056.00; Clerk Of Court/lv-D Child Supp: Ck #93144535-\$14.48;Juvenile Prob/ Diversion-Intake: Ck #93144536-93144537-\$3093.17;Juv Prob/Diversion-Consequence: Ck # 93144538-93144539-\$1077.52; Juvenile Prob/Treatment: Ck #93144540-\$66.00;Atty/Pros Svces/Cost Recovery: Ck #93144541-\$324.48;Jp/Cost Recovery: Ck #93144542-93144543-\$799.31; Attorney/State Aid: Ck #93144559-\$339.65; Atty/Anti Racketeering-State: Ck # 93144560-93144571-\$11407.67; Courts Enhancement Fund: Ck #93144583-93144588-\$2207.28; Pw/Emergency Management: Ck #93144572-\$139519.87;Sheriff's Grants: Ck # 93144589-93144590-\$10552.26; Public Health District: Ck #93144591-93144592-\$237.23;Public Fiduciary Client Accts: Ck #93144544-93144558-\$6748.08;Sheriff/Bond Account: Ck #93144573-93144582-\$55408.64; **Expense of 08/06/09:** General Fund: Ck #93144616-93144685-\$144541.42; Sheriff/Drug Smuggling: Ck #93144686-\$1956.44;Sheriff/Jail Enhancement: Ck # 93144687-93144688-\$896.52; Sheriff/Inmate Services: Ck #93144689-93144692-\$1460.21; Courts/Drug Enforcement: Ck #93144693-\$417.00; Recorder/Storage: Ck #93144694-\$2400.00; Public Works: Ck #93144695-93144715-\$39657.72; Library/District: Ck # 93144716-93144721-\$5382.57; Animal Control: Ck #93144722-93144724-\$465.06; Animal Control/Animal Care: Ck # 93144725-\$786.00; Health/Grants: Ck #93144726-93144729-\$18312.33; Long Term Care/Altcs: Ck #93144730-93144899-\$246474.89; Fairgrounds: Ck # 93144900-\$34.18; Special Dist/Desert Vista Sani: Ck #93144901-\$200.00; Sheriff/Traffic Safety: Ck #93144902-\$4813.00; Public Wrks/Dev Roadwy Contri: Ck #93144903-93144904-\$34.73; Juvenile Prob/Restitution Fund: Ck # 93144905-\$200.00; Atty/Pros Svces/Cost Recovery: Ck #93144906-\$324.48; Capital Projects/Miscellaneous: Ck #93144907-\$41283.39; Jp/Cost Recovery: Ck #93144908-\$664.57; Atty-Prb/Stop Violence Agst Wmn: Ck #93144929-\$6958.00;Airport Economic Development: Ck # 93144930-\$60.00; Atty/Cjef-Prosec Pass-Through: Ck #93144931-\$188.00; Atty/Anti Racketeering-State: Ck #93144932-\$111.22;Courts Enhancement Fund: Ck #93144934-93144937-\$840.01; Pw/Emergency Management: Ck # 93144933-\$24.91; Sheriff's Grants: Ck # 93144938-\$13739.48; Public Health District: Ck # 93144939-93144944-\$1212.01; Public Fiduciary Client Accts: Ck #93144909-93144928-\$3388.06; **Expense of 08/11/09:** General Fund: Ck # 93144989-93145083-\$187680.07; Sheriff/Jail Enhancement: Ck #93145084-93145085-\$703.93;Sheriff/Inmate Services: Ck #93145086-\$748.62; Attorney/lv-D Child Support: Ck # 93145087-93145090-\$417.76; Courts/Drug Enforcement: Ck #93145091-\$160.40; Recorder/ Storage: Ck #93145092-93145093-\$11655.78;Adult Prob/Community Punishment: Ck #93145094-93145095-\$374.13;Adult Prob/Support: Ck #93145096-93145097-\$1573.81; Juvenile Prob/Intensive: Ck #93145098-\$50.00;Juvenile Prob/Casa: Ck #93145099-93145100-\$463.38; Juvenile Prob/Standard Prob: Ck #93145101-\$853.86;Juv Prob/Prob Officer In Schl: Ck #93145102-\$452.82; Public Works: Ck #93145103-93145135-\$31752.03;Public Wrks/ Road Tax Dist 1: Ck #93145136-93145139-\$70184.13; Library/District: Ck #93145140-93145144-\$4338.49; Animal Control: Ck #93145145-93145147-\$682.27; Health/Grants: Ck #93145148-93145151-\$19254.79; Home Health: Ck #93145152-93145156-\$7339.31;Long Term Care/Altcs: Ck #93145157-93145291-\$1060162.74; Long Term Care/Aaa Case Mgm: Ck #93145292-\$29.32; Air Quality/Permits: Ck

#93145293-93145295-\$844.03; Fairgrounds: Ck #93145296-93145298-\$457.66; Special Dist/Cottnwd Grdn Lite: Ck #93145299-\$96.25; Sheriff/Traffic Safety: Ck # 93145300-\$9570.06; Public Wrks/Dev Roadwy Contri: Ck #93145301-93145302-\$4813.68; Juvenile Prob/Treatment: Ck #93145303-\$439.13; Juv Probation/Misc Sources: Ck #93145304-\$106.37; Jp/Cost Recovery: Ck #93145305-93145308-\$631.36; Juv Prob/Court Improvmt Proj: Ck #93145309-\$102.81; 1891 Courthouse Restoration: Ck #93145335-\$53.69; Local Transport Assist Grant: Ck #93145336-\$119.95; Public-Educ-Gov Access Supprt: Ck #93145337-\$32.73; Assessor/Prop Info Strge-Retr: Ck #93145338-\$2021.70; Airport Economic Development: Ck # 93145339-93145340-\$1064.50; Atty/Cjef-Prosec Pass-Through: Ck #93145341-\$69.00; Atty/Bad Check Program Oper: Ck #93145342-\$205.00; Atty/Anti Racketeering-State: Ck #93145343-93145351-\$1174.55; Courts Enhancement Fund: Ck #93145369-93145375-\$471.94; Cty Atty/Victims' Grants: Ck #93145352-\$2376.00; Sheriff's Grants: Ck #93145376-93145377-\$2631.47; Public Health District: Ck #93145378-93145380-\$671.02; Srf/Emergency Telecom: Ck #93145381-93145382-\$1052.99; Public Fiduciary Client Accts: Ck #93145310-93145334-\$8265.36; Sheriff/Bond Account: Ck #93145353-93145368-\$28104.40; * C. request for Faye N. Leedy and Eloisa S. Sanchez to be allowed to file for widow/widowers and/or disabled persons or organization Tax Exemption. * D. resignation of Ruthie West from the Pinal County Board of Adjustments and Appeals. * E. appointment to the following Boards/Commissions: Pinal County Board of Adjustments and Appeals: Ed Dison, 5420 E. Painted Rock Road, Apache Junction, AZ 85219. This is to fill the unexpired term of Ruthie West who has resigned. Term: August 12, 2009 to August 12, 2011; * F. Contract No. LTC-200902.05 with Rimma Finkel, MD, P.L.L.C. for Specialist Physician services. * G. Amendment No. LTC-RFP 200402.02-07 with Dependable Medical Transport Services LLC for Non-Emergency Transportation services. * H. Contract No. LTC-200901.08 with Century Care Inc. for Primary Care Physician services. * I. Amendment No. CH-PFBH 200602.03-04 with Superstition Mountain Health for behavioral health services. * J. Contract No. 0010-0556 with Pinal-Gila Council for Senior Citizens (Area Agency on Aging Region V) for Case Management and FCSP Case Management services. * K. County Manager's recommendation to approve the Alternate Fee Calculation Request submitted by Prime A Investments, L.L.C. for Ocotillo Crossing Commercial Development to reflect a per square foot public safety development fee of \$.46 and a per square foot street development fee of \$10.65 for a total of \$11.11 per square foot for the Commercial Shopping Center 100,001 – 200,000 sq ft category and Development Credit Agreement for Ocotillo Crossing Commercial Center with Prime A Investments, L.L.C. authorizing credit of \$58,467.50 for dedication and conveyance of right-of-way for Gantzel Road against the street development fee for said development. * L. Resolution No. 081209-SMA - a Resolution of the Pinal County Board of Supervisors authorizing the application for the San Manuel Airport Taxiway and Utility Grant, including but not limited to documents of an obligatory nature with the U.S. Department of Transportation and Arizona Department of Transportation. * M. Resolution No. 081209-RPR accepting into the Pinal County Highway Maintenance System a portion of Royal Palm Road, within Section 33, T1N, R8E, within Supervisory District #2. * N. consultant contract with URS Corporation to provide On Call General Civil Engineering for various locations within Pinal County. * O. amendment to the Pinal County 457K Deferred Compensation Plan to permit loans to participants to include loans for the purpose of purchasing a primary residence with a pay back period of 15 years. * P. transfer of FY2010 budget appropriation from Grants/Project Contingency (fund 213, cost center 2800) to Elections Grants (fund 272, cost center 3320223 subledger 8000602) in the amount of \$220,000 for a grant received from the Arizona Secretary of State. * Q. new positions in Flood Control (fund 68), and HURF (fund 64). The FY 2009-2010 budget includes funding for the positions. * R. Intergovernmental Agreement #07-AZDOHS-BZPP-333311-01 between the Arizona Department of Homeland Security and Pinal County Sheriff's Office. * S. Memorandum of Understanding between Gila River Indian Community and Pinal County Sheriff's Office for reimbursement of Overtime and ERE costs incurred while participating in the Governor's Office of Highway Safety, Contract #2008-410-003 for High Visibility Impaired Driving Enforcement Program. * T. 2009 appointment of Dwight Callahan as a Special Master. * U. appointment of Craig Raymond and Dwight Callahan as a part-time Superior Court Judges Pro Tempore. Said Judge Pro Tempores to be appointed by the Chief Justice of the State Supreme Court upon request of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court in Pinal County. In addition to the appointment as a part-time Judge Pro Tempore, Craig Raymond has also been appointed as a full-time Superior Court Commissioner. * V. acceptance of the award from the United States Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, for the Recovery Act: Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Formula Grant, in the amount of \$162,374.00, to assist with efforts to prevent or

reduce crime and violence, and the authorization of the County Manager to sign all required certifications for acceptance of the award. * W. Intergovernmental Agreement between City of Casa Grande and Pinal County for the preparation and conduction of election to be held on November 3, 2009. * X. Intergovernmental Agreement between Casa Grande Union High School and Pinal County for the preparation and conduction of the Casa Grande Union High School Budget Override election to be held on November 3, 2009. * Y. Intergovernmental Agreement between Toltec Elementary School District and Pinal County for the preparation and conduction of election to be held on November 3, 2009. * Z. Intergovernmental Agreement between Town of Superior and Pinal County for the preparation and conduction of election to be held on November 3, 2009. * AA. Intergovernmental Agreement between Apache Junction Unified School District and Pinal County for the preparation and conduction of election to be held on November 3, 2009. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Meeting of the Pinal County Public Health Services Board of Directors.

9:48 A.M. - Motion was made by Supervisor Martyn and seconded by Supervisor Rios to recess for the meeting of the Pinal County Public Health Services Board of Directors. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

9:54 A.M. - Motion was made by Director Martyn and seconded by Director Rios to adjourn from the meeting of the Pinal County Public Health Services Board of Directors and reconvene into regular session of the Board of Supervisors. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Discussion/approval/disapproval of the ratification of the retention of Bruce L. Adelson, pursuant to referral by the County Attorney and the existing Agreement for Legal Services #0506-026, to provide specialized legal services to the County in connection with matters related to the passage of HB 2101, including but not limited to issues related to the effects and implementation of that legislation and to preclearance of resulting voting districts by the United States Department of Justice.

Chris Roll, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney presented this item for approval and stated that Mr. Adelson's extensive experience in the area of redistricting and preclearance will help Pinal County ensure appropriate procedures are followed in the preclearance procedures of implementation of House Bill 2101.

John Acton, 28549 N. Dolomite Ln. does support HB2101 and feels the retention of Mr. Adelson is a waste of money. He feels the San Tan Valley needs representation now, as will be provided by this bill.

Julia Jaworski, 1056 E. Moon Vista, Apache Junction, in favor of HB2101 and can support the retention of Mr. Adelson if he represents how she feels. Ms. Jaworski feels that it is necessary to go from 3 to 5 for the representation of the people.

Harold Vangilder, 1641 E. Christina St., Casa Grande, opposed to HB2101. Mr. Vangilder feels that redistricting needs to be done properly or we are not doing our job.

Supervisor Rios clarified that this Board of Supervisors does not have the authority or the power to kill HB2101, the only entity that has any authority is the US Department of Justice, and the only authority that they have is to either clear, preclear or not preclear HB2101.

James Stephens, 3700 S. Ironwood Dr. Apache Junction, in favor of HB2101. Commented that he doesn't want extra money to be spent unnecessarily during these hard times, but yet he still feels the Board still needs to be expanded.

Robert Skiba, 2000 Mt. Lemon Hwy, Oracle, AZ opposed to HB2101. Feels that this unfunded mandate is not right and should be sent back, and the taxpayers should not bear the burden.

Bill Bridwell, 702 E. Saguaro, Casa Grande in favor of HB2101 feels that the Department of Justice preclearance has been presented very wrong and that this was voted for 3 years ago and that the efforts to try and stall for another 2 years is out of order.

Sheriff Babeu encouraged a 'no' vote on the retention of Mr. Adelson.

Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Chairman Snider to approve the ratification of the retention of Bruce L. Adelson as presented. Rios/Snider: "Aye"; Martyn: "Nay."

Supervisor Martyn Commented on Motion: Supervisor Martyn asked Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, Chris Roll, if it is his opinion that Mr. Adelson will be able to provide the required information that DOJ will request in a more efficient or better manner than our current staff could?"

Mr. Roll stated that Mr. Adelson "certainly has experience and expertise specific to this issue in the sense that he worked for the Department of Justice actually doing the job of preclearance for a number of years and has been involved in preclearance and redistricting issues in the State of Arizona a number of different times".

Motion carried 2 to 1.

Public Hearing and discussion/approval/disapproval of PZ-023-08: Picacho 187, LLC, applicant/landowner, Rose Law Group, PC, agent, requesting approval of a zone change from (GR) General Rural zone to (CI-2) Industrial zone on 187.0± acres to plan and develop the Red Rock Employment Center; pending and in conjunction with the Board of Supervisors Planned Area Development (PAD) Overlay District approval under Planning Case (PZ-PD-023-08); described as the NE¹/₄ of Section 13, T9S, R9E and the SW¹/₄, SW¹/₄ of Section 7 T9S, R10E, Tax Parcels 410-06-001 and 410-01-001D (approximately 1¹/₄ miles east of the I-10 Picacho Peak Interchange and 2¹/₂ miles northwest of Park Link Dr.). Planning Commission voted unanimously to recommend denial of PZ-023-08. (Continued from July 15, 2009);

Steve Abraham presented this case for approval with 18 updated stipulations and modifications since the original case started that are pertinent to approval of case.

Chairman Snider asked Mr. Stanley, Public Works Director, to address the flood control issues that were brought up in July.

Mr. Stanley stated he feels good about the stipulations that were put together and as it is put together with the conditional zoning is the appropriate way to do it along with the watercourse masterplan study.

Supervisor Rios thanked the staff and the property owner and their representative for working so diligently in an area new for going into conditional zoning and a schedule of development for conditional zoning. If this project becomes reality it is good for Pinal County.

Supervisor Martyn thanked staff and also for working with the developers, feels it is a good step forward as it's a good piece of land.

Court Rich, 6613 N. Scottsdale Rd. Rose Law Group stated that the applicant is fine with changes in stipulations. Thanked staff as well. One issue that is important that landowner has wanted to bring up for consideration and address at some point in future is stipulation 11e, 'maintenance or assurance of maintenance of any flood carrying structure will not be the responsibility of Pinal County or the Pinal County Flood Control District over any watercourse that is altered or relocated'. Mr. Rich stated that he has given proposed language of a disclaimer to staff.

Mr. Stanley said Planning and Development would request that the developer propose a concept for removing that property from the floodplain. In this case it is tied to the watercourse masterplan, so there isn't a concept yet for maintaining it.

Counsel Chris Roll suggested since there is a big difference here in saying yes to no, an Executive Session be scheduled.

Supervisor Rios suggested going with staff's recommendation at this time.

Chairman Snider reopened the public hearing, continued from July 15th, 2009, and called for comments from the public. There were none. The public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Supervisor Martyn to approve PZ-023-08 with the following ten (18) Stipulations, as revised:

1. Applicant/property owner shall improve the Property for the use or uses for which it was conditionally approved in PZ-023-08, including completing the conditions enumerated herein, within nine (9) years from the effective of this Ordinance; and where a specific condition requires completion by a specified date, applicant/property owner shall complete said condition by that specified date. *The Property will be considered improved for the specific use or uses for which it was conditionally approved, when the site plan or plat for such specific use or uses has been approved and the enumerated conditions complied with, including but not limited to, completion of the offsite construction prior to issuance of any building permit. Improved for the specific use or uses does not mean the actual construction or the commencement of actual construction of the buildings for the specific use or uses.*
2. The conditions and time periods enumerated herein constitute the Schedule for Development.
3. Applicant/property owner may request the Board of Supervisors set a public hearing to grant an extension of any time period specified in the Schedule for Development or to determine compliance with the Schedule for Development
4. At the expiration of any specified date, if the condition required to be met by that specified date has not been met the Board of Supervisors after notification by registered mail to the property owner and applicant who requested the rezoning can schedule a public hearing to grant an extension, determine compliance with the Schedule for Development or cause the property to revert to its former zone classification.
5. If at the expiration of the nine (9) year time period the Property has not been improved for the use or uses for which it was conditionally approved in PZ-023-08 or improved in accordance with the Schedule for Development, the Board of Supervisors after notification by registered mail to the property owner and applicant who requested the rezoning, shall schedule a public hearing to grant an extension, determine compliance with the Schedule for Development or cause the property to revert to its former zoning classification.
6. No building permits shall be issued based on this rezoning until all conditions are satisfied pursuant to the Schedule for Development and the Planning Director issues a Certificate of Compliance.
7. The zone change will be shown on the official Pinal County Zoning Map with a "C" indicating the zoning case has been approved conditioned upon a Schedule for Development for a specific use or uses. After compliance with the Schedule for Development by applicant/property owner and issuance of a Certificate of Compliance by the Planning Director the "C" shall be changed to an "R" indicating issuance of a Certificate of Compliance with zoning restrictions which remain with the land.
8. After compliance with the Schedule for Development, the applicant/property owner shall submit to the Planning Director evidence of compliance and written request for issuance of Certificate of Compliance.

9. Upon compliance by applicant/property owner with the Schedule for Development, the Planning Director shall issue a Certificate of Compliance with the zoning restrictions which remain with the land.
10. Planning conditions:
 - a. The only uses permitted to be developed on the Property are the uses enumerated in Exhibit "B" attached hereto.
 - b. In the event any discrepancy or conflict arises between the written narrative report for the Planned Area Development Overlay District and the conditions attached to case number PZ-023-08, the conditions shall govern.
 - c. The applicant/property owner shall meet the requirements of the International Fire Code, as adopted by Pinal County and administered by the Pinal County Building Safety Department.
 - d. A construction trailer(s) and associated parking are allowed during construction.
 - e. Prior to applying for a zoning clearance/building permit, the applicant/property owner shall attend Site Plan Review meetings(s) for review of the site plans, including landscape plans, and input by County staff.
 - f. Place the following condition in the notes section on the face of all Final Plats: Applicant shall provide notice in the public report (subdivision) for the property of adjacent current agricultural operations. Such notice shall specify that land owned by the Arizona State Land Department located immediately south of the property is subject to current agricultural leases and that such agricultural operations may include, but are not limited to, aeronautical crop-dusting, dispersion of dust and other potential disturbances and noxious odors incident to ordinary agricultural activities.
 - g. Prior to Final Plat or Site Plan approval, contact the Arizona State Museum to enter into a burial agreement and shall provide to status reports on all remains and artifacts found in the area of the proposed development.
 - h. The property is to be developed with an approved Planned Area Development (PAD) as submitted under case PZ-PD-023-08, in accordance with the applicable criteria set forth in Article 33 of the Pinal County Zoning Ordinance and the applicant's submittal documents.
11. Flood Control conditions:
 - a. McClellan Wash Watercourse Master Plan:
 - i. Prior to September 30, 2009, and prior to Tentative Plat approval or Site Plan approval, commence the study and preparation work for the McClellan Wash Watercourse Master Plan.
 - ii. By September 30, 2009, submit to the Pinal County Engineer written verification and status of the commencement of the study and preparation work for the McClellan Wash Watercourse Master Plan. Such verification may be in the form of a letter from the engineer responsible for such study and preparation work.
 - iii. Prior to Tentative Plat approval or Site Plan approval, submit for public processing and hearing a McClellan Wash Watercourse Master Plan that is acceptable to the Pinal County Flood Control District ("District").
 - iv. The applicant/property owner shall participate in the preparation and presentation of the McClellan Wash Watercourse Master Plan.
 - v. Upon approval of the McClellan Wash Watercourse Master Plan and prior to Tentative Plat approval, the applicant/property owner shall design a development consistent with the requirements of the McClellan Wash Watercourse Master Plan approved by the Pinal County Flood Control District.
 - b. Prior to Tentative Plat approval or Site Plan approval, submit to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) a Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) and obtain approval of said CLOMR from FEMA.
 - c. Prior to the issuance of the first building permit, the entire property must be removed from the FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA), with the exception of a Floodway

which must be preserved to convey the 100-year flood event without the use of above ground structures such as levees and/or flood walls.

- d. Any Critical Facility/Critical Service as defined by the list below must be elevated or flood proofed to at least 1 foot above the 500 year floodplain. There shall be no increase in the Base Flood Elevation (BFE) or adverse impacts on upstream and downstream properties. The Floodway will have to be designated as Open Space. Critical Facilities/Critical Services:
 - Structures or facilities that produce, use, or store highly volatile, flammable, explosive, toxic, and/or water-reactive materials;
 - Hospitals, emergency medical facilities, schools, nursing homes, daycare/childcare centers, and housing;
 - Police stations, fire stations, vehicle and equipment storage facilities, emergency shelters, and emergency operations centers;
 - Public and private utility facilities such as power, water (including water provided by an irrigation organization or facility), sewer, wastewater treatment, and communications.
 - e. Maintenance or assurance of maintenance of any flood carrying structure will not be the responsibility of Pinal County or the Pinal County Flood Control District for any watercourse that is altered or relocated.
12. Public Works conditions:
- a. All peripheral road and infrastructure improvements shall be per the approved Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) to mitigate impacts on all surrounding roadways to be completed at the developer's cost. These may include construction of acceleration/deceleration lanes, left turn pockets, traffic signals or other public improvements as approved by the County Engineer and ADOT.
 - b. At the time of site plan approval or final plat approval, an association, including all property owners in the development shall be formed. The association shall have the responsibility for maintaining all common areas to be noted as "tracts" or easements (including landscaped areas, street lights, and drainage facilities) in accordance with approved plans.
 - c. At least two corners of the property shall be tied by course and distance to a section corner, a quarter section corner, or established city or county survey monument as designated by the Pinal County Engineer.
 - d. Any roadway sections, alignments and accesses shown in the PAD are conceptual only and have not been approved by the Pinal County Engineer.
 - e. At the time of application for development, the applicant/owner shall submit and secure from the applicable and appropriate Federal, State, County and local regulatory agencies, all required applications, plans, permits, supporting documentation and approvals.
 - f. The drainage plan shall be in accordance with the current Pinal County Drainage Manual. The approved Drainage Plan shall provide retention for storm waters in an onsite retention area.
 - g. Prior to or at the time of filing the Tentative Plat application or Site Plan application, submit a *preliminary* Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) to both the Pinal County Engineer and Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) for review. The TIA shall be in accordance with the current Pinal County TIA Guidelines.
 - h. Prior to Final Plat approval or Site Plan approval, submit a Final TIA to ADOT and Pinal County and obtain approval of the Final TIA from ADOT and Pinal County.
 - i. With submittal of Final Plat application or Site Plan application, submit two copies of a Geotechnical Report to Pinal County Public Works for review.
 - j. Prior to Site Plan approval or at the time of Final Plat approval, dedicate right-of-way along all section and mid-section lines or as approved by the County Engineer. All right-of-way dedication shall be free and unencumbered.
 - k. Prior to Final Plat approval or as part of the Site Plan approval, obtain approval of improvement plans for both offsite and onsite improvements.

13. Prior to the recordation of the first Final Plat or approval of a Site Plan, the applicant/property owner shall contribute a portion of the total cost to provide traffic signals at intersections significantly impacted by the development as required by the approved traffic study and as approved by the County Engineer. Funds in escrow shall be posted with Pinal County to guarantee the installation of the required traffic signals.
14. Upon approval of a Site Plan or first Final Plat, commence construction of offsite improvements.
15. Prior to the issuance of any building permit, complete construction of all offsite improvements in accordance with County standards.
16. At the Time of Site Plan Approval or Final Plat approval, submit financial assurances as required by County regulations to Pinal County for on-site public improvements, offsite public improvements and any improvement required by an approved CLOMR.
17. Air Quality condition: All construction activity must conform to the Earthmoving Activity requirements of the Pinal County Air Quality Control District.
18. Environmental Health condition: Prior to approval of a Final Plat or Site Plan, the applicant/property owner shall provide written verification from the wastewater/sewage disposal provider, together with associated documentation, that:
 - a. the wastewater/sewage disposal provider has adequate capacity for collection, treatment and disposal of wastewater for the development;
 - b. the development boundaries are located within a service area designated with an approved CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY (CCN); and
 - c. the wastewater plan for the proposed development is in conformance with the CERTIFIED AREAWIDE WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT (208) PLAN.

Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Public Hearing and discussion/approval/disapproval of PZ-PD-023-08: Picacho 187, LLC, applicant/landowner, Rose Law Group, PC, agent, requesting approval of a Planned Area Development (PAD) Overlay District on a 187.0± acre parcel to plan and develop the Red Rock Employment Center Planned Area Development; pending and in conjunction with Board of Supervisors zone change approval under Planning Case (PZ-023-08); described as the NE¼ of Section 13, T9S, R9E and the SW¼, SW¼ of Section 7 T9S, R10E, Tax Parcels 410-06-001 and 410-01-001D (approximately 1¼ miles east of the I-10 Picacho Peak Interchange and 2½ miles northwest of Park Link Dr.). Planning Commission voted unanimously to recommend denial of PZ-PD-023-08. (Continued from July 15, 2009);

Steve Abraham presented this case for approval with 18 updated stipulations and modifications since original case started that are pertinent to approval of case.

Chairman Snider reopened the public hearing, continued from July 15th, 2009, and called for comments from the public. There were none. The public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Supervisor Martyn to approve PZ-PD-023-08 with the following ten (18) Stipulations, as revised:

1. Applicant/property owner shall improve the Property for the use or uses for which it was conditionally approved in PZ-PD-023-08, including completing the conditions enumerated herein, within nine (9) years from the effective of this Ordinance; and where a specific condition requires completion by a specified date, applicant/property owner shall complete said condition by that specified date. *The Property will be considered improved for the specific use or uses for which it was conditionally approved, when the site plan or plat for such specific use or uses has been approved and the enumerated conditions complied with, including but not limited to, completion of the offsite construction prior to issuance of any building permit. Improved for the specific use or uses does not mean the actual construction or the commencement of actual construction of the buildings for the specific use or uses.*

2. The conditions and time periods enumerated herein constitute the Schedule for Development.
3. Applicant/property owner may request the Board of Supervisors set a public hearing to grant an extension of any time period specified in the Schedule for Development or to determine compliance with the Schedule for Development.
4. At the expiration of any specified date, if the condition required to be met by that specified date has not been met the Board of Supervisors after notification by registered mail to the property owner and applicant who requested the rezoning can schedule a public hearing to grant an extension, determine compliance with the Schedule for Development or cause the property to revert to its former zone classification.
5. If at the expiration of the nine (9) year time period the Property has not been improved for the use or uses for which it was conditionally approved in PZ-PD-023-08 or improved in accordance with the Schedule for Development, the Board of Supervisors after notification by registered mail to the property owner and applicant who requested the rezoning, shall schedule a public hearing to grant an extension, determine compliance with the Schedule for Development or cause the property to revert to its former zoning classification.
6. No building permits shall be issued based on this PAD rezoning until all conditions are satisfied pursuant to the Schedule for Development and the Planning Director issues a Certificate of Compliance.
7. The zone change will be shown on the official Pinal County Zoning Map with a "C" indicating the zoning case has been approved conditioned upon a Schedule for Development for a specific use or uses. After compliance with the Schedule for Development by applicant/property owner and issuance of a Certificate of Compliance by the Planning Director the "C" shall be changed to an "R" indicating issuance of a Certificate of Compliance with zoning restrictions which remain with the land.
8. After compliance with the Schedule for Development, the applicant/property owner shall submit to the Planning Director evidence of compliance and written request for issuance of Certificate of Compliance.
9. Upon compliance by applicant/property owner with the Schedule for Development, the Planning Director shall issue a Certificate of Compliance with the zoning restrictions which remain with the land.
10. Planning conditions:
 - a. The only uses permitted to be developed on the Property are the uses enumerated in Exhibit "B" attached hereto.
 - b. In the event any discrepancy or conflict arises between the written narrative report for the Planned Area Development Overlay District and the conditions attached to case number PZ-PD-023-08, the conditions shall govern.
 - c. The applicant/property owner shall meet the requirements of the International Fire Code, as adopted by Pinal County and administered by the Pinal County Building Safety Department.
 - d. A construction trailer(s) and associated parking are allowed during construction.
 - e. Prior to applying for a zoning clearance/building permit, the applicant/property owner shall attend Site Plan Review meetings(s) for review of the site plans, including landscape plans, and input by County staff.
 - f. Place the following condition in the notes section on the face of all Final Plats: Applicant shall provide notice in the public report (subdivision) for the property of adjacent current agricultural operations. Such notice shall specify that land owned by the Arizona State Land Department located immediately south of the property is subject to current agricultural leases and that such agricultural operations may include, but are not limited to, aeronautical crop-dusting, dispersion of dust and other potential disturbances and noxious odors incident to ordinary agricultural activities.
 - g. Prior to Final Plat or Site Plan approval, contact the Arizona State Museum to enter into a burial agreement and shall provide to status reports on all remains and artifacts found in the area of the proposed development.

- h. The property is to be developed with an approved Planned Area Development (PAD) PZ-PD-023-08, in accordance with the applicable criteria set forth in Article 33 of the Pinal County Zoning Ordinance and the applicant's submittal documents.

11. Flood Control conditions:

- a. McClellan Wash Watercourse Master Plan:
- i. Prior to September 30, 2009, and prior to Tentative Plat approval or Site Plan approval, commence the study and preparation work for the McClellan Wash Watercourse Master Plan.
 - ii. By September 30, 2009, submit to the Pinal County Engineer written verification and status of the commencement of the study and preparation work for the McClellan Wash Watercourse Master Plan. Such verification may be in the form of a letter from the engineer responsible for such study and preparation work.
 - iii. Prior to Tentative Plat approval or Site Plan approval, submit for public processing and hearing a McClellan Wash Watercourse Master Plan that is acceptable to the Pinal County Flood Control District ("District").
 - iv. The applicant/property owner shall participate in the preparation and presentation of the McClellan Wash Watercourse Master Plan.
 - v. Upon approval of the McClellan Wash Watercourse Master Plan and prior to Tentative Plat approval, the applicant/property owner shall design a development consistent with the requirements of the McClellan Wash Watercourse Master Plan approved by the Pinal County Flood Control District.
- b. Prior to Tentative Plat approval or Site Plan approval, submit to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) a Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) and obtain approval of said CLOMR from FEMA.
- c. Prior to the issuance of the first building permit, the entire property must be removed from the FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA), with the exception of a Floodway which must be preserved to convey the 100-year flood event without the use of above ground structures such as levees and/or flood walls.
- d. Any Critical Facility/Critical Service as defined by the list below must be elevated or flood proofed to at least 1 foot above the 500 year floodplain. There shall be no increase in the Base Flood Elevation (BFE) or adverse impacts on upstream and downstream properties. The Floodway will have to be designated as Open Space. Critical Facilities/Critical Services:
- Structures or facilities that produce, use, or store highly volatile, flammable, explosive, toxic, and/or water-reactive materials;
 - Hospitals, emergency medical facilities, schools, nursing homes, daycare/childcare centers, and housing;
 - Police stations, fire stations, vehicle and equipment storage facilities, emergency shelters, and emergency operations centers;
 - Public and private utility facilities such as power, water (including water provided by an irrigation organization or facility), sewer, wastewater treatment, and communications.
- e. Maintenance or assurance of maintenance of any flood carrying structure will not be the responsibility of Pinal County or the Pinal County Flood Control District for any watercourse that is altered or relocated.

12. Public Works conditions:

- a. All peripheral road and infrastructure improvements shall be per the approved Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) to mitigate impacts on all surrounding roadways to be completed at the developer's cost. These may include construction of acceleration/deceleration lanes, left turn pockets, traffic signals or other public improvements as approved by the County Engineer and ADOT.
- b. At the time of site plan approval or final plat approval, an association, including all property owners in the development shall be formed. The association shall have the

- responsibility for maintaining all common areas to be noted as "tracts" or easements (including landscaped areas, street lights, and drainage facilities) in accordance with approved plans.
- c. At least two corners of the property shall be tied by course and distance to a section corner, a quarter section corner, or established city or county survey monument as designated by the Pinal County Engineer.
 - d. Any roadway sections, alignments and accesses shown in the PAD are conceptual only and have not been approved by the Pinal County Engineer.
 - e. At the time of application for development, the applicant/owner shall submit and secure from the applicable and appropriate Federal, State, County and local regulatory agencies, all required applications, plans, permits, supporting documentation and approvals.
 - f. The drainage plan shall be in accordance with the current Pinal County Drainage Manual. The approved Drainage Plan shall provide retention for storm waters in an onsite retention area.
 - g. Prior to or at the time of filing the Tentative Plat application or Site Plan application, submit a *preliminary* Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) to both the Pinal County Engineer and Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) for review. The TIA shall be in accordance with the current Pinal County TIA Guidelines.
 - h. Prior to Final Plat approval or Site Plan approval, submit a Final TIA to ADOT and Pinal County and obtain approval of the Final TIA from ADOT and Pinal County.
 - i. With submittal of Final Plat application or Site Plan application, submit two copies of a Geotechnical Report to Pinal County Public Works for review.
 - j. Prior to Site Plan approval or at the time of Final Plat approval, dedicate right-of-way along all section and mid-section lines or as approved by the County Engineer. All right-of-way dedication shall be free and unencumbered.
 - k. Prior to Final Plat approval or as part of the Site Plan approval, obtain approval of improvement plans for both offsite and onsite improvements.
13. Prior to the recordation of the first Final Plat or approval of a Site Plan, the applicant/property owner shall contribute a portion of the total cost to provide traffic signals at intersections significantly impacted by the development as required by the approved traffic study and as approved by the County Engineer. Funds in escrow shall be posted with Pinal County to guarantee the installation of the required traffic signals.
 14. Upon approval of a Site Plan or first Final Plat, commence construction of offsite improvements.
 15. Prior to the issuance of any building permit, complete construction of all offsite improvements in accordance with County standards.
 16. At the Time of Site Plan Approval or Final Plat approval, submit financial assurances as required by County regulations to Pinal County for onsite public improvements, offsite public improvements and any improvement required by an approved CLOMR.
 17. Air Quality condition: All construction activity must conform to the Earthmoving Activity requirements of the Pinal County Air Quality Control District.
 18. Environmental Health condition: Prior to approval of a Final Plat or Site Plan, the applicant/property owner shall provide written verification from the wastewater/sewage disposal provider, together with associated documentation, that:
 - a. the wastewater/sewage disposal provider has adequate capacity for collection, treatment and disposal of wastewater for the development;
 - b. the development boundaries are located within a service area designated with an approved CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY (CCN); and
 - c. the wastewater plan for the proposed development is in conformance with the CERTIFIED AREAWIDE WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT (208) PLAN.

Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Executive Session for discussion and consultation for legal advice with the attorneys for the County in accordance with A.R.S. 38-431.03(A)(3) concerning the proposed 2009 County Comprehensive Plan.

11:09 A.M. - Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Supervisor Martyn to recess from regular session of the Board of Supervisors and convene into executive session of the Pinal County Board of Supervisors as described above. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Present: Chairman David Snider; Supervisor Pete Rios; Supervisor Bryan Martyn; County Manager, Terry Doolittle; Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, Chris Roll; Assistant County Manager for Development Services, Ken Buchanan; Deputy County Attorney, Patricia Grieb; Planning and Development Director, Jerry Stabley; Deputy Clerk of the Board, Heidi Cole; Clerk of the Board, Sheri Cluff.

11:31 A.M. - Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Supervisor Martyn to adjourn from Executive Session and reconvene into regular session of the Pinal County Board of Supervisors. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Public Hearing/Discussion on PZ-C-002-09 on 2009 Pinal County Comprehensive Plan as recommended by the Pinal County Planning and Zoning Commission on May 21, 2009 with the main focus on Chapter 3: Sense of Community and ACTION on proposed changes to Chapter 3 of the proposed Comprehensive Plan.

Jerry Stabley, Planning Director presented this case for Chapter 3: Sense of Community and ACTION on proposed changes to Chapter 3 of the proposed Comprehensive Plan.

Mr. Stabley began the presentation by recapping the meeting schedule for the next few Chapters and what will tentatively be covered in the Chapters. Today's meeting is Chapter 3, which is the Sense of Community and covers 3 topics: 1.) Land Use Plan, 2.) Growth Areas, and 3.) Cultural Resources.

Mr. Stabley stated that the Comprehensive Plan is based on a number of things that create a solid foundation for it such as:

- Widely accepted planning principles
- Smart/sustainable growth
- Providing efficient infrastructure and services
- Connections between:
 - Transportation
 - Open Space
 - Economic Development
 - Land Use

Mr. Stabley talked about the Growth Areas, the Joint Area Plans, and the Remaining Major Issues, which is where we are going to discuss two issues today: Activity Centers and Flexible Land Use Designation.

Pinal Vision and Economic Sustainability is very important Mr. Stabley pointed out as he talked about Activity Centers and how they will form a very important part of that role.

The first Issue: Make Activity Centers Optional

Mr. Stabley talked about the 3 levels of activity centers: the low intensity (100 acres), mid intensity (500 acres) and the high intensity (1,000 acres), and how they were located, and how will they be built? How will disagreements be resolved?

Mr. Stabley went over the language and what is a:

Major Amendment:

- Moving an Activity Center more than five miles. Any new Activity Center location should have planned transportation access, including transit, which is equal to, or better than, the current location.

And what is a:

Non-Major Amendment:

- Moving an Activity Center five miles or less. Any new Activity Center location should have planned transportation access, including transit, which is equal to, or better than, the current location.

The second Issue: Flexible Land Use Designation

- Existing Plan:
 - Little guidance
 - No protection for good employment locations
 - Reduces ability for Board of Supervisors to plan for growth
- Employment and improved transportation major goals of Draft
 - Chance to make Transit work

Mr. Stabley noted that there is flexibility in the proposed Comprehensive plan before the Board without needing amendments. Mr. Stabley gave examples such as the project Stanfield 60 and Vizcaya.

The proposed changes were gone over on locations of maps in districts by Mr. Stabley as well as the changes from staff, and the Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Rios started with comments beginning with the first one Mr. Stabley started with: "proposed change in the Superior area to replace the low intensity activity center – 100 acres with a mid intensity activity center – 500 acres, which is on the east side of the Town of Superior right across from the airport."

Chairman Snider opened the public hearing and called for comments from the public.

Supervisor Martyn pointed out that activity centers are good for guiding and shaping the direction of the County and focusing the energy of building to meet the needs of where we go for placement of them. Supervisor Martyn stated that there is need to explore the idea of moving them however as far as putting the activity centers on the map, as we want them to be in the right place.

Supervisor Rios commented that the fact is we are shifting from a primary land use to a suggested land use and there are a lot of steps and processes that we still have to go through.

Chairman Snider commented that with what he has seen, citizen stakeholders, people need and want jobs, they want more than retail, an activity center is a just that and should be represented on maps. A comprehensive plan is a guideline and there is lot of room for change, it is a suggested land use plan.

Mr. Stabley mentioned that if someone wanted to go through the process of a zoning amendment they could do it, such as moving an activity center, they can do it. Moving them is a better alternative, but removing them can also be done.

Court Rich, 6613 N. Scottsdale Rd. Rose Law Group agreed 100% that Activity Centers are important but feels there are problems with where they are. Speaking on behalf of Activity Centers for Red Rock Activity Center at Montgomery Rd and I-8 and another at Hwy 347 and Hwy 84. Feels that as long as they work they are good idea and is in agreement.

Michelle Green, 1616 W. Adams, representing State Land Department. Opposed. The Land Dept. is trustee to 2/3 of the developable acres in Pinal County and as trustee is very interested in how the County develops that land. Two issues are one, the Activity Centers and to the flexible land use. With respect to the Activity Centers, the State Land Department feels it is premature to put the activity centers on the map right now without more planning effort. Ms. Green asked that the Board put these decisions off to more clearly define more appropriate locations for review.

Chairman Snider stated that there is no more time as these issues have been going on for over 2 years.

Jackie Guthrie, 19410 W. Black Knob St. Casa Grande. Opposed. Professional Planner. Believes that the location of activity centers need additional study and needs to be relocated as well as be located through a specific plan process.

Linda Cheney, 424 N. 44th St. Phoenix. El Dorado Holdings/Pinal Partnership. In favor/with conditions. Ms. Cheney stated that on pg. 21 of the Comp plan, the paragraph that reads 'the PAD's are exempt from compliance unless the property owner wants to make a change to the entire PAD or a portion of that PAD'..... 'and then only the portion that's being changed needs to comply with the Comp plan'. Ms. Cheney feels there are flaws as in many of the locations.

Michael Cronin, 426 N. 44th St. Phoenix, El Dorado Holdings. In favor. Feels that the underlying zoning entitlements supersedes the general plan designation that really won't come into play.

Cameron Carter, 6613 N. Scottsdale Rd., Rose Law Group. In favor. Pg 72, Table 3-13. The language as it stands right now says right now says primary uses and it's been suggested or proposed that it be changed to suggested. It has been suggested that all of the column headings on that table be changed to suggested.

Supervisor Martyn commented that he is looking for a compromise as right now it seems it's all or nothing.

Mr. Stabley acknowledged "that staff has been through a long process of compromise, and made many compromises through this process, and worked diligently with the planning commission, they made their recommendation and believe it is sound to the Board."

Court Rich stated that not only primary land uses have the word "suggested" in front of it but all of the columns have suggested in front of it. Mr. Rich stated it would give the Board more power as well.

Mr. Stabley stated that staff has discussed the potential changes and would like to stay with the planning commissions recommendations as presented.

Supervisor Martyn affirmed that he would like to explore a compromise that they are in the right places and that he would rather have 5 in the right place than have 50 and have 35 in the wrong place and have to continue to re-adjust.

Supervisor Rios confirmed that we need to make some decisions in respect that whether we move forward or not.

Chairman Snider pointed out that there is a responsibility to plan and to put forth a vision of Pinal County in its land use and sided with staff's recommendation. Chairman Snider asked if there was a desire to put this to a vote.

Supervisor Martyn stated he respectfully disagreed.

Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Chairman Snider to approve to include and replace the low intensity of 100 acres with the mid intensity of 500 acres on Highway 60 going into Superior at the East end. Supervisor Rios/Snider: "Aye"; Martyn: "Abstain."

Motion carried 2 to 0 with one abstention.

Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Chairman Snider to approve and make changes on pages 51 and 57 in Chapter 3 to move the low intensity activity center north of Kearny and to add a low intensity activity center south of the Kearny. Supervisor Rios/Snider: "Aye"; Martyn: "Abstain."

Motion carried 2 to 0 with one abstention.

Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Chairman Snider to approve and make changes on pages 51 and 57 in Chapter 3 to move the Hospitality/Tourism Activity Center, located along Highway 77 south of Dudleyville, to the south. Supervisor Rios/Snider: "Aye"; Martyn: "Abstain."

Motion carried 2 to 0 with one abstention.

Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Chairman Snider to approve and make changes on pages 51 and 57 in Chapter 3 to add a mid intensity activity center along Highway 77 south of Dudleyville. Supervisor Rios/Snider: "Aye"; Martyn: "Abstain."

Motion carried 2 to 0 with one abstention.

Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Chairman Snider to approve and make changes on pages 51 and 57 in Chapter 3 to add a low intensity activity center to the intersection of Highway 77 and Redington Road. Supervisor Rios/Snider: "Aye"; Martyn: "Abstain."

Motion carried 2 to 0 with one abstention.

Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Chairman Snider to approve and make changes on pages 51 and 57 in Chapter 3 to change 3200 acres outside of San Manuel from Open Space, very low density residential and moderate low density residential to general public facilities and services. Supervisor Rios/Snider: "Aye"; Martyn: "Abstain."

Motion carried 2 to 0 with one abstention.

Motion made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Chairman Snider to approve and make changes on pages 51 and 57 in Chapter 3 to retain the high intensity activity center that the Planning Commission deleted at the intersection of Highway 79 and Park Link Road. Supervisor Rios/Snider: "Aye"; Martyn: "Abstain."

Motion carried 2 to 0 with one abstention.

Motion made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Chairman Snider to approve and make changes on page 51 in Chapter 3 to change 200 acres from very low density residential to medium density residential, which is 1080 acres from moderate low density residential to medium density residential.

Todd Fitzgerald, B&R Engineering, 9666 E. Riggs Rd. Sun Lakes, AZ. thanked staff for their time in addressing the concern and this change will alleviate the need for an inevitable comprehensive plan change and allow for a more comprehensive master plan. Mr. Fitzgerald respectfully requested the change be made on behalf of Robson Communities.

Supervisor Rios/Snider: "Aye"; Martyn: "Abstain."

Motion carried 2 to 0 with one abstention.

Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Chairman Snider to make changes on page 51 in Chapter 3 to change 1900 acres from very low density residential to moderate low density residential at the northeast corner of Bartlett and Christensen south of Coolidge. Supervisor Rios/Snider: "Aye"; Martyn: "Abstain."

Motion carried 2 to 0 with one abstention.

Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Chairman Snider to approve and make changes on page 51 in Chapter 3 to change 150 acres from Employment to general public facilities and services. Supervisor Rios/Snider: "Aye"; Martyn: "Abstain."

Motion carried 2 to 0 with one abstention.

Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Chairman Snider to approve and make changes on pages 51 and 57 in Chapter 3 to make changes for the City of Eloy for 817 acres from moderate low density residential and employment from general public facilities and services, and to change 5,540 acres from very low density residential, moderate low density residential, medium density residential and general public facilities services to employment.

Natalie Lewis, 20 E. Main St. Mesa, City of Mesa, in support of Supervisor Rios and what is put forward and asking for an extension of 4 more miles on east side of railroad track to Bartlett Road. Ms. Lewis stated that the City of Mesa has a common goal which is bringing more jobs to Pinal County and are focusing in the industry in that area. Ms. Lewis asked to have the extension and issue where this corridor is be focused on employment uses.

Supervisor Rios/Snider: "Aye"; Martyn: "Abstain."

Motion carried 2 to 0 with one abstention.

Motion made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Chairman Snider to approve and make changes on pages 51 and 57 in Chapter 3 to replace the mid intensity activity center and the general commercial to the south with moderate low density residential and to move the high intensity activity center to the west and replace the former site with moderate low density residential at the intersection of Interstate 10 and Park Link Road.

Court Rich, 6613 N. Scottsdale Rd., Rose Law Group. Scottsdale, AZ. Mr. Rich stated this area was part of the 20,000 acres that State Land/Union Pacific has been master planning over the last few years and within the last 2 years it was comprehensive planned and the concept of altering these activity centers brings it into conformance with the comprehensive plan amendments that were just approved by the Board in the past couple years, just wanted to make sure that those were not changed.

Supervisor Rios/Snider: "Aye"; Martyn: "Abstain."

Motion carried 2 to 0 with one abstention.

Supervisor Rios commented on page 49, paragraph 3, on second sentence, "the philosophy is to concentrate higher density development in Pinal County's central core.....particularly in east county due to topography and environmental assets."

Supervisor Rios proposed to strike the word "particularly in East County" so the sentence would read "in a higher density in Pinal County's central core while preserving the County's rural character due to topography and environmental assets."

Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Chairman Snider. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Supervisor Rios proposed a change on page 50, last paragraph, strike the last 7 words, ".....while preserving the existing unique rural character"

Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Supervisor Martyn to strike the last 7 words..."while preserving the existing unique rural character". Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Supervisor Rios proposed a change on page 90, under heading Land Use Designations, paragraph 4, toll bridge landfill, owned by University of Arizona.....need to put a period after the word "Arizona."

Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Supervisor Martyn to put a period at the end on page 90, under heading Land Use Designations, paragraph 4, "toll bridge landfill, owned by University of Arizona." Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Supervisor Rios proposed a change on page 105, 1st paragraph, Chapter 3, State Route 77 and State Route 79, suggestion is be cognizant ofdevelopment, instead of discourage. Move to strike the word discourage and replace with 'be cognizant of'.

Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Supervisor Martyn. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Supervisor Martyn to strike 3.9.1.10 on page 131 Chapter 3, regarding the San Pedro crossing issue. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Motion made by Supervisor Martyn and seconded by Supervisor Rios to replace on pages 51 and 57, mid intensity activity center with low intensity activity center and moderate low density residential at the intersection of Hunt Highway and Gary Road in the San Tan Valley Community. Also add a mid intensity activity center west of Gantzel, Roberts to Skyline in the San Tan Valley Community and add 500 acres of employment at Kenworthy and Skyline in the San Tan Valley Community and add a low intensity activity center at Gantzel and Judd in the San Tan Valley Community. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Motion made by Supervisor Martyn and seconded by Supervisor Rios to make changes on pages 51 and 57, from very low density to moderate low density residential approximately 920 acres, and create employment of approximately 100 acres and open space of approximately 80 acres in the area south of Florence. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Supervisor Martyn noted that he feels there still needs clarification with the Tables and the wording suggested on pages 71, 72, 73 for the activity centers.

County Manager stated that the recommendation was that on page 71, table 3.11, page 72, table 3.13, page 73, table 3.15 that the word 'suggested' be inserted as part of the category column labeled activity center development zone to read 'suggested activity center development zone'

County Manager asked Mr. Stabley to review the language.

Mr. Stabley stated that he would suggest that it be "activity center development zone suggested land use mix".

Court Rich, commented that with removing the last bullet point on page 74, it provides some flexibility with the percentage numbers and with the word 'suggested' being inserted, it solves any other issues.

Motion made by Supervisor Martyn and seconded by Supervisor Rios to have 1st column in the Tables: on page 71, table 3.11, page 72, table 3.13, and page 73, table 3.15 wording for activity centers changed to read: "activity center development zone suggested land use mix". Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Motion made by Chairman Snider and seconded by Supervisor Martyn to add the word 'AND' to the fourth paragraph on page 111 that precedes text for the Gateway / Superstitions Growth Area Joint Area Studies. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Mr. Stabley on behalf of staff brought forth changes starting on page 60, mixed used residential focus, suggestion is to strike second sentence in paragraph.

Motion made by Supervisor Martyn and seconded by Supervisor Rios to remove second sentence on page 60 under Mixed Use-Residential Focused that leads '.....the land use maps' Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Mr. Stabley noted there are several cleanup items on the text and tables on pages 71, 72 and 73 for a total of 4 items.

Motion made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Supervisor Martyn to correct pages 71, 72 and 73 in Chapter 3 for cleanup items on tables as suggested by Mr. Stabley. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Mr. Stabley stated the next item for changes would be on page 74, halfway down the page titled 'No Comprehensive Plan Amendment is required if the Activity Center Proposed meets all the following Criteria'.

Mr. Stabley suggested that bullet number 2 and bullet number 4 be deleted under this title as they are no longer appropriate.

Motion made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Supervisor Martyn to remove bullet items 2 and 4. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Mr. Stabley suggested that on the bottom of page 74 and top of page 75 - change the language '..... *but is within 5 miles of the location shown on the plan.*' Add this text and delete the rest including the two illustrations.

Supervisor Martyn commented that this is the big issue today with the activity centers.

Linda Cheney, 424 N. 44th St. Phoenix. El Dorado Holdings/Pinal Partnership felt that if you are going to allow that to move within a 5 mile radius, she would like Board to give staff direction and have some dialogue back and forth on what that process looks like. If there is an activity center on an existing PAD, does that mean the activity center has to be moved through a non-major comp plan amendment to another location that is not a property owner of in order to move forward with the PAD?

Patricia Grieb, Deputy County Attorney, confirmed that staff is not telling any property owner that they have to go and move it to someone else's property, the indication that is trying to be put forth is that it's conceptual.

Ms. Guthrie, 19410 W. Black Knob St. Casa Grande. What happens to a PAD that has been approved today, has an activity center on it, as they always get amended?

Ms. Green, Arizona State Land Dept. concerned about the 5 mile radius, what happens then, still doesn't understand how that works. The amendment criteria says that no comprehensive plan amendment is required if the activity center proposed meets all the following criteria, and one of them is the activity center is located where indicated on the land use plan. Ms. Green stated she doesn't know how to interpret this.

Motion made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Chairman Snider to change the language on bottom of page 74 and top of page 75 in Chapter 3 to '..... but is within 5 miles of the location shown on the plan.'

There were no other comments from the public.

Motion was made by made by Chairman Snider and seconded by Supervisor Rios to continue the public hearing on PZ-C-002-09 until August 19, 2009 meeting. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

County Manager's Report "Information Only." There was no County Manager's report.

Call to Public. Todd Pomeroy, 18046 E. Via Rubio, Gold Canyon. As a resident, taxpayer and until recently, a Pinal County employee of Contract Coordinator of Pinal/Gila Long Term Care. Mr. Palmer stated he feels he has been illegally terminated under the guise of upper management due to a reorganization that has violated numerous County policies in addition to State and Federal Laws regarding employment discrimination and whistle blower protection. Mr. Pomeroy feels he has been brushed aside with the sole exception of the Board of Supervisors and not offered any assistance to resolving issues.

Carmen Wainscott, 1220 N. Orlando Dr., Coolidge. Ms. Wainscott stated that she was a Pinal County employee until last month with Long Term Care, and that she is requesting answers surrounding her wrongful termination. The termination of current long term employees she feels was not justified. Ms. Wainscott stated it is her intent to make the public aware of her situation and the actions that have been taken on her behalf. As a result of her termination she stated that she is having to defend her integrity and her reputation in the community.

Vinh Rocker, 11810 N. Gray Eagle Ave. Oro Valley. Mr. Rocker stated that he felt he was wrongfully terminated with Long Term Care and that he needed and deserved answers also, as he feels there has been corruption there as well. At this point and time he feels that management has failed employees and that management deserves the punishment instead of the employees.

Tommy Tucker, 37965 South Verde Dr. Tucson, AZ, SaddleBrooke – Mr. Tucker wanted to encourage everyone on a better spin on the 3 to 5 issue and point out historically that a group came over from SaddleBrooke as long ago as 2003 and was seeking to have the Board make a decision at that time to go from a 3 to a 5 member Board at that time.

Executive Session for discussion of personnel matters relating to the County Manager pursuant to A.R.S. 38- 431.03(A)(1).

2:35 P.M. - Motion was made by Supervisor Martyn and seconded by Supervisor Rios to recess from regular session of the Board of Supervisors and convene into executive session of the Pinal County Board of Supervisors as described above. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Present: Chairman David Snider; Supervisor Pete Rios; Supervisor Bryan Martyn; County Manager, Terry Doolittle.

3:06 P.M. - Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Supervisor Martyn to adjourn from Executive Session and reconvene into regular session of the Pinal County Board of Supervisors. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Regular Session, continued
August 12, 2009
Page 23

Motion was made by Supervisor Martyn and seconded by Supervisor Rios to adjourn the meeting.
Motion carried by unanimous vote.

There being no further business the meeting stood adjourned at 3:06 P.M. The next regular meeting of the Pinal County Board of Supervisors will be on Wednesday, August 19, 2009 at 9:30 a.m.

PINAL COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Heidi Cole, Deputy Clerk of the Board