

## 60 DAY REVIEW COMMENTS

---

The Pinal County Comprehensive Plan update process has involved thousands of County residents and stakeholders to help shape Pinal County's future. The formal review process for the Pinal County Comprehensive Plan, which is required by Arizona State Statutes, ended September 5, 2008. Many people reviewed the 60 Day Review Draft and over 50 people and organizations provided detailed comments on the Comprehensive Plan text and maps; feedback was received from a wide range of groups and interests. The common element shared by all respondents was their desire to make Pinal County the best it can be. Submitters also showed a strong level of trust that their comments would be taken very seriously as the process continues.

### **REVIEW PERIOD #1**

Review period #1 ended September 5, 2009. The comments received are summarized in two comment matrices: ***Draft Plan Comment Matrix*** and ***Draft Plan Mapping Comment Matrix***. The Draft Plan Comment Matrix focuses on comments and recommendations to the Plan's *text* while the Draft Plan Mapping Comment Matrix focuses on comments and recommendations to the Plan's *maps*.

The County has reviewed all comments received during "Review Period #1" and has summarized the comments and recommendations into these two matrices. The County used this input as well as input received from a continuing dialogue with the Board of Supervisors, Planning and Zoning Commission, and staff to prepare the October Draft Plan. The matrices also include how the comments were addressed in the revised Plan.

### **REVIEW PERIOD #2**

The October 2008 Pinal County Comprehensive Plan is now available on the [www.PinalCountyPlan.com](http://www.PinalCountyPlan.com) and comments are welcome. Citizens and stakeholders are encouraged to review the revised Draft Plan and provide their comments by mid-December. Please submit your comments through the website or send your comments to:

Partners for Strategic Action, Inc.  
13771 Fountain Hills Blvd., Ste. 360  
Fountain Hills, AZ 85268  
Email: [PSAInc@cox.net](mailto:PSAInc@cox.net)

### **PUBLIC MEETINGS, HEARINGS, AND APPROVAL**

After additional public meetings (see the list of November meetings), hearings on the Comprehensive Plan will occur in January 2009. After the formal public hearing process, it is anticipated that the Plan will be adopted by the Board of Supervisors in early 2009.

Thank you for your continued participation in the  
Pinal County Comprehensive Plan process.





## MAP COMMENTS

#	Map	Source	Comment	Suggested Action	Comments Addressed
1	Land Use Map	Fred Baker, Planning Mgr., City of Apache Junction	Appreciates the thorough & diligent effort that was invested in the effort & grateful for inclusion in the Regional Planning Team & other outreach efforts. Land use designations for Apache Junction south of US 60 is wrong & do not reflect the City's adopted General Plan.	Land Use Map should reflect the City's adopted General Plan.	No change recommended
2	Land Use Map	Tom Collazo, Associate State Director for Conservation, The Nature Conservancy	No all of the open space from the adopted Open Space & Trails Master Plan should be included.	Recommend – All areas designated in the final document of Open Space & Trails Master Plan should be included on the Comp Plan.	Change included
3	Land Use Map	Alex Goldstein, Picacho 187 LLC	The proposed Draft Land Use Map fails to properly preserve the current developable rights of our Property (Picacho 187 LLC – 187 acre property generally located north of I-10 and west of Pecon Road near Picacho Peak. The county recently approved a major Comprehensive Plan Amendment which designated our Property as Urban and Industrial (see Case No. PZ-PA-011-06). The Draft Land Use Map appears to designate the Property as employment.	Land Use Map should reflect approved land use for the Property.	Change included
4	Land Use Map	Joseph Blanton, Manager, City of Eloy		Eloy Land Use designations are not accurately depicted. We have gone to great lengths to designate an appropriate amount of acreage for employment; making Eloy the shining example within Pinal County. Unfortunately, the Land Use Plan has literally "erased" large amounts of commercial/employment designated areas from our planning area.	Change included
5	Land Use Map	Verne Oswald, Director of Development, INVENERGY, LLC	Major concern that under current Plan we would not be required to seek an amendment to develop our energy facility because we have Transitional designation. Conversely, under Draft, not only would a Comp. Plan amendment be required, but it would be a major amendment due to property designated as Low Density Residential.	Recommendation – change property to develop a natural gas energy facility & solar energy field near Earley & Christensen Rds. to allow for employment.	Noted – Change not recommended
6	Land Use Map	Steve Kellogg	Revisit some activity center locations; there are a few in relationship to northern employment corridors. Wouldn't these tend to go hand-in-hand? I am not certain you realize how challenging it is going to be to create these centers, especially in outlying areas. In a perfect world or a dictatorship it may happen. Otherwise, some kind of proactive action is needed by the county to get out in front & structure an approach that landowners can participate in. Basically a work around to normal market forces, if possible.	Stanfield may need to be on I-8	Activity Centers added in several locations
7	Land Use Map	Steve Kellogg	The newer employment areas in the Superstition Vistas area are shown in such a way that I don't know how to interpret them. Is there a size? Or distance from roads to use as a guide?	Better yet, criteria on how to understand how these should happen.	Text change made

Pinal County Comprehensive Plan  
Map Comment Matrix – Comments Addressed



#	Map	Source	Comment	Suggested Action	Comments Addressed
8	Land Use Map	Steve Kellogg	Employment corridors are shown along rail lines. This is overly simplistic. The corridor in the Florence Junction area appears to go further northeast than may be desirable. I think the land use configurations can be taken to a slightly finer grain: to integrate, where appropriate with activity centers & to show areas of emphasis, in which the rail lines intersect other transportation corridors.		Noted – no changes recommended
9	Land Use Map	Kevin G. McArdle McArdle Real Estate General Partnership Elkhorn, NE	Own approximately 38 acres property at the SE corner of Table Top Rd. & Fulcar Rd. PZ-PA-010-07 Approved within last year a Non-Major Amend. Changing land use from Foothills to Transitional (would allow commercial)	Plan shows Rural Residential Request Plan respect recent approval & designate property accordingly – Municipal General Commercial (as designated in the Maricopa General Plan) or Community Commercial	Change included
10	Land Use Map	Mercy A. Valencia, Assist. Vice President, UofA	UofA Maricopa Agricultural Center is designated as “Agriculture.” Efforts underway to develop approx. 360 acres for commercial & residential development. Action is predicated on the completion of a joint agreement between the City of Maricopa & several developers to construct a channelization project for the North Santa Cruz Wash. The channelization would assist in controlling historic flooding issues in this area as well as removing approximately 360 acres of the University’s land from the floodplain.	Request the plan reflect the university’s planning objectives	Change included
11	Land Use Map	Mark Edelman, AICP Project Manager DR Horton RE: Nevitt Farms	Nevitt Farms property – NE Corner of Quail Run Lane & Judd Rd. – currently designated Open Space on map – tentative plat was approved by Pinal Cty. In 2007 county approved & extended until 2009 (S-034-06) for single family residential.	Change property to respect approved Medium Density Residential (4-8 du/ac)	Change included
12	Land Use Map	Robert Longaker III, The WLB Group, Inc. & Gerry Grunskill, BHP Copper, Inc.	BHP Property lying east of Veterans Memorial Blvd. is vaguely defined & appears to be designated as very low density residential, low density residential, rural residential, mid intensity activity center, & general aviation airport.	Boundaries of the land use designations be better defined such that we can determine how they relate to the BHP property.	Change included
13	Land Use Map	Robert Longaker III, The WLB Group, Inc. & Gerry Grunskill, BHP Copper, Inc.	BHP Property is comprised of several land groupings; largest parcel is 20,000 acres & several satellite parcels.	Clarify the land use designations on BHP property satellite parcels.	Change included
14	Land Use Map	Ladd Keith, Planner Engineering & Environmental Consultants, Inc. Tucson Re: Stevenson Ranch	Represent Client – 1,000 acres on Sasco Rd., west of I-10 – major amendment request to change to Transitional Plan shows combination of Airport Reserve & Employment (close proximity to Pinal Airpark)	Change from Airport Reserve & Employment to Low Density Residential	Change included

Pinal County Comprehensive Plan  
Map Comment Matrix – Comments Addressed



#	Map	Source	Comment	Suggested Action	Comments Addressed
15	Land Use Map	Rod Morris, Prime Earth Development Co., LLC (Hidden Valley I – 1164 acres at intersection of Warren Rd. & Papago & 320 acres Hidden Valley Estates at intersection of Table Top Rd. & Fulcar Rd.)	Recently received approval from County for both properties changing the land use designations from Foothills to Transitional (PZ-PA-007-04, and PZ-PA-01605). Also secured approval of PAD zoning on property (PZ-PD-038-06 & PZ-PD-054-06).	Recommend changing Comp Plan to show transitional designation or some equivalent for property.	Change included
16	Land Use Map	Mark Eckhoff, Town of Florence	Current plan does accurately reflect the Town of Florence's land uses within their planning area	Update land uses to reflect the Town's land uses to ensure consistency.	Changes included based on data from Town of Florence
17	Land Use Map	Ryan Desmond, Project Manager Vestar Development Company Phoenix	NWC of Gantzel & Ocotillo Rd. property owned by Vestar – currently zoned CB-1 & designated on current plan as “urban.” Concerned that a minor rezoning to CB-2 with similarities in use & intensity would require a major amendment under new Plan. New Plan appears to designate the property as “very low density residential.” Because of the size of this property exceeds 40 acres, we would automatically trigger a major comp plan amendment under the second bullet point criteria on page 202.	Reconsider – this would be a significant impediment to efficient development of this property to bring jobs & needed commercial services to the County.	Noted – No change recommended
18	Land Use Map	Mark Eckhoff, Planning Director, Town of Florence	Florence Military Reservation (FMR) – both must take steps to ensure compatibility. While some envision the use going away, expansion plans, a governmental mandate to grow our armed services & the need to have training facilities like the FMR for our National Guard suggest this use may exist for years to come.	Change land uses of the FMR to ensure compatibility.	Changes included
19	Land Use Map	Roc Arnett, Pres./CEO, East Valley Partnership & Mike Hutchinson, Project Dir., Superstition Vistas	AZ National Guard area near Florence – this land is used on an intermittent basis for training activities & this use will more than likely not continue indefinitely. Identifying this land as open space is inappropriate at this time.	Recommend a suitable alternative – military training area or clarify that the land would have an alternative use in the future once the military training activities cease.	Changes included
20	Land Use Map	Roc Arnett, Pres./CEO, East Valley Partnership & Mike Hutchinson, Project Dir., Superstition Vistas	Provide appropriate notice that the land uses & local transportation alignments shown for Superstition Vistas are high-level, preliminary & conceptual.	Recommend adding a note to provide adequate notice – NOTE: The land use and transportation networks depicted for Superstition Vistas are preliminary & conceptual in nature & will be refined through the Superstition Vistas visioning process. When the Superstition Vistas visioning process is complete, the vision will be adopted in the form of an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan of Pinal County.	Noted – No changes recommended
21	Land Use Map	Mark Eckhoff, Planning Director, Town of Florence	Superstition Vistas – plan does not recognize the uniqueness of the area & the monumental regional planning effort occurring on the site.	Revisit the land uses – LDR only continues sprawling low density development that is ultimately fiscally & environmentally unsustainable.	Noted – No changes recommended
22	Land Use Map	Mark Eckhoff, Planning Director, Town of Florence	While creating jobs & preserving land for future employment areas has been critical goal, the Land Use Map does not appear to clearly reflect that adequate land use has been preserved for non-residential & non-open space usage.	Revisit the map to ensure that employment areas are effectively shown.	Activity Center concept clarified

Pinal County Comprehensive Plan  
Map Comment Matrix – Comments Addressed



#	Map	Source	Comment	Suggested Action	Comments Addressed
23	Land Use Map	Mark Winkleman, Commissioner, AZ State Land Dept.	Concerned about the designation of Open Space on the land use map. For example, Open Space is not appropriate designation for the Florence National Guard Military Range that is on state land under permit.	Need to reconsider appropriate land use designation as it is likely that this will not remain in use by the National Guard for the life of the plan.	Change included
24	Land Use Map	Mark Winkleman, Commissioner, AZ State Land Dept.	Commercial Airport Designation – Suggest that the Plan, while retaining the concept of a potential airport, show an alternative use for that area until a study can be completed.	Identify an alternative land use where the commercial airport is shown. Initiate the necessary studies to determine the feasibility & potential market for the proposed commercial airport.	Land Use changed to Aviation-Based Commerce Center
25	Land Use Map	Court Rich, Rose Law Group (Westpac Development Properties)	Proposed Draft Land Use Map either inaccurately describes the current County approved land use designations or improperly re-designates them to lower categories. Example: Current designations applied to Westpac properties = “Transitional” or “Rural Community” & proposed designation on map is “Very Low Density Residential.”	Recommend that the County modify the proposed Draft Comprehensive Plan & Draft Land Use Map to create a category that accurately includes the current rights on the properties & then designate the properties as such on the map.	Maps have attempted to depict all approved projects
26	Land Use Map	Mayor Anthony Smith, City of Maricopa	Land Use Map does not seem to have been coordinated with the City of Maricopa. Major concern is in regards to the reductions in the designations for the proposed Activity Centers within Western Pinal County.		Changes made based on data from the City of Maricopa
27	Economic Development Plan	Joseph Blanton, Manager, City of Eloy		Eloy's commercial/employment land use designations are not accurately depicted.	Changes made based on data from the City of Eloy
28	Land Use Map	Natalie N. Lewis, Asst. to the City Mgr./Special Projects; City of Mesa	Applauds Pinal County for taking a comprehensive & community-oriented approach to plan how & where this burgeoning County will grow & develop in the future. Mesa has 2 primary interests: Mesa owns 11,500 acres in the County & Superstition Vistas areas (Phoenix-Mesa Gateway Airport flight path generally within SR 802 corridor from Meridian east to the CAP).	Provide an employment corridor between Highway 87 & Vail Rd. from House Rd. to Bartlett Rd. Apply “Urban Mixed Use” land uses for City of Mesa lands east of Vail Rd. & north of Steele Blvd. Remove City of Mesa lands from the “Airport Corridor” designation	Changes made based on direction from Pinal County
29	Land Use Map	Roc Arnett, Pres./CEO, East Valley Partnership & Mike Hutchinson, Project Dir., Superstition Vistas	Superstition Vistas visioning process has not yet been completed, the likely locations for activity centers are already becoming fairly clear.	Recommending moving the Activity Center that is currently at the approximate junction of the SR 802 & US 60 further south along the new north-south freeway/transit corridor. (map submitted)	Change included
30	Land Use Map	Linda Cheney, El Dorado Holdings	Comp Plan does not collaborate with the General Plans of the various municipalities	Comp Plan should not be approved until the General Plans of the municipalities are incorporated	Changes made based on data provided by municipalities
31	Land Use Map	Daniel E. Nelson, Project Evaluation Specialist Habitat Branch, AZ Game & Fish	There are low intensity activity centers identified at Barkerville, the junction of Barkerville Rd. & Florence Kelvin Rd., & near the Junction of 96 Ranch Rd. & Hwy. 79. There is a mid-intensity activity center identified for what is now Picacho Reservoir, in the center of a polygon designated as open space.	Reconsider – These activity centers seem unnecessarily sprawl into existing natural open space. Dept. does not support these activity centers & recommend depiction removed.	Noted – No changes recommended based on the adopted plan

Pinal County Comprehensive Plan  
Map Comment Matrix – Comments Addressed



#	Map	Source	Comment	Suggested Action	Comments Addressed
32	Land Use Map	Daniel E. Nelson, Project Evaluation Specialist Habitat Branch, AZ Game & Fish	Dept. strongly supports the inclusion go the Pinal County OSTMP as a base component of the Comp Plan. However, we have identified several inconsistencies between the OSTMP & the draft Plan.	Add – A wildlife corridor connecting the Santa Catalina Mts. To the Tortolita Mts. South of Oracle Junction & crossing Hwy. 77 is identified in the OSTMP but the section east of 77 is missing from the Land Use Plan map. It is critical to maintain connectivity between large habitat blocks. Add - Dept. strongly recommends that Dr. Paul Beier's corridor study be incorporated into the final Plan. <a href="http://www.corridordesign.org/arizona/">http://www.corridordesign.org/arizona/</a> . Report title: "Tucson-Tortolita – Santa Catalina Linkage."	Noted – No changes recommended based on the adopted plan
33	Land Use Map	Daniel E. Nelson, Project Evaluation Specialist Habitat Branch, AZ Game & Fish	The OSTMP identified areas important to be maintained as open space, we find that additional important open space & trail components may have been overlooked and should be identified.	Suggest – Catalinas be connected to Black Mts. To the north by extending this corridor as depicted in study. Add – We are pleased to see that the Durham Hills, Suizo Hills, & Black Mt. have significant areas designated as open space we feel that connectivity needs to be improved between these important habitats. Modify – Connection between Black Mt. & the large block of open space to the east is very weak. We suggest this eastern block of open space be expanded westward to merge with the open space on Black Mt., or at minimum ensure there is a strong, open space connection.	Noted – No changes recommended based on the adopted plan
34	Land Use Map	Daniel E. Nelson, Project Evaluation Specialist Habitat Branch	OSTMP & Comp Plan both fail to identify a wildlife corridor between Ironwood Forest National Monument & the Picacho Mts. Or between the Ironwood Forest & the large block of BLM land northeast of Red Rock. With all development planned for the Red Rock area, we suggest that Dr. Beier's study of the area be examined & incorporated. (Report title: Ironwood-Picacho-BLM Linkage). Comp Plan does incorporate a linkage between Picachos & future regional park area & we strongly support this, however,	Add –an additional linkage on the far northern end would strengthen the connectivity here. Recommendation – Wildlife corridor between the BLM lands identified for the regional park & Ironwood Forest Nat'l. Monument traverses the area identified as a major high intensity activity area & growth area. Careful attention & planning should be given to this area not only due development could impede movement of animals between these two large habitat blocks, but also because it is important historic habitat for species such as the Tucson Shovelnose Snake has been proposed for federal listing under Endangered Species Act. Listing of the species could be a major constraint to development in the area without a Habitat Conservation Plan. Recommend – Applaud large amounts of open space	Noted – No changes recommended based on the adopted plan



#	Map	Source	Comment	Suggested Action	Comments Addressed
				designated in the far eastern county. Existing communities of Kearny & Dudleyville be buffered to show where development is preferred & that the undeveloped areas west of the rivers be set aside as open space given that they are unlikely to be developed & are far from existing infrastructure.	
35	Land Use Map	Kazi Haque, Planning Mgr., City of Maricopa	Economic development is the utmost importance in planning for a sustainable Pinal County.	Draft land use map should include and identify the City's employment & commercial areas as appropriate & accurately for all mid to high level activity centers as these elements qualify per the location criteria.	Changes included based on data provided by the City of Maricopa
36	Growth Area Map	Roc Arnett, Pres./CEO, East Valley Partnership & Mike Hutchinson, Project Dir., Superstition Vistas	Regarding Superstition Vistas Growth Area	Expand the Superstition Vistas' Growth Area to cover the entire property.	Noted – No changes recommended
37	Land Use Map	Jessica Sarkissian, CMX	Proposed land uses & zoning of already incorporated areas are shown on the map. This may conflict with the incorporated areas & those trying to develop or entitle may develop based on which municipal map they prefer to acknowledge.	Suggest also showing areas already incorporated so that the owner/developer would then know which municipality to speak with to discuss development options & land uses. Something similar to how incorporated areas were shown on the last Plan as grey areas.	Maps changed to better indicate incorporated boundaries
38	Land Use Map	Jessica Sarkissian, CMX	A concern is that the Activity Center areas are floating & not set in stone. They still have the underlying zoning which 1-4 du/ac under an area they are trying to promote with more intense uses. This is backwards because it would require a Major GPA to occur to get the already intended use on the site. How would this promote the Activity Center to develop? It wouldn't. Suggest an alternative, but they must remain on the map to show intent.	Place a definitive boundary for the Activity Center as an overlay on the Land Use Map. Call out areas within the boundary (approx. x number of miles surrounding the center of the area) seeking to change to HDR, Commercial or Employment uses as falling under the Minor GPA criteria. Areas outside this area seeking those uses shall follow the previously listed General Plan Amendment Criteria for Amendments (typically requiring Major GPAs). This would then attract businesses & more intense uses to these areas as it would be a faster timeline for development with less resistance.  Also list out within the Comp Plan incentives for these areas so they may be shown to P&Z & Board to further show their compliance when going through a Minor P&Z to avoid NIMBY attitudes & more intense uses from being denied. By having these more intense development areas, it enables more areas of open space/parks/trails & etc. to be conserved as the Pinal public wants.	Activity Centers are now a land use with specific locations

Pinal County Comprehensive Plan  
Map Comment Matrix – Comments Addressed



#	Map	Source	Comment	Suggested Action	Comments Addressed
39	Land Use Map	Kazi Haque, Planning Mgr. City of Maricopa	Proposed draft land use plan & map does not accurately depict the City of Maricopa's General Plan, & is inconsistent with the Maricopa Future Land Use Map that voters approved in May 2007.		Changes made based on data from the City of Maricopa
40	Utility Corridors Map (Pg. 174)	Gary Crane, Southwestern Power Group	SunZia Southwest Transmission Project – the designation of the proposed corridor would create an additional opportunity to allow transmission interconnections across the eastern portion of Pinal County.	Requests Figure 7-2, Utility Corridors, be revised to include the proposed SunZia Project corridor to protect corridor from incompatible development.	Map removed
41	Multimodal Circulation Plan: Roadways	Roc Arnett, Pres./CEO, East Valley Partnership & Mike Hutchinson, Project Dir., Superstition Vistas	Provide appropriate notice that the land uses & local transportation alignments shown for Superstition Vistas are high-level, preliminary & conceptual.	Recommend adding a note to provide adequate notice – NOTE: The land use and transportation networks depicted for Superstition Vistas are preliminary & conceptual in nature & will be refined through the Superstition Vistas visioning process. When the Superstition Vistas visioning process is complete, the vision will be adopted in the form of an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan of Pinal County.	Noted – No changes recommended
42	Multimodal Circulation Plan: Roadways	Roc Arnett, Pres./CEO, East Valley Partnership & Mike Hutchinson, Project Dir., Superstition Vistas	Roadway networks depicted for Superstition Vistas should be generally consistent with the Pinal County Comp Plan, Regionally Significant Routes for Safety & Mobility, the Lost Dutchman Heights planning, & the Superstition Vistas visioning process.	Submitted map with proposed changes.	Adopted plans have been depicted
43	Multimodal Circulation Plan: Roadways	Natalie N. Lewis, Asst. to the City Mgr./Special Projects; City of Mesa	Mesa owns 11,500 acres in the County & Superstition Vistas areas (Phoenix-Mesa Gateway Airport flight path generally within SR 802 corridor from Meridian east to the CAP).	Provide more frequent access along the east-west parkway (Selma Highway alignment) from I-10 east to Highway 87 Consider consolidating north/south high capacity regional transit corridor within the heavy rail corridor Use 1/3-mile & 1/2 mile spacing for at-grade intersections to maximize traffic progression along arterial streets.	New corridors have been added – additional stations identified not appropriate at this level
44	Multimodal Circulation Plan: Roadways	ADOT	SR 347 is labeled a parkway, which is not a functional classification in the State Highways System. State roads should not be designated as parkways without a caveat that further discussion with ADOT is necessary.		Noted – Pinal County Plan recommendations
45	Multimodal Circulation Plan: Roadways	ADOT	SR 79 between SR 802 & Florence Junction is shown as a parkway. State roads should not be designated as parkways without a caveat that further discussion with ADOT is necessary.		Noted – Pinal County Plan recommendations
46	Multimodal Circulation Plan: Roadways	ADOT	US 60 throughout Pinal County is designated by ADOT is a high-capacity roadway. The map does not give it this label.		Changes included

Pinal County Comprehensive Plan  
Map Comment Matrix – Comments Addressed



#	Map	Source	Comment	Suggested Action	Comments Addressed
47	Multimodal Circulation Plan: Roadways	ADOT	SR 802 (Williams Gateway) should be depicted on the map with an arrow east of the North-South Freeway, with no specific connections to US 60.		Corridor swaths now indicated
48	Multimodal Circulation Plan: Roadways	ADOT	North-South Freeway Corridor – the southern leg should be shifted east to reflect the recommendations of the I-10 Widening Study. (Check with Mike Kies of DMJM has the milepost of the potential system interchange with I-10.)		Corridor swaths now indicated
49	Multimodal Circulation Plan: Roadways	ADOT	It should be clarified that the commuter rail alignment is conceptual, based on the High Speed Rail Study's suggested alignment.		Additional potential corridors have been added
50	Multimodal Circulation Plan: Roadways	Robert Longaker III, The WLB Group, Inc. & Gerry Grunskill, BHP Copper, Inc.	Webb Road could serve as a secondary point access to & from San Manuel area.	Identify Webb Rd. as a principal arterial	Road not included in RSR study – will require additional study
51	Multimodal Circulation Plan	Shirley Ann Hartman, CPAG	Maricopa/Casa Grande not clearly shown. Railroad tracks visible Existing right of way		Graphic improved to show roadway
52	Multimodal Circulation Plan: Roadways	Maryanne Kumiega, Rose Law Group (on behalf of Westcor Development Properties, Cardon Bowden, Walton Intern'l, Cardon Hiatt Comp., & Landley Properties	Expressed concerns regarding the N/S Corridor Alignment as shown as the alignment does not match the Coolidge SATS Map or the Eloy SATS. Current alignment in Plan is shown on Wheeler Rd. & traverses north to SR 287.	Recommend changes to the alignment to match the SATS which represents a slight deviation that adjusts it a mile west of the Wheeler Rd. alignment so that it follows Fast Track Rd. from I-10 to Kleck Rd., and then continues along a similar route as shown on the County Circulation Plan to SR 287.	Shown per ADOT plans
53	Multimodal Circulation Plan: Rail, Transit & Aviation	Roc Arnett, Pres./CEO, East Valley Partnership & Mike Hutchinson, Project Dir., Superstition Vistas	Provide appropriate notice that the land uses & local transportation alignments shown for Superstition Vistas are high-level, preliminary & conceptual.	Recommend adding a note to provide adequate notice – NOTE: The land use and transportation networks depicted for Superstition Vistas are preliminary & conceptual in nature & will be refined through the Superstition Vistas visioning process. When the Superstition Vistas visioning process is complete, the vision will be adopted in the form of an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan of Pinal County.	Map note included

Pinal County Comprehensive Plan  
Map Comment Matrix – Comments Addressed



#	Map	Source	Comment	Suggested Action	Comments Addressed
54	Multimodal Circulation Plan: Rail, Transit & Aviation	Roc Arnett, Pres./CEO, East Valley Partnership & Mike Hutchinson, Project Dir., Superstition Vistas	The north-south commuter rail corridor shown in the draft plan runs along an existing rail line that encounters numerous at-grade crossings. These at-grade crossings would pose a significant obstacle to implementation of high-speed commuter rail.	Propose an alternative commuter rail alignment farther to the east & suggest that it be shown as at least an equally viable alternative. 1. Add – high capacity transit line adjacent to the existing north-south rail line. 2. Add- stations be depicted along the commuter rail corridor (every 4-6 miles) in order to increase ridership of the rail system. (included a map showing proposed changes) 3. Change designation to “High Capacity Regional Transit” of the transit corridor show generally following the US 60 alignment from Apache Junction to Highway 79.	Noted – additional potential corridors added – conceptual in nature, all potential stops cannot be anticipated at this level of planning
55	Multimodal Circulation Plan: Rail, Transit & Aviation	Mark Eckhoff, Planning Director, Town of Florence	Regarding multimodal corridors	Take a stronger stand in supporting alternative commuter rail corridors other than the UP line as Florence believes that the UP line might be better developed to move more freight & new rail (maybe multimodal) corridors to the east of the UP line might be better suited to move the millions of people.	Additional potential corridors depicted