Louis Andersen
Public Works Director

Scott Bender
County Engineer

PINAL • COUNTY
wide open opportunity

Greg Stanley
County Manager

MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF THE PINAL COUNTY TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC)

Tuesday, September 23, 2014 at 2:30 p.m.

Ironwood Room (Northeast Multipurpose Room) No. 101
Pinal County Administrative Complex (1891 Historic Courthouse)
135 N. Pinal Street
Florence, AZ 85132

No.	Item	Attachment
1.	Call to Order	None
	Chair Kevin Louis called the meeting to order at 2:29 p.m.	
2.	Roll Call	None
	Members present: Vice-Chair Maxine Brown, Jim Fabris, David Garcia, Ted Hawkins, Chair Kevin Louis, Giao Pham, Paul Prechel, Tom Snider, and Philip Wakeman Member absent: Harold Vangilder	
3.	Welcome & Introductions	None
	Chair Louis greeted all present and asked participants to provide brief introductions. Staff present: Scott Bender, Kathy Borquez, Doug Hansen, Jesus Haro, Jim Higginbotham, John Kraft, Mark Langlitz, Joe Ortiz, Cindy Perez, Angeline To, and Ted Wolff.	
4.	Call to the Public	Yes
	Chair Louis opened the call to the public and announced that Linda Beres from Stanfield requested to address the committee. Ms. Beres stated that she submitted two transportation project requests in the Hidden Valley area for consideration in the program: Sage Street from Barnes Road to Miller Road and Val Vista Road from Hidden Valley Road to Warren Road. She mentioned that Val Vista Road was programmed for improvements back in 2007-2008 but was dropped from the program because of a proposed development. Once the housing market collapsed, the developer backed out and improvements to Val Vista Road were never made. Ms. Beres believes it makes sense to add these two roadways to the program. For clarification purposes, Chair Louis stated that the committee is not permitted to discuss items not listed on agenda and asked staff to make note of the comments and keep a record of them.	(Copies of the Public Comment Request forms and letters follow the minutes)

PINAL • COUNTY
wide open opportunity

No.	Item	Attachment
4.	Call to the Public *(Continued)*	Yes
	Chair Louis added that the committee clerk received two written comments; a letter (by e-mail) from Peter Else representing the Lower San Pedro Watershed Alliance (LSPWA) and an e-mail from Steve Zobro, the owner of the Hidden Valley Airport. Kathy Borquez stated that copies of the LSPWA letter were placed in the committee agenda packets. The LSPWA is an organization formed to promote conservation initiatives and collaborate with agencies in protecting the threatened river ecosystem and supporting watershed. Members of the LSPWA, including some who live in the area south of San Manuel, are concerned with the Redington Road project, identified in the 2014 Transportation Program, and its potential environmental impacts. Ms. Borquez commented that Angeline To, Area 1 Engineer, will be providing an update on the Redington Road project during Agenda Item 8, Transportation Project Status Reports. Ms. Borquez announced that copies of the e-mail from Steve Zobro were placed in the committee agenda packets. Mr. Zobro resubmitted a transportation project request in the Hidden Valley area for consideration in the program: McDavid Road from Sage Street to Hidden Valley Road. Mr. Zobro is currently working with a skydiving company to purchase the airport property and the land has been approved by the Planning and Zoning Commission and Board of Supervisors for a secondary airport with a special use permit. He requested that the committee approve the proposed dust palliative for McDavid Road as a means to control dust in the area due to the additional traffic from the airport. Additionally, Mr. Zobro offered to contribute $30,000 towards the cost of project. Member Fabris requested that a discussion/update on the Hunt Highway Phased and Gantzel Road Projects be added to the next meeting agenda on November 18, 2014. Hearing no further public comment requests, Chair Louis closed the call to the public.	(Copies of the Public Comment Request forms and letters follow the minutes)
5.	Discussion/Approval/Disapproval of the Minutes from February 25, 2014	Approved Minutes TAC Regular Meeting 02 25 14
	Member Snider made a motion to approve the Minutes from the February 25, 2014 meeting and Member Fabris seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.	

PINAL • COUNTY
wide open opportunity

No.	Item	Attachment
6.	Update on Arizona's Open Meeting Law	Arizona's Open Meeting Law Agency Handbook (2012)
	Mark Langlitz, Deputy County Attorney, provided an overview on the open meeting law. He stated the purpose of the law is to promote transparency in government. Mr. Langlitz advised that the law applies to boards, councils, and advisory committees as well. Most advisory committee meetings are open to the public to attend and listen and he defined a meeting as a gathering of a quorum of the members in which discussion on proposed actions takes place. Mr. Langlitz stated that a majority of members must be present to take legal action and legal action is defined as any collective decision, commitment, or promise. He closed the update on the open meeting law by stating that action can only be taken on items properly noticed to the public and listed on an agenda. Ms. Borquez stated that copies of the most recent Arizona's Open Meeting Law Agency Handbook were included in the agenda packets.	
7.	Update (Presentation) on the Transportation Improvement & Maintenance Program	Transportation Improvement & Maintenance Program Update
	Ms. Borquez reviewed the major components of the 2014 Transportation Improvement and Maintenance Program and highlighted activities that have occurred since the last committee meeting in February. The Transportation Improvement and Maintenance Program establishes a tentative schedule and programs funds for completion of countywide projects. Ms. Borquez identified the funding for the program as revenues from the Transportation Excise Tax and the mandated revenue uses are for construction, reconstruction, and maintenance of county/city/town roads, streets, and bridges. She stated that revenues are up slightly over the last several years and staff projects revenues to remain fairly stable. She stated that Public Works staff presented the proposed five-year plan to the Board of Supervisors during a work session on May 14, 2014. At that time, a 30-day public comment period was opened. Public Works staff responded to 8 comments from the public that required no further action to the proposed plan. On June 18, 2014, the Board of Supervisors approved the plan as recommended by the committee. Ms. Borquez reviewed the schedule of activities and committee meetings for this planning cycle and the process for receiving and evaluating transportation project requests.	

PINAL • COUNTY
wide open opportunity

No.	Item	Attachment
7.	Update (Presentation) on the Transportation Improvement & Maintenance Program *(Continued)*	Transportation Improvement & Maintenance Program Update
	She closed the update presentation with information on Pinal County's two Metropolitan Planning Organizations, Maricopa Association of Governments and Sun Corridor Metropolitan Planning Organization and announced that the new Public Works Website will be debuted very soon. Mr. Fabris asked for clarification on how revenues for the Transportation Excise Tax are distributed to cities/towns and Ms. Borquez stated that it is based on the city/town's population in relationship with the total population of the county. Mr. Fabris asked if the San Tan Valley area was receiving its fair share of the revenue distribution and Ms. Borquez replied that the County's revenue distribution is now placed in a countywide fund.	
8.	Transportation Project Status Reports on projects currently listed in the 2014 Transportation Improvement & Maintenance Program for Budget Years 2013-2014 through 2017-2018	Yes
	Specific discussion on the Transportation Project Status Reports by the committee follows. Area 1 (Angeline To) – Redington Road: Mr. Garcia asked for an explanation as to why the project scope was changed from the original 8-mile dust palliative and Ms. To replied she is recommending a chip seal on the first 3 miles and a dust polymer on the remaining 5 miles. Mr. Garcia requested clarification on the chip seal and dust polymer and Ms. To explained that the chip seal is a double chip coat and the dust polymer is a suppressant that is injected into the roadway base. Mr. Garcia stated that he did not agree with staff's recommendation and asked if a vote is required for the project amendment. Ms. Borquez stated that a vote by the committee will be required to the recommended plan which includes new transportation projects and project amendments. Mr. Garcia asked what were the concerns from the residents in the area and Ms. To stated that approximately half of the residents surveyed were in favor of the project as a means to control dust in the area and improve health conditions. The other residents were opposed because of concerns with increased traffic volumes and speed. Members from the Lower San Pedro Water Alliance (LSPWA) were concerned about the environment. Mr. Garcia commented that speed is a law enforcement issue and reiterated that he did not support the project amendment. Mr. Prechel asked if the 3 miles of chip seal were in San Manuel and Ms. To responded that it would begin at State Route 77 and end at River Road. Mr. Fabris asked if the project was scaled back due to the speed issue and	(Copies of the Transportation Project Status Reports follow the minutes)

PINAL • COUNTY
wide open opportunity

No.	Item	Attachment
8.	Transportation Project Status Reports on projects currently listed in the 2014 Transportation Improvement & Maintenance Program for Budget Years 2013-2014 through 2017-2018 *(Continued)*	Yes
	Ms. To replied that it was scaled back to accommodate both interests of the community. Mr. Hawkins asked if the amendment is a larger procedural question and Ms. Borquez stated that the staff is recommending the project amendment and the committee will consider the amendment as part of the recommended plan update. Mr. Fabris asked why the project was scaled back and Ms. To responded that it was a staff recommendation based on discussions with maintenance staff and area residents. Mr. Garcia asked how many actual responses were received and Ms. To replied that a survey was conducted by the LSPWA and 30 residents responded in a split decision. Mr. Pham asked where the unused funds of $400,000 would be allocated and Ms. To replied that it will be returned to the countywide fund. Chair Louis reiterated that the amendments will be discussed in greater detail in November and all comments will be taken into consideration when the committee makes its recommendations. Ms. Borquez restated that project amendments as well as new projects will be provided to the committee for approval and prioritization with the vote occurring in February. Member Pham stated that the committee may have additional questions in November to gain a better understanding of the project and make a uniform decision. Ms. To closed discussion by stating that the District Supervisor was supportive of the project amendment. As a follow up to this discussion item, Member Garcia asked to place the Redington Road project on the agenda for discussion in November. Member Fabris suggested that a larger room be considered for the next meeting in November. In order to accommodate a late request to address the committee, Chairman Louis reopened the call to the public and announced that Peter Else	(Copies of the Transportation Project Status Reports follow the minutes)

PINAL • COUNTY
wide open opportunity

No.	Item	Attachment
8.	Transportation Project Status Reports on projects currently listed in the 2014 Transportation Improvement & Maintenance Program for Budget Years 2013-2014 through 2017-2018 *(Continued)*	Yes
	representing the Lower San Pedro Watershed Alliance (LSPWA) be given an opportunity to provide comments. Mr. Else discussed the letter he provided to the committee and the reasons why the Redington Road project is a concern to the LSPWA and the Pinal County Open Space and Trails Committee. The project is located in a high habitat area as well as constituted 6% of the maintenance budget for the year and impacted approximately 20 occupied households. Mr. Else further stated that the project could have unintended consequences to the habitat that the Pinal County Comprehensive Plan has identified as a protected area. He closed his comments by stating that the LSPWA is willing to work with the committee and staff to bring forward solutions to address the immediate concerns the residents have about dust in this environmentally sensitive area. Hearing no further public comment requests, Chair Louis closed the call to the public. Area 2 (Jesus Haro) – Germann Road: Member Fabris asked for clarification on the federal funding for the Germann Road project and Doug Hansen responded that the funding is now divided between 2 metropolitan planning organizations and 1 council of governments. Mr. Fabris requested that a discussion/update on the Hunt Highway Phases III and IV and Gantzel Road Projects be added to the next meeting agenda in November. Area 3 (John Kraft) – Storey Road: Member Hawkins asked if the project limits were increased or reduced and Mr. Kraft responded that the limits were increased, that the Storey Road is a dirt road now, and the project will connect pavement to pavement.	(Copies of the Transportation Project Status Reports follow the minutes)
9.	Discussion on the proposed amendments to the Pinal County Transportation Advisory Committee Bylaws pursuant to Article VII: Amendments of Bylaws	Proposed Amendments to the Bylaws dated 05 29 13
	Vice-Chair Brown stated that she reviewed and discussed the bylaws with staff. Staff is recommending a change to Article V, Section 1 to comply with the current posting locations for meeting notices and agendas. In particular, the amendment is to correct the posting location from the Board of Supervisors Hearing Room to the Building A Lobby. Ms. Borquez added that the current posting locations are in the kiosk near Building A, the lobbies of Building A and F, and the Public Works webpage. Ms. Borquez reminded the committee that members can propose amendments to the bylaws for consideration. She concluded that the proposed amendment	

PINAL • COUNTY
wide open opportunity

No.	Item	Attachment
9.	Discussion on the proposed amendments to the Pinal County Transportation Advisory Committee Bylaws pursuant to Article VII: Amendments of Bylaws *(Continued)*	Proposed Amendments to the Bylaws dated 05 29 13
	will be an action item for the committee on February 24, 2015.	
10.	Discussion/Presentation on Pinal County Performance Management for the Public Works Department	Public Works Strategic Business Plan for Fiscal Year 2014-2015
	Scott Bender, County Engineer, presented the Public Works Strategic Business Plan for Fiscal Year 2014-2015. Pinal County's strategic priorities including: 1) vibrant, safe, and sustainable communities; 2) economic development; 3) transportation; 4) financial stability and health; 5) service levels and quality of service, and 6) employee morale, retention, and improvement. The Public Works Department has 4 objectives for transportation: 1) identifying alternative funding methods; 2) managing the county's two airports; 3) developing transportation infrastructure to support economic development, and 4) optimizing the pavement preservation plan. Member Prechel commented that the budgeted expenses for the Public Works Department are roughly twice the amount of the budgeted revenue.	
11.	Discussion on Claim(s) for Reimbursement of Travel Expenses	2014 Mileage Claim for Reimbursement Form
	Ms. Borquez mentioned that reimbursement forms are in the agenda packets and located on the Public Works website within the Transportation Advisory Committee webpage. She stated that the reimbursement rate is now $.56 per mile and asked if members are applying for reimbursement of travel expenses, kindly submit your form within 30 days of the meeting.	
12.	Announcement on Upcoming Meetings: Tuesday, November 18, 2014 & February 24, 2015 in the Ironwood Room at the Pinal County Administrative Complex	None
	For the record, Chair Louis announced the next meetings are scheduled for Tuesday, November 18, 2014 and Tuesday, February 24, 2015 at 2:30 p.m. and will be held in the Ironwood Room at the Pinal County Administrative Complex.	
13.	Adjournment	None
	Chair Louis adjourned the meeting at 4:09 p.m.	

PINAL • COUNTY
wide open opportunity

MINUTES approved on the **18th** day of **November, 2014,** by the Pinal County Transportation Advisory Committee.

Chairman, Transportation Advisory Committee

ATTEST:

Clerk, Transportation Advisory Committee

PINAL COUNTY
TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC)
PUBLIC COMMENT (CALL TO THE PUBLIC)

PINAL • COUNTY
wide open opportunity

Those wishing to address the Pinal County Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC) need not request permission in advance. Pursuant to Arizona's Open Meeting Law, members of the TAC may not discuss items that are not on the agenda. Therefore, action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or scheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.

The chairman of the TAC may impose reasonable time, place, and manner restrictions on speakers. For example, the chairman may require speakers on the same side, with no new comments, to select a spokesperson.

Providing the following information is optional and is not required to address the TAC.

Date: 9/23/14

Name: Linda Beres

Address: Stanfield AZ

Telephone No.:

E-mail Address:

Subject: Sage Rd + Val Vista Rd in Hidden Valley Rd

PINAL COUNTY
TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC)
PUBLIC COMMENT (CALL TO THE PUBLIC)

PINAL • COUNTY
wide open opportunity

Those wishing to address the Pinal County Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC) need not request permission in advance. Pursuant to Arizona's Open Meeting Law, members of the TAC may not discuss items that are not on the agenda. Therefore, action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or scheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.

The chairman of the TAC may impose reasonable time, place, and manner restrictions on speakers. For example, the chairman may require speakers on the same side, with no new comments, to select a spokesperson.

Providing the following information is optional and is not required to address the TAC.

Date: 9/23/2014

Name: Peter Else

Address:

Winkelman, AZ

Telephone No.:

E-mail Address:

Subject: Redington Rd Improvement project and habitat concerns.

Kathy Borquez

From: Steve Zobro <Z
Sent: Tuesday, September 23, 2014 9:29 AM
To: Kathy Borquez
Subject: RE: from Steve Zobro Please read at the hearing.

Thanks Steve

From: Kathy Borquez [mailto:Kathy.Borquez@pinalcountyaz.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, September 23, 2014 7:51 AM
To: Steve Zobro
Subject: RE: from Steve Zobro Please read at the hearing.

Good Morning Steve,

Thank you for your note. I will print a copy of your e-mail and make it available to the committee during today's meeting.

Kathy Borquez
Public Works Department
T 520.866.6406
kathy.borquez@pinalcountyaz.gov

From: Steve Zobro
Sent: Monday, September 22, 2014 9:44 PM
To: Kathy Borquez
Subject: from Steve Zobro Please read at the hearing.

Hi Kathy:

Could you please read this at the meeting tomorrow afternoon?

Hello Tac committee:

My name is Steve Zobro and I am the owner of Hidden Valley Airport . Hidden Valley airport is located 1/4 mile from the corner of Sage and Mcdavid outside the City of Maricopa.
Last year we applied to have the road from McDavid to Sage to be placed on the 5 year plan. We understand that Mcdavid Road to Sage Road is NOT on the county maintenance plan.

Since that time we have been working with a skydiving company to purchase the land. We have gone thru the planning and zoning and Board of supervisors process and the land has been approved to have a secondary airport and Special use permit.

An engineer was hired to do a Traffic report. The engineer provided a traffic report based upon the operation and this was estimated at approx 78 cars per day . Plus we know there is a traffic from the people living in the area. On the table is the possibility of bringing $30,000.00 to the table if this road is placed on the 5 year plan.

I would ask you to strongly consider my application to have McDavid road be placed on the 5 year plan at the Board of Supervisors meeting in February of 2015 because of the excess traffic on the road and also the possibility of $30,000.00 for the dust abatement program if this is approved,

Thank you

Steve Zobro

This email is free from viruses and malware because avast! Antivirus protection is active.

This email is free from viruses and malware because avast! Antivirus protection is active.

September 19, 2014

Dear Pinal County staff members, Transportation Advisory Committee members, and Open Space and Trails Commission Chair:

The Lower San Pedro Watershed Alliance (LSPWA) is composed of 143 members of whom 91 are landowners within the watershed. Our mission is to collaborate with conservation-minded individuals, groups, and agencies in the lower San Pedro region to protect a threatened river ecosystem and its supporting watershed. Our group promotes conservation initiatives and educational programs, resists development proposals that would significantly fragment or degrade wildlife habitat, and fosters a resilient local economy based upon sustainable rural enterprise. With Pinal County's stated objective to preserve, protect, or conserve areas of high habitat value and wildlife movement corridors, we support the conservation provisions in the Pinal County Comprehensive Plan and the County's Open Space and Trails Master Plan.

Several of our members live south of San Manuel along a road segment proposed for Pinal County road improvements. Two of them, Lon Brehmer and Doris Haynes, conducted a survey in early September of 2014 among their neighbors who live along the route proposed for road surface improvements between San Manuel and the Pinal County line near Redington (page 45 of the Pinal Five-Year TIMP) . They were able to contact all but three occupied households, making a total of 13 households in the survey. They did not contact people who have their properties up for sale. They surveyed the adult residents in each household, because there was often a difference of opinion. Similar to the feedback received by the Area 1 Engineer for Pinal County Public Works, the survey revealed that respondents were almost equally split on this issue, with 12 opposing the road surface improvements and 14 supporting it.

The most relevant information emerging from the survey is related to the reasons for supporting or opposing the road improvements:

a) Supporters cited a desire to decrease dust and vehicle maintenance costs, as well as increase their property values.
b) Those opposing the road surface change cited concerns about significantly increasing vehicular traffic volume and speed, increasing criminal activity in remote rural areas, promoting development sprawl, increasing property taxes, increasing excessive-speed accidents involving

other vehicles and animals, and negatively impacting the high-value wildlife habitat and riparian ecology that was recognized in the Pinal County Comprehensive Plan.

During the course of the survey, there were suggestions about how to address the concerns of both groups:

1) Consider polymer treatment on all of this route, rather than half of it, thus eliminating the chip-sealed portion, which tends to promote the biggest change in traffic volume and vehicle speed.
2) Install more speed limit signs, and ask that the sheriff's department provide patrols along this road.
3) Install speed bumps or road corrugation approaching washes or other known wildlife crossing areas, both for safety and conservation reasons.
4) Install educational signs, and perhaps at least one kiosk, explaining the important riparian habitat value of the region.
5) Install livestock warning signs in areas that are not fenced off from open range.
6) Avoid future road alignment changes that would encourage developing the route as a short cut from San Manuel to Interstate 10 at Benson.

With very few people living near this rural road segment and a high cost per household for this particular project, perhaps averaging over $35,000 per affected Pinal County household, and with important environmental values at risk, this project merits careful consideration by the County. The LSPWA supports serious consideration of measures listed above, as well as other constructive suggestions by people who were not surveyed, as a way to address ecological concerns.

We are willing and ready to work with the Pinal County Transportation Advisory Committee and with County officials to improve dust control along this route and help reduce vehicular wear and tear, while at the same time ensuring that we don't ultimately transform this rural road into a short-cut highway to Interstate 10 or an access road for corporate-level development sprawl along a river that was recognized for exceptional riparian ecosystem values in Chapter 7 of the Pinal County Comprehensive Plan. We look forward to constructive collaboration with the Pinal County Transportation Advisory Committee, the Public Works Department, the Open Space and Trails Department, and the Open Space and Trails Advisory Commission on this and other future road improvement projects affecting the lower San Pedro watershed.

Sincerely,

Lon Brehmer, Doris Haynes, and Peter Else (LSPWA Chair), On behalf of the LSPWA

The information contained in this map is not guaranteed to be correct or complete, and conclusions drawn from such information are the sole responsibility of the user.

Area 1 Project Updates Report

(as of September 23, 2014)

FY 13-14

IMPROVEMENT

✓ *Battaglia Road (Page 1) – Left Turn Lane @ Post Office*
- Design & Construction completed at $201k, $19k under budget

❖ *Florence-Kelvin Hwy (Pages 3 & 4) – Adams Way to Cochran Rd*
- Wash Crossing scheduled for completion by end of year
- ARDP planned for FY14-15, Spring 2015
- Amend project limits to additional mile if budget permits. Additional funds may be available due to lowest bidder coming below cost estimate

❖ *Cattle Tank Road (Page 14) – Davis Ranch Rd. to Park Link Rd.*
- Process of acquiring ROW from State Land (ASLD)
- Environmental Assessment from BLM in progress
- ARDP planned for FY 15-16, Summer 2016

❖ *Davis Ranch Rd. (Page 19)– Cattle Tank Rd. to Jacy Trl*
- Schedule for completion by Oct '14.

MAINTENANCE

✓ *Cornman Rd. (Page 18)– Eleven Mile Corner to SR 87*
- Completed Summer '14

✓ *La Palma Rd. (Page 22) – Hanna Rd. to Cornman Rd.*
- Completed Summer '14

✓ *Mt. Lemmon Rd. (Page 23) – Webb Rd. to Campo Bonito Rd.*
- Completed Summer '14

FY 14-15:

IMPROVEMENT

❖ *Sianna Dr. (Page 46) (Estancia to Kit Fox Trl)*
- Project pending due to ROW donation responses

MAINTENANCE

❖ *Hussy St. (Page 38) – SR77 west 1,000*
- Dust Polymour application
- Scheduled for Spring/Summer '15

Area 1 Project Updates Report

(as of September 23, 2014)

- *Panther Butte Rd. (Page 40) – SR79 to Blazed Ridge Rd*
  - Dust Polymour application
  - Scheduled for Spring/Summer '15

- *Redington Rd. (Page 45) – Veterans Memorial Blvd to Pima County Line*
  - ARDP first 3 miles (Veterans Memorial to River Rd.)
  - Dust Polymour Application (River Rd. to Pima County Line)
  - Amend cost from $1M to $600k

FY 15-16:

IMPROVEMENT

- *Red Rock Access Rd. (Page 53) (Aguirre Ln – Sasco Rd.)*
  - Amend to move to FY 18-19
  - Planning Commissioner member driven – originally wanted access road for future fire access, but Fire Station is in construction and will no longer need access road.
  - Once Fire Station is completed will amend to remove from program

FY 17-18:

IMPROVEMENT

- *Marylynne Ln. (Page 91) – Missile Base road north 3 mi.*
  - Amend to remove from program
  - County Missile Base pit - acquiring easement parallel to gas line easement, no longer need Marylynne Ln.
  - After ROW research, grazing lease holder has requested for compensation
  - No status on Desert Living Estates development

Area 2 Project Updates

(as of September 23, 2014)

FY13-14

IMPROVEMENT

- *Felix Road (Page 2) – Phase I (Judy's to Roberts Rd)* – funding reallocated in FY14/15, project got pushed slightly due to in-house crews' construction schedule, first phase of ARDP complete, second planned for October.

- *Germann Road (Pages 5 & 6) – Meridian to Ironwood Dr;* project was moving forward with $1Mil in Federal Funds but after re-districting, area is now in MAG and funding allotment decreased to ~$600k, management decided to place project on-hold (remove from plan – other funding source) design 95% complete. Plan to still move forward will one land acquisition necessary for the project (dairy).

- *Hunt Highway Phase II (Pages 8 & 31) – Thompson to Gary Rd;* design and right-of-way acquisitions completed in July, utility relocations underway, start of construction planned for October 2014 (ahead of TIMP schedule). Construction moving forward more rapidly due to funding increase in 14/15 from $250k to $4.2Mil with payment of the GADA Loan (#9- Ironwood/Gantzel - $2Mil yearly) now being paid out of a different funding source. Also, a portion of the project's improvements are being funded from a development's impact fees adjacent ($1.1Mil).

MAINTENANCE

- *Canyon Street (Page 13) – Ironwood to Delaware Dr;* project isn't a simple ARDP as planned funding reflects, canceled due to significant & costly drainage improvements. This portion of Canyon St is not currently maintained by the County.

- *Christensen Road/Bartlett Road (Page 15) – Martin to 5th St;* Project is a joint effort with City of Coolidge in which they still don't have funding for their portion recommending project stay on plan just moved to FY16/17.

- *Cooper Road (Pages 16 & 17) – Arizona Farms to Judd Rd;* First phase of ARDP complete as of August, second coat planned for October.

FY14-15

IMPROVEMENT

- *Gantzel Road (Page 28) – Bella Vista Rd to Poston Butte High School;* Pathway project, project funding increased to $500k to include rehabilitation of existing pavement on Bella Vista Rd from Gantzel to Hunt Hwy. Project now being constructed as apart of Gantzel Road Phase D1 project which is funded additionally by excess GADA bond funding and HURF to widen the first phase of Gantzel from Bella Vista to Omega Dr. Design nearly complete. Planned to start construction in Spring of 2015.

- *Guadalupe Road (Pages 7 & 29) – Meridian to Delaware Rd;* ROW acquisition complete. Design underway – planned completion in 4-6 months with start of construction in Summer 2015. Rollover of remaining funding likely from 14/15 to 15/16.

Area 2 Project Updates

(as of September 23, 2014)

MAINTENANCE

- *Apache Junction Area – Drainage Improvements (Page 35);* project funding used to rehabilitate intersections with significant drainage issues off of SR88 at Mountain View Road and Nodak Road. In-house crews being used with set completion by the end of October.

- *Hash Knife Draw Road (Page 37) – Schnepf to Ghost Rider St;* project only can move forward with donations of necessary right-of-way for improvements, unfortunately feedback from the necessary 20+ property owners hasn't been favorable so project will be likely be canceled and removed from TIMP after all feedback is received by the end of the year.

- *Stagecoach Pass Ave (Page 47) – Schnepf Rd to Ghost Rider St;* project already has necessary right-of-way North of section line dedicated in the 70's, only joint use agreement required crossing required over a New Magma Irrigation Easement in process. Project proceeding with in-house design this FY with construction planned in next FY15/16.

Additional forthcoming TIMP amendments to current 5-year plan (other than those shown in red above):

- *Hunt Highway Phases III and IV (Pages 66 & 83) (FY14/15/16):*
  - *Move ahead funding two years for Hunt Highway Phases III and IV – preconstruction (design) from FY16/17 to FY14/15;*
  - *Portion of construction funding coming in FY15/16 from Ironwood Drive/Gantzel Road - $2Mil (payment now being taken from HURF) and the other funding directly from HURF to construct Phase III in FY15/16. Phase IV's design is in coordination with regional Flood Control improvement and the Gantzel Phase D connection to Hunt Hwy at Johnson Ranch Blvd.*

- *Quail Run Road (Page 68) (FY15/16):*
  - *Move ahead funding a year to from FY16/17 to FY15/16 for Quail Run Road project – ARDP construction– at the request of the District Supervisor.*

Area 3 Project Updates

(For TIMP meeting September 23, 2014)

FY13-14

MAINTENANCE

- *Thornton Road (Page 21) – Shedd Rd to Hanna Rd;* ARDP completed in summer 2013.

- *Shedd Road (Page 21) – Thornton Rd to Chuichu Rd;* ARDP completed in summer 2013.

- *Dune Shadow Road (Page 21) – Amarillo Valley Rd to Ralston Rd;* ARDP completed in summer 2014.

FY14-15

IMPROVEMENT

- *Maricopa – Casa Grande Highway Crossing the Santa Cruz Wash (Page 10);* Anticipate construction to start in March 2015 having six month duration.

MAINTENANCE

- *Cornman Road (Page 36) – Midway Rd to Mammoth Dr.;* Project being scheduled for ARDP in summer 2015.

- *Midway Road (Page 39) – Cornman to Greene Wash;* Project being scheduled for ARDP in summer 2015.

- *Hopi Drive (Page 30) – Pinal Ave to Trekell Rd;* Initial letters were sent out to acquire Right-of-Way. Currently, three No responses have been received out of 48 property owners.

FY15-16

MAINTENANCE

- *Storey Road (Page 63) – 11-Mile Corner Rd to Tweedy Rd; Change project limits to: 11-Mile Corner Rd to Lola Lee Rd (2.4 mi)*

- *Wildwood Road (Page 81) from Amarillo Valley Rd to Warren Rd (FY16/17); pending funding availability. Move project up to Budget Year FY15/16 for ARDP to be constructed in summer 2015 – at the request of the District Supervisor.*

FY16-17

IMPROVEMENT

- *Ralston Road (Page 79) – Fresno Rd Intersection; Change project limits to: Wildcat Ln to Dune Shadow (1.8 mi).*

FY17-18

MAINTENANCE

- *Storey Road(Page 87) – 11- Mile Corner Rd to Tweedy; Remove entry, this project is to be constructed in FY 15/16.*