U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

Project Information

Project Name: Maricopa-Utility-Improvement-Project				
HEROS Number: 900000010195078				
Responsible Entity (RE): PINAL COUNTY, 970 N ELEVEN MI GRANDE AZ, 85194	E CORNER ROAD CASA			
RE Preparer: Consuelo Alvarado				
State / Local Identifier:				
Certifying Officer: Leo Lew				
Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Ent ity):				
Point of Contact:				
Consultant (if applicabl e):				
Point of Contact:				

Additional Location Information:

Project Location: , Maricopa, AZ

The project location is identified as Heritage District. Heritage District is a residential neighborhood comprised of the following residential streets: Plainview Street, Main Street, Heritage Lane, Stagecoach Lane, Cesar Chavez Lane, Burkett Avenue, Mercado

Maricopa-Utility-Improvement-Project

Street. All streets within Heritage District are dedicated public streets in city right-of-way. No land acquisition or easement will be required. The City of Maricopa has full site control. The service area is defined as Heritage District in its entirety. The primary beneficiaries are residents within Heritage District Neighborhood. The population to be served is within Block Group 1, Census Tract 17.07.

Direct Comments to: Pinal County

Finance Department

PO Box 1348

Florence, Arizona 85132

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

Procure construction services to complete all underground and conduit prep work for powerlines within the Heritage District. Electrical Domestic No. 3 (ED3) will remove existing above ground powerlines and replace with underground lines within the City of Maricopa provided conduit. ED3 will provide the design and engineering.

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

Currently the Heritage District presents several public safety issues that directly impact the future of this area. It is the City's intent to address these issues. The of City of Maricopa would like to continue to improve the Heritage District by removing existing overhead power lines owned by Electrical District No. 3 (ED3), and replace these lines with a more safe, secure, efficient and aesthetic improvement to this area by installing the power underground. Improvements will have a significant impact and will be beneficial to the residents living within Heritage District. Improvements will reduce the visual impact of utility lines and poles; improve safety, reduce utility disruptions, and increase property values. Furthermore, underground utility lines eliminate fire hazards, accidents, and safety risks from power outages. Relocation also reduces possible health risks from electromagnetic radiation and improves road safety by removing or reducing the chance of motorist accidents.

Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

Heritage District is one of the oldest neighborhoods built long before the city was incorporated. As a result, infrastructure design does not meet the current standards. The current infrastructure in place: Main Street, Plainview Street, and Mercado Street are paved with concrete curb and gutter and concrete sidewalks; Stagecoach Lane, Heritage Lane, Cesar Chavez Lane and Burkett Avenue are paved and flush concrete curb only. No gutter nor sidewalks; Alleyways are unpaved alleyways; All septic system instead of sewer; Water source is a combination of water wells and water lines provided by a private water district; Existing overhead power lines. This project is being considered in an area that is fully developed as residential use. Underground

Maricopa-Utility-Improvement-Project

utility lines eliminate fire hazards, accidents, and safety risks from power outages. Relocation also reduces possible health risks from electromagnetic radiation and improves road safety by removing or reducing the chance of motorist accidents.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

Project Location.pdf

Maricopa Util Imp pic 1.pdf

Maricopa Util Imp pic 6.pdf

Maricopa Util Imp pic 5.pdf

Maricopa Util Imp pic 4.pdf

Maricopa Util Imp pic 3.pdf

Maricopa Util Imp pic 2.pdf

Determination:

√	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human
	environment
	Finding of Significant Impact

Approval Documents:

ERR Signature Page.pdf

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer

on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer

on:

Funding Information

Grant / Project	HUD Program	Program Name
Identification		
Number		
	Community Planning and	Community Development Block Grants
2021-7	Development (CPD)	(CDBG) (Entitlement)

Estimated Total HUD Funded, \$130,000.00

Assisted or Insured Amount:

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) \$130,000.00 **(5)]**:

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD	DERS, AND REGULATIO	ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6
Airport Hazards Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.
Coastal Barrier Resources Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	□ Yes ☑ No	This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001- 4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	□ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with Flood Insurance requirements.
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD		ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	□ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. Letter sent 4/12/2021 to ADEQ Office of Air Quality, no response received. Per the ADEQ Air Quality mapping tool, the project location is within West Central Pinal Nonattainment Area.

Coastal Zone Management Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is located in a state that
Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)	L IES EL INU	does not participate in the Coastal Zone Management Program. Therefore, this
		project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.
Contamination and Toxic	☐ Yes ☑ No	Site contamination was evaluated as
Substances		follows: None of the above. On-site or
24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]		nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive
		substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or
		conflict with the intended use of the
		property were not found. The project is
		in compliance with contamination and
		toxic substances requirements.
Endangered Species Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project will have No Effect on listed
Endangered Species Act of 1973,		species due to the nature of the
particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part		activities involved in the project. This
402		project is in compliance with the
		Endangered Species Act. Per letter
		received on 3/11/2021 from Andrew
		Cavalcant, Project Evaluation Specialist with the Arizona Game and Fish
		Department, the project is located in a
		previously disturbed area. The
		Department does not anticipate any
		significant adverse impacts to wildlife
		resources as a result of this project.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the
Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part		project includes no activities that would
51 Subpart C		require further evaluation under this
		section. The project is in compliance
		with explosive and flammable hazard
		requirements. Per the EPA mapping tool, the project location is not nearby
		toxic, hazardous, or radioactive
		substances or facilities that could affect
		the health and safety of project
		occupants.
Farmlands Protection	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project does not include any
Farmland Protection Policy Act of		activities that could potentially convert
1981, particularly sections 1504(b)		agricultural land to a non-agricultural
and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658		use. The project is in compliance with
		the Farmland Protection Policy Act. The
		USDA mapping tool indicates the project

	<u> </u>	is not boost of in formula and The boosting
		is not located in farmland. The location
		is considered developed.
Floodplain Management	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is located in a 100-year
Executive Order 11988, particularly		floodplain. The 8-Step Process is
section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55		required. With the 8-Step Process the
		project will be in compliance with
		Executive Order 11988.
Historic Preservation	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the
National Historic Preservation Act of		project has No Potential to Cause
1966, particularly sections 106 and		Effects. The project is in compliance
110; 36 CFR Part 800		with Section 106.
Noise Abatement and Control	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description, this
Noise Control Act of 1972, as		project includes no activities that would
amended by the Quiet Communities		require further evaluation under HUD's
Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart		noise regulation. The project is in
В		compliance with HUD's Noise
		regulation. Project does not involve new
		construction for residential use or rehab
		of existing residential property. Noise
		Abatement and Control does not apply.
		The project does not involve
		development of noise sensitive uses.
		During construction, project will follow
		the City of Maricopa Ordinance 9.20.010
		requirements for constructing start
	<u> </u>	time.
Sole Source Aquifers	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project is not located on a sole
Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as		source aquifer area. The project is in
amended, particularly section		compliance with Sole Source Aquifer
1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149		requirements. The EPA map of Sole
		Source Aquifer Locations indicates the
		project is not located within a U.S. EPA
		designated sole source aquifer.
Wetlands Protection	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project will not impact on- or off-
Executive Order 11990, particularly		site wetlands. The project is in
sections 2 and 5		compliance with Executive Order 11990.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is not within proximity of a
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968,		NWSRS river. The project is in
particularly section 7(b) and (c)		compliance with the Wild and Scenic
		Rivers Act. The National Wild and Scenic
		Rivers Systems map indicates the
		project is not located within one mile of
		a listed Wild and Scenic River.
HUD HO	DUSING ENVIRONM	ENTAL STANDARDS

ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE			
Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898	□ Yes ☑ No	No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898. Based on the responses received by the agencies that were consulted and the project review conducted by City staff, it was determined that the project will have no adverse environmental impact. The project is intended to enhance the neighborhood and will benefit low-mod income residents.	

Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27]

Impact Codes: An impact code from the following list has been used to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact May require mitigation
- **(4)** Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement.

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment ractor	000.0	ND DEVELOPMENT	
Conformance with Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning / Scale and Urban Design	1	Project review done on 4/14/2021 by Rudy Lopez, Deputy Director of Economic and Community Development, the project is consistent with the City's General Plan Land Use Element and will aid the revitalization of the Heritage District.	
Soil Suitability / Slope/ Erosion / Drainage and Storm Water Runoff	2	Project review done on 4/5/2021 by Eduardo Raudales, City Engineer, the scope of work is minimal and no expansive soils or other destabilizing conditions are anticipated.	
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Site-Generated Noise	2	Project review done on 4/5/2021 by Eduardo Raudales, City Engineer, no hazards or nuisance concerns related	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
	LAI	ND DEVELOPMENT	
		to this project. Project will have no	
		noise impact.	
Energy	2	Project review done on 4/5/2021 by	
Consumption/Energy		Eduardo Raudales, City Engineer, the	
Efficiency		project will not increase or modify	
		energy consumption.	
	S	OCIOECONOMIC	
Employment and Income	2	Project review done on 4/8/2021 by	
Patterns		Dania Castillo, Management Analyst,	
		no negative impacts to employment	
		and income patterns. Project will	
		benefit low-mod income persons and	
		will enhance the neighborhood.	
Demographic Character	2	Project review done on 4/8/2021 by	
Changes / Displacement		Dania Castillo, Management Analyst,	
		project will benefit low-mod income	
		persons and will enhance the	
		neighborhood. The project will not	
	_	displace individuals.	
		Y FACILITIES AND SERVICES	T
Educational and Cultural	2	Project review done on 4/8/2021 by	
Facilities (Access and		Dania Castillo, Management Analyst,	
Capacity)		no impact to cultural or educational	
0 15 10		facilities with this project.	
Commercial Facilities	2	Project review done on 4/8/2021 by	
(Access and Proximity)		Dania Castillo, Management Analyst,	
		no impact on commercial services facilities. Project location is a	
		residential neighborhood, residents	
		will continue to have convenient and	
		safe access to commercial services.	
Health Care / Social	2	Project review done on 4/8/2021 by	
Services (Access and	_	Dania Castillo, Management Analyst,	
Capacity)		project will have no impact on health	
		care and social services	
Solid Waste Disposal and	2	Project review done on 4/5/2021 by	
Recycling (Feasibility and		Eduardo Raudales, City Engineer, the	
Capacity)		project will not generate waste.	
Waste Water and Sanitary	2	Project review done on 4/5/2021 by	
Sewers (Feasibility and		Eduardo Raudales, City Engineer, the	
Capacity)		project scope of work has no impact on	
		wastewater and sanitary sewers.	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation			
Assessment Factor	Code					
	LAND DEVELOPMENT					
Water Supply (Feasibility	2	Project review done on 4/5/2021 by				
and Capacity)		Eduardo Raudales, City Engineer, the				
		project scope of work has no impact on				
		water supply.				
Public Safety - Police, Fire	1	Project review done on 5/12/2021 by				
and Emergency Medical		Salvador Erivez, Fire Plans Examiner,				
		no adverse impact. Project will remove				
		transportation hazards and also				
		improve access during emergency				
		responses.				
Parks, Open Space and	2	Project review done on 4/14/2021 by				
Recreation (Access and		Rudy Lopez, Deputy Director of				
Capacity)		Economic and Community				
		Development, project will not have any				
		impact on parks or recreation areas.				
		The City reserves open space in				
		residential areas and has adequate				
		parks and recreational facilities				
		convenient to all neighborhoods.				
Transportation and	1	Project review done on 4/5/2021 by				
Accessibility (Access and		Eduardo Raudales, City Engineer,				
Capacity)		project will remove transportation				
		hazards and improve accessibility.				
	N/A	TURAL FEATURES				
Unique Natural Features	2	Project review done on 4/5/2021 by				
/Water Resources		Eduardo Raudales, City Engineer, no				
		unique natural features exist in the				
		project location. The project will not				
		impact the potable water supply.				
Vegetation / Wildlife	2	Per letter received on 3/11/2021 from				
(Introduction,		Andrew Cavalcant, Project Evaluation				
Modification, Removal,		Specialist with Arizona Game and Fish				
Disruption, etc.)		Department, the project is located in a				
		previously disturbed area. The				
		Department does not anticipate any				
		significant adverse impacts to wildlife				
		resources as a result of this project.				
Other Factors		N/A				

Supporting documentation

Environmental Assessment Factors.pdf

Additional Studies Performed:

Field Inspection [Optional]: Date and completed

by:

Eduardo Raudales

4/5/2021 12:00:00 AM

Maricopa Util Imp pic 1.pdf Maricopa Util Imp pic 6.pdf Maricopa Util Imp pic 5.pdf Maricopa Util Imp pic 4.pdf Maricopa Util Imp pic 3.pdf Maricopa Util Imp pic 2.pdf

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

City of Maricopa Staff: Eduardo Raudales, City Engineer Dania Castillo, Management Analyst Rudy Lopez, Deputy Director of Economic and Community Development Salvador Erivez, Fire Plans Examiner

List of Permits Obtained:

The Pinal County Flood Control District will review the improvement plans located within a FEMA 100-year flood zone prior to the start of construction. According to Arizona Revised Statues Section 48-3613, Part B Written authorization is not required for nor shall the board prohibit: The construction and erection of poles, towers, foundations, support structures, guide wires, and other facilities related to power transmission as constructed by any utility whether a public service corporation or a political subdivision.

Public Outreach [24 CFR 58.43]:

Notice will be advertised for public comment period.

Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

This project will have no adverse environmental impact. Project will have a beneficial impact.

Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

No alternative found or required, project will have a beneficial impact.

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]

No action would continue the need for improvements to eliminate fire hazards, prevent accidents, and public safety.

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

It is determined that this project will have no adverse environmental impact and will be beneficial to the residents in the area.

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on Completed Measures	Complete
Floodplain Management	Not applicable; project includes underground utilities. This does not affect structures.	N/A	
Pinal County Flood Control District	The City's Engineering Department will submit the improvement plans to the Pinal County Flood Control District will review the improvement plans located within a FEMA 100-year flood zone prior to the start of construction.	N/A	

Mitigation Plan

The Pinal County Flood Control District will review the improvement plans located within a FEMA 100-year flood zone prior to the start of construction. According to Arizona Revised Statues Section 48-3613, Part B Written authorization is not required for nor shall the board prohibit: The construction and erection of poles, towers, foundations, support structures, guide wires, and other facilities related to power transmission as constructed by any utility whether a public service corporation or a political subdivision.

Supporting documentation on completed measures

APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Airport Hazards

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D
prevent incompatible development		
around civil airports and military airfields.		

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.

Supporting documentation

Airport Hazards.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Coastal Barrier Resources

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be	Coastal Barrier Resources Act	
used for most activities in units of the	(CBRA) of 1982, as amended by	
Coastal Barrier Resources System	the Coastal Barrier Improvement	
(CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations	Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	
on federal expenditures affecting the		
CBRS.		

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRA units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Compliance Determination

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Supporting documentation

Coastal Barrier Resources System map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Flood Insurance

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be	Flood Disaster	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1)
used in floodplains unless the community participates	Protection Act of 1973	and 24 CFR 58.6(a)
in National Flood Insurance Program and flood	as amended (42 USC	and (b); 24 CFR
insurance is both obtained and maintained.	4001-4128)	55.1(b).

1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?</u>

No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with Flood Insurance requirements.

Supporting documentation

Flood Insurance.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Air Quality

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et	40 CFR Parts 6, 51
by the U.S. Environmental	seq.) as amended particularly	and 93
Protection Agency (EPA), which	Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC	
sets national standards on	7506(c) and (d))	
ambient pollutants. In addition,		
the Clean Air Act is administered		
by States, which must develop		
State Implementation Plans (SIPs)		
to regulate their state air quality.		
Projects funded by HUD must		
demonstrate that they conform		
to the appropriate SIP.		

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. Letter sent 4/12/2021 to ADEQ Office of Air Quality, no response received. Per the ADEQ Air Quality mapping tool, the project location is within West Central Pinal Nonattainment Area.

Supporting documentation

Clean Air.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Coastal Zone Management Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant	Coastal Zone Management	15 CFR Part 930
agencies for activities affecting	Act (16 USC 1451-1464),	
any coastal use or resource is	particularly section 307(c)	
granted only when such	and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and	
activities are consistent with	(d))	
federally approved State		
Coastal Zone Management Act		
Plans.		

This project is located in a state that does not participate in the Coastal Zone Management Program. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is located in a state that does not participate in the Coastal Zone Management Program. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.

Supporting documentation

Coastal Barrier Resources System map(1).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Contamination and Toxic Substances

Maricopa-Utility-

Improvement-Project

General requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being		24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)
proposed for use in HUD programs be free of		24 CFR 50.3(i)
hazardous materials, contamination, toxic		
chemicals and gases, and radioactive		
substances, where a hazard could affect the		
health and safety of the occupants or conflict		
with the intended utilization of the property.		

1. How was site contamination evaluated? Select all that apply. Document and upload documentation and reports and evaluation explanation of site contamination below.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)

ASTM Phase II ESA

Remediation or clean-up plan

ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening

- ✓ None of the Above
- 2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)
 - ✓ No

Explain:

Per the EPA mapping tool, the project location is not nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances or facilities that could affect the health and safety of project occupants.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: None of the above. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.

Supporting documentation

Contamination and Toxic Substances.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Endangered Species

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	The Endangered	50 CFR Part
mandates that federal agencies ensure that	Species Act of 1973	402
actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out	(16 U.S.C. 1531 et	
shall not jeopardize the continued existence of	seq.); particularly	
federally listed plants and animals or result in	section 7 (16 USC	
the adverse modification or destruction of	1536).	
designated critical habitat. Where their actions		
may affect resources protected by the ESA,		
agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife		
Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries		
Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services").		

1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

✓ No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

This selection is only appropriate if none of the activities involved in the project have potential to affect species or habitats. Examples of actions without potential to affect listed species may include: purchasing existing buildings, completing interior renovations to existing buildings, and replacing exterior paint or siding on existing buildings.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project will have No Effect on listed species due to the nature of the activities involved in the project. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act. Per letter received on 3/11/2021 from Andrew Cavalcant, Project Evaluation Specialist with the Arizona Game and Fish Department, the project is located in a previously

disturbed area. The Department does not anticipate any significant adverse impacts to wildlife resources as a result of this project.

Supporting documentation

Endangered Species.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Explosive and Flammable Hazards

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet	N/A	24 CFR Part 51
Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)		Subpart C
requirements to protect them from		
explosive and flammable hazards.		

1.	Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a
facility	that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as
bulk fu	el storage facilities and refineries)?

✓	No
	Ves

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

✓	No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements. Per the EPA mapping tool, the project location is not nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances or facilities that could affect the health and safety of project occupants.

Supporting documentation

Explosive and Flammable Hazards.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Farmlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection	Farmland Protection Policy	7 CFR Part 658
Policy Act (FPPA) discourages	Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201	
federal activities that would	et seq.)	
convert farmland to		
nonagricultural purposes.		

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes



If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act. The USDA mapping tool indicates the project is not located in farmland. The location is considered developed.

Supporting documentation

Farmlands Protection.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes



Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,		
requires federal activities to		
avoid impacts to floodplains		
and to avoid direct and		
indirect support of floodplain		
development to the extent		
practicable.		

1. Do any of the following exemptions apply? Select the applicable citation? [only one selection possible]

55.12(c)(3)

55.12(c)(4)

55.12(c)(5)

55.12(c)(6)

55.12(c)(7)

55.12(c)(8)

55.12(c)(9)

55.12(c)(10)

55.12(c)(11)

✓ None of the above

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

Floodplain Management.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use **the best available information** to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

Does your project occur in a floodplain?

No

✓ Yes

Select the applicable floodplain using the FEMA map or the best available information:

Floodway

Coastal High Hazard Area (V Zone)

√ 100-year floodplain (A Zone)

500-year floodplain (B Zone or shaded X Zone)

8-Step Process

Does the 8-Step Process apply? Select one of the following options:

√ 8-Step Process applies

Document and upload the completed 8-Step Process below. Be sure to include the early public notice and the final notice.

5-Step Process is applicable per 55.12(a)(1-4). Provide documentation of 5-Step Process.

8-Step Process is inapplicable per 55.12(b)(1-5).

Mitigation

For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation. This information will be automatically included in the Mitigation summary for the environmental review. If negative effects cannot be mitigated, cancel the project using the button at the bottom of this screen.

Not applicable; project includes underground utilities. This does not affect structures.

Which of the following mitigation/minimization measures have been identified for this project in the 8-Step or 5-Step Process? Select all that apply.

Permeable surfaces

Natural landscape enhancements that maintain or restore natural hydrology

Planting or restoring native plant species

Bioswales

Evapotranspiration

Stormwater capture and reuse

Green or vegetative roofs with drainage provisions

Natural Resources Conservation Service conservation easements or similar easements

Floodproofing of structures

Elevating structures including freeboarding above the required base flood elevations

✓ Other

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is located in a 100-year floodplain. The 8-Step Process is required. With the 8-Step Process the project will be in compliance with Executive Order 11988.

Supporting documentation

Floodplain Management(1).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No.

Historic Preservation

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under	Section 106 of the	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic
Section 106 of the	National Historic	Properties"
National Historic	Preservation Act	http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisi
Preservation Act	(16 U.S.C. 470f)	dx_10/36cfr800_10.html
(NHPA) require a		
consultative process		
to identify historic		
properties, assess		
project impacts on		
them, and avoid,		
minimize, or mitigate		
adverse effects		

Threshold Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.)

✓ No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Threshold (b). Document and upload the memo or explanation/justification of the other determination below:

Per letter received 5/7/2021 from the San Carlos Apache Tribe, the project does not required mitigation action. Per letter received 5/13/2021 from the Tohono Nation, the project does not required mitigation action. Letters were sent on 4/12/2021 to the State Historic Preservation Office, the Gila River Indian Community and the Ak-Chin Indian Community; no response was received.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project has No Potential to Cause Effects. The project is in compliance with Section 106.

Supporting documentation

Historic Preservation.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Noise Abatement and Control

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect	Noise Control Act of 1972	Title 24 CFR 51
residential properties from		Subpart B
excessive noise exposure. HUD	General Services Administration	
encourages mitigation as	Federal Management Circular	
appropriate.	75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at	
	Federal Airfields"	

1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

New construction for residential use

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster

✓ None of the above

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under HUD's noise regulation. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation. Project does not involve new construction for residential use or rehab of existing residential property. Noise Abatement and Control does not apply. The project does not involve development of noise sensitive uses. During construction, project will follow the City of Maricopa Ordinance 9.20.010 requirements for constructing start time.

Supporting documentation

Noise Abatement and Control.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Sole Source Aquifers

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974	Safe Drinking Water	40 CFR Part 149
protects drinking water systems	Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C.	
which are the sole or principal	201, 300f et seq., and	
drinking water source for an area	21 U.S.C. 349)	
and which, if contaminated, would		
create a significant hazard to public		
health.		

1.	Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing
building	g(s)?

Yes

✓ No

2. Is the project located on a sole source aquifer (SSA)?

A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload documentation used to make your determination, such as a map of your project (or jurisdiction, if appropriate) in relation to the nearest SSA and its source area, below.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. The EPA map of Sole Source Aquifer Locations indicates the project is not located within a U.S. EPA designated sole source aquifer.

Supporting documentation

Sole Source Aquifers.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wetlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or	Executive Order	24 CFR 55.20 can be
indirect support of new construction impacting	11990	used for general
wetlands wherever there is a practicable		guidance regarding
alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's		the 8 Step Process.
National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a		
primary screening tool, but observed or known		
wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also		
be processed Off-site impacts that result in		
draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands		
must also be processed.		

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

No

- ✓ Yes
- 2. Will the new construction or other ground disturbance impact an on- or off-site wetland? The term "wetlands" means those areas that are inundated by surface or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does or would support, a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas such as sloughs, potholes, wet meadows, river overflows, mud flats, and natural ponds.

"Wetlands under E.O. 11990 include isolated and non-jurisdictional wetlands."

✓ No, a wetland will not be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload a map or any other relevant documentation below which explains your determination

Yes, there is a wetland that be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project will not impact on- or off-site wetlands. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.

Supporting documentation

Wetlands Protection.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild, scenic	particularly section 7(b) and	
and recreational rivers	(c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	
designated as components or		
potential components of the		
National Wild and Scenic Rivers		
System (NWSRS) from the effects		
of construction or development.		

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. The National Wild and Scenic Rivers Systems map indicates the project is not located within one mile of a listed Wild and Scenic River.

Supporting documentation

Wild and Scenic Rivers - map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Environmental Justice

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project	Executive Order 12898	
creates adverse environmental		
impacts upon a low-income or		
minority community. If it		
does, engage the community		
in meaningful participation		
about mitigating the impacts		
or move the project.		

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898. Based on the responses received by the agencies that were consulted and the project review conducted by City staff, it was determined that the project will have no adverse environmental impact. The project is intended to enhance the neighborhood and will benefit low-mod income residents.

Supporting documentation

Environmental Justice (CEST and EA).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes